

PARTITION FUNCTIONS THAT REPEL PERFECT-POWERS*

Ken Ono[†]

Communicated by M. Merca

DOI 10.56082/annalsarscimath.2026.2.31

Abstract

A conjecture by Sun states that the partition function $p(n)$, for $n > 1$, is never a perfect power. Recent work by Merca et al. proposes generalizations of perfect-power repulsion for $p(n)$. In this note, we prove these generalizations for the functions $p_B(n)$, which count the number of partitions of n with the largest part $\leq B$. If $B \geq 4$ and $k \geq 3$, with $k \nmid (B-1)$, then we prove that there are only finitely many pairs (n, m) for which $|p_B(n) - m^k| \leq d$. These results support Sun and Merca et al.'s conjectures, as $p_B(n) \rightarrow p(n)$ when $B \rightarrow +\infty$. To prove this, we reduce the problem to Siegel's Theorem, which guarantees the finiteness of integral points on curves with genus ≥ 1 .

Keywords: partition function, perfect powers.

MSC: 11P82, 05A17, 05A20.

1 Introduction and statement of results

The distribution of perfect powers is a classic topic in number theory. A milestone is Catalan's conjecture (1844), proven by Mihăilescu in 2002 [5], which asserts that the Diophantine equation

$$x^a - y^b = 1 \quad (x, y, a, b > 1)$$

* Accepted for publication on October 23, 2025

[†]ken.ono691@virginia.edu, Department of Mathematics, University of Virginia, Charlottesville, VA 22904, USA and Academy of Romanian Scientists, 050044 Bucharest, Romania

only has the single solution $(x, y, a, b) = (3, 2, 2, 3)$, and so the only consecutive perfect powers are 8 and 9. Building on this classical narrative, attention has shifted from perfect powers to their relationship with number theoretic functions. Sun [6, 7] proposed that partition numbers $p(n)$ where $n > 1$, are never perfect-powers. This has led to further developments by Merca et al. [4].

We recall these conjectures. Throughout, $p(n)$ denotes the number of (unrestricted) integer partitions of n , where $p(0) = 1$. The generating function for this function is given by the Euler product

$$P(q) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1 - q^n}. \quad (1)$$

An integer is a *perfect power* if it has the form m^k with integers $m \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$. For a fixed exponent $k \geq 2$, we define

$$\Delta_k(n) := \min_{m \geq 0} |p(n) - m^k| \quad (2)$$

for the distance from $p(n)$ to the nearest k th power. The works of Sun [6, 7] and Merca et al. [4] concern the following conjecture.

Conjecture (Sun, Merca et al.). *The partition function $p(n)$ repels perfect-powers.*

1. (No perfect-powers) For every $n \geq 2$ and $k \geq 2$, $p(n) \neq m^k$ for all integers $m \geq 2$.
2. (Perfect-power Repulsion) For $k \geq 2$ and $d \geq 0$, at most finitely many n satisfy $\Delta_k(n) \leq d$.

Remark 1. *We note that these questions are similar in flavor to the work of Tengely and Ulas [8] on equal values of special partition functions that have Diophantine interpretations.*

We prove exact analogs of the conjecture for the sequence of partition functions $p_B(n)$ that converges to $p(n)$. Specifically, for $B \geq 2$, we consider the truncated Euler products

$$P_B(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_B(n) q^n = \prod_{m=1}^B \frac{1}{1 - q^m}. \quad (3)$$

One sees that $p_B(n)$ is the number of partitions of n whose largest part is at most B . Moreover, we also have that $p_B(n)$ is the number of partitions of n

with at most B parts by considering the usual Ferrers board conjugation. Of course, we have $\lim_{B \rightarrow +\infty} p_B(n) = p(n)$. In analogy with $\Delta_k(n)$, we define

$$\Delta_k^{(B)}(n) := \min_{m \geq 0} |p_B(n) - m^k|, \quad (4)$$

which is the distance between $p_B(n)$ and the nearest k th power. We prove the following theorem that confirms perfect-power repulsion for these functions.

