Academy of Romanian Scientists *Volume 15, No. 1, 2026, pp. 161 - 166*
Annals Series on Biological Sciences Online ISSN 2285 – 4177

ORIGINAL PAPERS

RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN HEARING LOSS AND MEMORY IMPAIRMENT - THE IMPACT OF AUDITORY REHABILITATION ON COGNITIVE FUNCTIONS AND MEMORY

Hristian Alexandru IONUT[1], Ioannis MAVROUDIS[2]

[1] Department of Biology, Faculty of Biology, Alexandru Ioan Cuza University of Iasi, 20th Carol I Avenue, 700506 Iasi, Romania, hristian.alexandru@outlook.com
[2] Department of Neurosciences, Leeds Teaching Hospitals, NHS Trust, Leeds LS97TF, UK

Abstract: Hearing loss is a principal modifiable driver of cognitive decline and dementia. This article examines the physiological and psychosocial mechanisms linking peripheral auditory dysfunction to memory impairment. A review of epidemiological and longitudinal clinical data—drawn from 1,275 subjects monitored over 25 months—demonstrates that individuals with untreated hearing loss experience accelerated cognitive decline. Early audiological intervention, including hearing aids or cochlear implants, represents a critical neuroprotective strategy capable of substantially delaying or mitigating the progression toward secondary dementia.

Keywords: auditory rehabilitation; cognitive decline; hearing aids; cochlear implants; memory impairment; neuroplasticity; dementia prevention

DOI 10.56082/annalsarscibio.2026.1.161

Background

Hearing loss is increasingly recognised as a significant and potentially modifiable risk factor for cognitive decline and dementia. While traditionally viewed as a benign part of ageing, emerging research suggests that the strain of processing degraded auditory signals may directly impact memory systems. This paper explores the complex relationship between age-related hearing loss and memory impairment, examining the physiological and psychosocial mechanisms that link these two conditions. Evidence consistently shows that individuals with hearing loss experience accelerated rates of cognitive decline compared to those with normal hearing, and that addressing hearing loss through early intervention may serve as a vital strategy for preserving memory and delaying the clinical symptoms of dementia [1,2].

1. Introduction

This scientific investigation examines the intricate and bidirectional relationship between hearing loss and memory impairment—a phenomenon that is becoming increasingly prevalent within the context of a rapidly ageing global population. The research is fundamentally anchored in the critical hypothesis that untreated hearing loss is not merely an isolated sensory barrier but acts as a primary catalyst that accelerates cognitive decline through profound neurophysiological mechanisms [1,2].

This process of degradation is fuelled by two interdependent components: on one hand, the exacerbated increase in listening effort, which depletes the brain's cognitive resources at the direct expense of efficient information processing; and on the other hand, the progressive social isolation resulting from communication difficulties, which leads to chronic stimulatory deprivation [5]. Consequently, the central objective of this study is to determine the extent to which early audiological intervention can mitigate this decline, serving as a vital pillar in preserving the integrity of executive functions and long-term neuroplasticity [3,4].

2. Methodology

The research employs a rigorous longitudinal design based on an extensive sample of 1,275 subjects, meticulously selected to ensure broad demographic representation, with ages ranging from 4 to 97 years. This exceptional generational diversity is essential for observing the multidimensional impact of hearing loss across the entire life cycle—from infantile neurocognitive development to senile degenerative processes. Over a 25-month monitoring period, participants underwent periodic auditory and cognitive assessments to capture the dynamics of structural and functional changes over time.

From the total sample, 648 patients presenting with varying degrees of hearing loss were identified, from which an experimental group of 155 individuals was established to receive specific audiological interventions—namely conventional hearing aids or cochlear implants. Their results were systematically compared against an unaided control group to highlight the direct clinical benefits of rehabilitation on cognitive reserves.

To establish a high-precision diagnosis, a rigorous clinical battery of audiological tests was utilised, beginning with Pure Tone Audiometry (PTA), considered the gold standard for determining hearing thresholds, followed by Speech Audiometry to evaluate the functional impact on daily communication. The investigation was further supplemented by immittance testing, including tympanometry and the study of the stapedial reflex, to objectively assess middle ear function and the integrity of the auditory nerve. Clinical tuning fork tests (Rinne and Weber) provided immediate qualitative data to validate audiometric findings.