Theorem 1. *If $B \geq 4$ and $k \geq 3$, with $k \nmid (B - 1)$, then the following are true.*

1. *There are at most finitely many n for which $p_B(n) = m^k$.*
2. *If $d \geq 0$, then we have*

$$\#\{n \geq 1 : \Delta_k^{(B)}(n) \leq d\} < +\infty.$$

Example 1. *The partition function $p_2(n)$ does not repel perfect-powers. To see this, we note that*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} p_2(n) q^n = \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)} = \left(\sum_{a \geq 0} q^a \right) \left(\sum_{b \geq 0} q^{2b} \right).$$

Taking the Cauchy product gives

$$\frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\#\{(a, b) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^2 : a + 2b = n\} \right) q^n.$$

For a fixed n , writing $n = a + 2b$ with $a, b \geq 0$ is the same as choosing $b = 0, 1, \dots, \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$, and then setting $a = n - 2b$. Therefore, we have that

$$p_2(n) = \lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1 \quad (n \geq 0).$$

In particular, for any integer $m \geq 1$ and any $k \geq 2$, if we take $n := 2(m^k - 1)$, then

$$p_2(n) = \left\lfloor \frac{2(m^k - 1)}{2} \right\rfloor + 1 = (m^k - 1) + 1 = m^k.$$

Thus, $p_2(n)$ takes every perfect k th power.

Example 2. If $B = 3$, then we have

$$P_3(q) = \frac{1}{(1-q)(1-q^2)(1-q^3)} = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_3(n)q^n,$$

Along each residue class $n \equiv r \pmod{6}$, we have

$$p_3(6t+r) = Q_r(t) \quad (t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}),$$

where the six quadratic polynomials $Q_r \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$ are

$$\begin{aligned} Q_0(t) &= 3t^2 + 3t + 1, & Q_1(t) &= 3t^2 + 4t + 1, & Q_2(t) &= 3t^2 + 5t + 2, \\ Q_3(t) &= 3t^2 + 6t + 3, & Q_4(t) &= 3t^2 + 7t + 4, & Q_5(t) &= 3t^2 + 8t + 5. \end{aligned}$$

For $r \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\}$, there are infinitely many $t \geq 0$ such that $Q_r(t)$ is a perfect square. Consequently, $p_3(n)$ is a square for infinitely many n in each of the residue classes $r \in \{0, 1, 4, 5\} \pmod{6}$. Each diophantine equation $Q_r(t) = m^2$ reduces to a Pell-type equation in (x, m) with discriminant 12 after completing the square in t .

(Case $r = 0$) From $3t^2 + 3t + 1 = m^2$,

$$(6t+3)^2 - 12m^2 = -3.$$

This is the negative Pell equation $x^2 - 12m^2 = -3$ (with $x = 6t+3$), which has infinitely many integer solutions. For instance, starting with $(x, m) = (3, 1)$ and multiplying by the fundamental unit $7 + 2\sqrt{12}$ yields an infinite family. The first few t are 0, 7, 104, 1455, ..., giving

$$p_3(6t) \in \{1, 13^2, 181^2, 2521^2, \dots\}.$$

(Case $r = 1$) From $3t^2 + 4t + 1 = m^2$,

$$(6t+4)^2 - 12m^2 = 4.$$

The Pell-type equation $x^2 - 12m^2 = 4$ has infinitely many solutions; e.g. $t = 0, 8, 120, 1680, \dots$ produce $p_3(6t+1) = 1, 15^2, 209^2, 2911^2, \dots$

(Case $r = 4$) From $3t^2 + 7t + 4 = m^2$,

$$(6t+7)^2 - 12m^2 = 1,$$

the (positive) Pell equation with fundamental solution $(x, m) = (7, 2)$, hence infinitely many solutions. We obtain $t = 0, 15, 224, \dots$ and $p_3(6t+4) = 2^2, 28^2, 390^2, \dots$

(Case $r = 5$) From $3t^2 + 8t + 5 = m^2$,

$$(6t + 8)^2 - 12m^2 = 4,$$

again yielding infinitely many solutions; e.g. $t = 1, 31, 449, \dots$ and $p_3(6t + 5) = 4^2, 56^2, 780^2, \dots$

In each case the corresponding Pell or Pell-type equation has infinitely many solutions because the unit group of $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{12}]$ is infinite; iterating by the fundamental unit $7 + 2\sqrt{12}$ produces an infinite family. Therefore $p_3(n)$ assumes square values infinitely often in the residue classes $n \equiv 0, 1, 4, 5 \pmod{6}$.

To prove Theorem 1, we use the fact that the partition functions $p_B(n)$ are quasipolynomial for large n , meaning each behaves like fixed polynomials along specific arithmetic progressions. This regularity allows us to reduce the conjectures to curves with genus ≥ 1 , which then allows us to appeal to Siegel's Theorem. Summing over these progressions implies Theorem 1.

2 Nuts and bolts and the proof of Theorem 1

This section gathers the necessary structural inputs for our partition function perfect-power repulsion theorem and then demonstrates Theorem 1. Subsection 2.1 records the quasipolynomial description of $p_B(n)$ on residue classes, its growth, discrete spacing, and includes two Diophantine lemmas that manage the proximity to perfect k th powers. Subsection 2.2 combines these tools to prove Theorem 1.

2.1 Nuts and bolts

We start with the quasipolynomial (QP) structure for the counting function $p_B(n)$ and the resulting growth and spacing on arithmetic progressions. Throughout, we assume that $B \geq 4$ and $k \geq 3$ are fixed integers, where $k \nmid (B - 1)$.

Lemma 1 (QP structure, degree, and spacing). *If we let $L := \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, B)$, then there are polynomials $Q_0, \dots, Q_{L-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ of the same degree $B - 1$ with positive leading coefficients such that for all n we have*

$$p_B(Ln + r) = Q_r(n) \quad (0 \leq r < L).$$

Moreover, for each r , one has

$$\begin{aligned} Q_r(n) &= \alpha_r n^{B-1} + O(n^{B-2}) \quad (\alpha_r > 0), \\ Q_r(n+1) - Q_r(n) &= (B-1)\alpha_r n^{B-2} + O(n^{B-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the generating function (3)

$$P_B(q) = \sum_{n \geq 0} p_B(n)q^n = \prod_{m=1}^B \frac{1}{1-q^m},$$

and let $L := \text{lcm}(1, 2, \dots, B)$. All of the poles of $P_B(q)$ lie at the L th roots of unity ζ . The pole at $\zeta = 1$ has order B , and every other pole has order at most $B-1$. Therefore, we can write the (finite) partial fraction decomposition

$$P_B(q) = \sum_{\zeta^{L=1}} \sum_{j=1}^{e(\zeta)} \frac{R_{\zeta,j}(q)}{(1-\zeta q)^j},$$

where $R_{\zeta,j}(q)$ are polynomials and $e(\zeta) \leq B$, with $e(1) = B$. Extracting coefficients via

$$[q^N](1-\zeta q)^{-j} = \zeta^N \binom{N+j-1}{j-1},$$

we obtain

$$p_B(N) = \sum_{\zeta^{L=1}} \sum_{j=1}^{e(\zeta)} \zeta^N P_{\zeta,j}(N),$$

where each $P_{\zeta,j} \in \mathbb{Q}[N]$ has degree at most $j-1$. Fixing a residue class $N \equiv r \pmod{L}$, then we have that each $\zeta^N = \zeta^r$ is constant, and we conclude that $p_B(Ln+r)$ agrees (for all $n \geq 0$) with a polynomial $Q_r(n) \in \mathbb{Q}[n]$ of degree at most $B-1$.

The degree is exactly $B-1$ with positive leading coefficient, because the only term contributing in top degree is the pole at $\zeta = 1$ and $j = B$. Near $q = 1$, we have

$$\prod_{m=1}^B \frac{1}{1-q^m} \sim \frac{1}{\prod_{m=1}^B m} \cdot (1-q)^{-B} \quad (q \rightarrow 1),$$

so $[q^N](1-q)^{-B} = \binom{N+B-1}{B-1} \sim \frac{N^{B-1}}{(B-1)!}$. Therefore, the leading coefficient equals

$$\alpha_r = \frac{L^{B-1}}{B!(B-1)!} > 0.$$

Finally, if $Q_r(n) = \alpha_r n^{B-1} + O(n^{B-2})$, then the discrete difference satisfies

$$Q_r(n+1) - Q_r(n) = (B-1)\alpha_r n^{B-2} + O(n^{B-3}),$$

by a one-term binomial expansion. This proves the claims. \square

The previous lemma is crucial for the proof of Theorem 1. The next little lemma establishes that the polynomials Q_r are not simple shifts of perfect powers.

Lemma 2. *Let $Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and fix an integer $k \geq 2$. Define*

$$T_k(Q) := \left\{ t \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, R(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x] \text{ with } \deg R \geq 1 \right. \\ \left. \text{and } Q(x) - t = a R(x)^k \right\}.$$

Then $T_k(Q)$ is finite. In fact, we have that

$$T_k(Q) \subseteq \{ Q(\xi) : \xi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} \text{ and } Q'(\xi) = 0 \},$$

and so $|T_k(Q)| \leq d - 1$.