Cognitive profiles were evaluated using a comprehensive neuropsychological battery specifically targeting the domains most vulnerable to auditory deprivation. Memory and attention were analysed through digital and verbal memorisation tasks (Digit Span Test), while working and visual memory were quantified using the N-back task and repetitive image recognition. The evaluation was completed with the Stroop and Trail Making Tests to measure cognitive flexibility and processing speed—functions that typically show the first signs of decline in patients with untreated sensory deprivation.

3. Results

The rehabilitated group exhibited a diverse distribution of hearing loss severity, allowing for a granular analysis of how different levels of auditory deprivation impact cognitive function. The cohort consisted of 70 patients with mild-to-moderate hearing loss, 53 with severe hearing loss, 21 with mild hearing loss, 9 with profound hearing loss, and 2 cochlear implant recipients.

Initial assessments revealed a clear, progressive degradation of cognitive performance that was directly proportional to the severity of the hearing threshold, strongly suggesting that as auditory input diminishes, the cognitive resources required for information processing become increasingly strained.

In cases of mild hearing loss ($n = 21$), subjects maintained a relatively high average cognitive score of 74%, suggesting that compensatory mechanisms remain effective at this early stage. However, with mild-to-moderate hearing loss, the average score dropped to 61%, signalling the onset of a significant 'cognitive tax' during communication. A critical decline was observed with severe hearing loss, where scores plummeted to 27%, representing the point at which auditory deprivation severely disrupts neural pathways associated with memory and executive function. In instances of profound hearing loss, scores reached a minimum average of 11%, indicating near-total difficulty in performing complex cognitive tasks without sensory assistance.

For the cochlear implant recipients ($n = 2$), results were highly contrasting: 83% and 55%, respectively. This variance underscores the critical importance of intervention timing and individual neural plasticity in recovering cognitive efficiency.

HL Stage	n (Aided)	Aided Score (%)	n (Unaided)	Unaided Score (%)	Difference	Clinical Status
Mild	21	74%	—	66%*	+8%	Compensated
Mild-Moderate	70	61%	—	24%*	+37%	**CRITICAL POINT**
Severe	53	27%	—	15%*	+12%	At Risk
Profound	9	11%	—	9%*	+2%	Decompensated
Cochlear Implant A	1	83%	—	N/A	N/A	Excellent Recovery
Cochlear Implant B	1	55%	—	N/A	N/A	Partial Recovery

Figure 1. Cognitive Score Comparison: Clinical Stages vs. Audiological Interventions (n = 155 Aided vs. 648 Unaided*)

Inverse correlation between hearing threshold severity and memory performance. The critical tipping point at the mild-to-moderate transition (37% inter-group difference) represents the threshold of cognitive collapse.

**Unaided comparative scores drawn from matched subgroup data within the 648-patient unaided cohort (Neural Plasticity companion article, Table 1).*

4. Discussion

Metabolic Theft and the Cognitive Load Hypothesis

A clear inverse correlation exists between hearing thresholds and memory performance. As hearing acuity worsens, the brain redirects significant metabolic and neural resources toward the basic task of decoding sounds. This 'stolen' energy is subsequently unavailable for high-level cognitive processes such as encoding new information into long-term memory [2,5].

The patient is not simply 'forgetting' information; because of the heavy cognitive load, information was never successfully stored in the first place. The prefrontal cortex must constantly scan the internal lexical dictionary, use contextual clues to reconstruct missing phonemes, and maintain sustained vigilance—all of which is metabolically expensive. By the end of a simple social interaction, the patient has effectively exhausted their executive function reserve.

The Cognitive Tipping Point: From Moderate to Severe Loss

The decline from a 61% score at mild-to-moderate loss to 27% at severe loss represents a critical tipping point. Once hearing loss crosses a specific decibel threshold, the brain can no longer effectively compensate, leading to accelerated atrophy of the auditory cortex and related memory centres such as the hippocampus [2,6].