Proof. Suppose $Q(x) - t = a R(x)^k$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$, $\deg R \geq 1$, and $k \geq 2$. Differentiating gives

$$Q'(x) = a k R(x)^{k-1} R'(x).$$

Hence $Q(x) - t$ and $Q'(x)$ have the common nonconstant factor $R(x)^{k-1}$, so $Q(x) - t$ has a multiple root. Thus, there is $\xi \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ with $Q(\xi) = t$ and $Q'(\xi) = 0$ (i.e. t is a critical value of Q). Since Q' has degree $d - 1$, there are at most $d - 1$ such values. \square

Corollary 1. *For any fixed $X \geq 0$, we have*

$$|T_k(Q) \cap \{ t \in \mathbb{Q} : |t| \leq X \}| < +\infty.$$

To prove Theorem 1, we require the following notions about polynomials $Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$. We say Q is *generic (relative to $k \geq 2$)* if $Q(x) \neq a R(x)^k$ for every $a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ and $R \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Otherwise, we say that Q is *power-type (relative to k)*. The next lemma is a Diophantine inputs. The first gives finiteness of perfect and near-perfect k th powers along any progression whose quasipolynomial piece is generic. The second lemma gives a pointwise lower bound in the power-type case.

Lemma 3. *Let $Q(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$ have degree $d \geq 2$, let $k \geq 3$ be an integer, and fix $D \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Assume that for every $t \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $|t| \leq D$ the polynomial $Q(x) - t$ is not of the form $a \cdot R(x)^k$ with $a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times$ and nonconstant $R \in \mathbb{Q}[x]$. Then we have*

$$\#\{(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z} : |Q(n) - m^k| \leq D\} < \infty.$$

Moreover, except possibly in the pair $(d, k) = (2, 2)$, this set is bounded in terms of Q, k, D .

Proof. Write $Q = \frac{1}{M} Q_e$ with $M \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $Q_e \in \mathbb{Z}[x]$ primitive. Then

$$|Q(n) - m^k| \leq D \iff |Q_e(n) - M m^k| \leq MD.$$

Hence, it suffices to prove finiteness, for each fixed integer t with $|t| \leq MD$, of the Diophantine equation

$$M m^k = Q_e(n) - t. \quad (5)$$

Fix such a t . Factor M as $M = u^k b_0$ with $u \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ and $b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ k -th-power-free. Then every integer solution (n, m) to (5) yields an *integral* solution $(X, Y) = (n, um)$ of the superelliptic equation

$$b_0 Y^k = Q_e(X) - t. \quad (6)$$

Conversely, any integral solution (X, Y) to (6) with $u \mid Y$ corresponds to a solution $(n, m) = (X, Y/u)$ of (5). Therefore, the set of solutions to (5) is finite if and only if the set of integral points $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ on (6) is finite.

Set $d = \deg Q_e = \deg Q \geq 2$ and, for each fixed $t \in \mathbb{Z}$, define

$$r_t := \#\{x \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}} : Q_e(x) = t\}$$

(counted *without* multiplicity). Note that the set of t with $r_t < d$ is finite (the critical values of Q_e).

Case $r_t = 1$. If $Q_e(X) - t = c(X - a)^d$ with $c \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ and $d = \deg Q_e \geq 2$, then (6) becomes

$$b_0 Y^k = c(X - a)^d.$$

Write $u = \gcd(k, d)$ and $k = uk_1$, $d = ud_1$ with $\gcd(k_1, d_1) = 1$. If $k \mid d$ and c/b_0 is a k th power, then $Q_e(X) - t$ would be a constant times a k th power, contrary to the hypothesis. Otherwise, by standard finiteness results for Thue/Thue-Mahler type equations (for example, see Chapter 9 of [1]), this Diophantine equation has only finitely many integer solutions.

Case $r_t \geq 3$. Then the smooth projective model of (6) has genus $g \geq 1$ (see, e.g., the standard genus formula for superelliptic curves in §IV of [2]). By Siegel's theorem (see Chapter 8 of [3]), (6) has only finitely many integral points.

Case $r_t = 2$. Write $Q_e(X) - t = c(X - a)^2(X - b)$ with $a \neq b$. If $k \geq 3$, (6) is a Thue/Thue-Mahler-type equation, and standard results (for example, see Chapter 9 of [1]) imply finiteness of integral solutions.

Case $(d, k) = (2, 2)$. This is the classical conic case, which may have infinitely many integral points (Pell-type).

When $g \geq 1$, Siegel's theorem on integral points (for example, see Chapter 8 of [3]) implies that the set of integral solutions $(X, Y) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ to (6) is finite. Because there are only finitely many t with $|t| \leq MD$, taking the union over these t shows that there are only finitely many (n, m) with $|Q(n) - m^k| \leq D$. \square

2.2 Proof of Theorem 1

By Lemma 1, there is a period L and polynomials $Q_0, \dots, Q_{L-1} \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ of degree $B - 1$ with positive leading coefficients such that $p_B(Ln + r) = Q_r(n)$ for $0 \leq r < L$. It suffices to prove that for each fixed residue class r and each fixed $d \geq 0$, the set

$$\mathcal{S}_{r,d} := \{(n, m) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \times \mathbb{Z} : |Q_r(n) - m^k| \leq d\}$$

is finite; then a finite union over r gives (ii), and taking $d = 0$ gives (i).

Fix r and $d \geq 0$. For each integer t with $|t| \leq d$ consider the Diophantine equation

$$Q_r(n) - m^k = t, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (7)$$

Let

$$T_r(d) := \left\{ t \in \mathbb{Z} : |t| \leq d \text{ and } \exists a \in \mathbb{Q}^\times, R \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \text{ nonconstant} \right. \\ \left. \text{with } Q_r(X) - t = aR(X)^k \right\}.$$

By Lemma 2 and Corollary 1, $T_r(d)$ is finite.

Case of Non-exceptional shifts. For every $t \in \{-d, -d + 1, \dots, d\} \setminus T_r(d)$, the polynomial $Q_r(X) - t$ is *not* a constant times a k th power. Choose $b_0 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ so that $Q_e(X) := b_0 Q_r(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$. Then any solution of (7) gives an integer solution of

$$b_0 m^k = Q_e(n) - b_0 t.$$

By Lemma 3 (applied with the fixed polynomial $Q_e(X) - b_0t$), there are only finitely many such integer solutions. Hence, $\#\{(n, m) : Q_r(n) - m^k = t\} < \infty$ for all non-exceptional t with $|t| \leq d$.

Case of exceptional shifts. Now fix $t \in T_r(d)$. As above, pass to $Q_e(X) = b_0Q_r(X) \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ and consider

$$b_0 m^k = Q_e(n) - b_0t.$$

Let r_t denote the number of distinct roots of $Q_e(X) - b_0t$ in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$.

- If $r_t \geq 3$, then the affine curve $b_0Y^k = Q_e(X) - b_0t$ has the genus ≥ 1 , so by Siegel's theorem (for example, see Chapter 8 of [3]) there are only finitely many integer points.

- If $r_t = 2$, standard Thue-Mahler finiteness applies (for example, see Chapter 9 of [1]) so there are only finitely many integer solutions.

- If $r_t = 1$, then $Q_e(X) - b_0t = c(X - a)^d$ for some $a, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, $c \neq 0$, with $d = \deg Q_e = B - 1 \geq 3$. Dividing by b_0 gives

$$Q_r(X) - t = \frac{c}{b_0} (X - a)^d.$$

Since $k \nmid d = B - 1$ by hypothesis, the Diophantine equation $b_0 m^k = c(n - a)^d$ is of Thue/Thue-Mahler type with coprime exponents and therefore has only finitely many integer solutions (for example, see Chapter 9 of [1]). Therefore, the case $r_t = 1$ also yields at most finitely many solutions.

Combining the three subcases, for each $t \in T_r(d)$ the equation (7) has only finitely many integer solutions. Therefore, $\mathcal{S}_{r,d}$ is the finite union, over the finitely many $t \in \{-d, \dots, d\}$, of finite sets. This proves that $\mathcal{S}_{r,d}$ is finite. As noted at the start, summing over r establishes (ii), and taking $d = 0$ gives (i). \square

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Mircea Merca, Wei-Lun Tsai, and Maciej Ulas for their comments on an earlier version of this note. The author thanks the Thomas Jefferson Fund, the NSF (DMS-2002265 and DMS-2055118) and the Simons Foundation (SFI-MPS-TSM-00013279) for their generous support. The author is an Honorary Member of the Academy of Romanian Scientists.

References

- [1] J.-H. Evertse and K. Győry, *Unit Equations in Diophantine Number Theory*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, Vol. 146, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015.

- [2] P. Griffiths, *Introduction to Algebraic Curves*, Trans. Math. Monog., Vol. 76, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1989.
- [3] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of Diophantine Geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983.
- [4] M. Merca, K. Ono and W.-L. Tsai, Do perfect powers repel partition numbers?, *Ann. Acad. Rom. Sci., Ser. Math. Appl.* 17 (2025), 95-105.
- [5] P. Mihăilescu, *Primary cyclotomic units and a proof of Catalan's conjecture*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 572 (2004), 167-195.
- [6] Z.-W. Sun, Can the partition function $p(n)$ take perfect power values?, *MathOverflow*, Nov. 21, 2018.
- [7] Z.-W. Sun, *New Conjectures in Number Theory and Combinatorics* (in Chinese), Harbin University of Technology Press, Harbin, 2021.
- [8] S. Tengely and M. Ulas, Equal values of certain partition functions via Diophantine equations, *Res. Number Theory* 7 (2021), 67.