Research indicates that in the absence of sound, the brain may undergo maladaptive neuroplasticity, whereby the auditory cortex is recruited by other senses such as vision [6]. Once the auditory cortex has been colonised by other senses, even the most advanced technology cannot fully reawaken it—explaining the stark difference between early and late intervention groups in this dataset.

Social Isolation as a Catalytic Driver

Beyond the biological mechanics, observations indicate that untreated hearing loss leads to social withdrawal—self-isolation driven by the effort-reward imbalance of conversation. For an individual with severe hearing loss, the effort required to follow a conversation often outweighs the social reward. This withdrawal creates a secondary stimulus deprivation: social interaction is one of the most cognitively demanding activities humans perform, and losing it is equivalent to stopping all physical exercise for the brain [4,5].

The lack of daily verbal engagement accelerates the degradation of cognitive reserve, while social isolation simultaneously elevates cortisol levels—a chronic neurotoxic stressor specifically targeting the hippocampus. The 'behavioural spiral' is thus: hearing loss leads to communication frustration; frustration leads to withdrawal; withdrawal leads to stimulus deprivation and accelerated cognitive decline [4,5].

Neural Plasticity: The Window of Opportunity

The contrasting results within the cochlear implant group suggest that neural plasticity is time-dependent; early intervention—before auditory pathways become dormant—is essential for successful cognitive rehabilitation [3,6]. If a patient waits until the point of no return, the auditory cortex may have already been colonised by the visual system, creating a structural barrier to future rehabilitation.

This finding mandates a proactive neuro-audiology approach: mandatory Speech-in-Noise (SIN) testing for patients over 55 and identification of patients at the moderate threshold before the memory performance plummets to 27%. Neurologists must view the audiogram as a vital sign for brain health, analogous to how a cardiologist views an ECG for cardiac health.

Auditory Rehabilitation as Metabolic Relief

Early intervention via hearing aids or cochlear implants does more than amplify sound; it preserves the functional integrity of the brain's architecture by restoring the ease of communication, effectively returning stolen metabolic energy to the memory centres and potentially slowing or halting the trajectory toward cognitive collapse [3,4,5]. Auditory rehabilitation serves as a metabolic relief valve, lowering the cognitive cost of social interaction and allowing the prefrontal executive to return to its role as the manager of memory and emotional regulation.

5. Conclusions

The collected data confirm that hearing loss is a major modifiable risk factor for cognitive decline. Early auditory rehabilitation—including hearing aids and cochlear implants—plays a crucial neuroprotective role. The study underscores the importance of integrating audiological screening into standard medical protocols, especially for patients with systemic conditions such as diabetes and hypertension.

Addressing hearing loss is not only essential for communication but also for preserving memory, cognitive reserve, and overall quality of life. The findings strongly reinforce the relationship between auditory degradation and cognitive decline, highlighting the role of cognitive load, neural plasticity, and systemic health factors. These results should be interpreted in the broader context of public health, where early screening and intervention could significantly reduce the burden of dementia globally.

R E F E R E N C E S

[1] Huang, A. R., & Lin, F. R. (2023). Hearing loss and dementia in older adults: A narrative review. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association, 87(4), 252–258. https://doi.org/10.1097/jcma.0000000000001042

[2] Lin, F. R., Metter, E. J., O'Brien, R. J., Resnick, S. M., Zonderman, A. B., & Ferrucci, L. (2011). Hearing loss and incident dementia. Archives of Neurology, 68(2), 214–220. https://doi.org/10.1001/archneurol.2010.362

[3] Lin, F. R. (2024). Age-related hearing loss. New England Journal of Medicine, 390(16), 1505–1512. https://doi.org/10.1056/nejmcp2306778

[4] Livingston, G., Huntley, J., Sommerlad, A., et al. (2024). Dementia prevention, intervention, and care: 2024 report of the Lancet Commission. The Lancet, 404(10452), 572–628. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(24)01296-0

[5] Neilson, J., et al. (2024). Psychology and audiology: A proposed guide for collaborative care for hearing loss and cognitive decline. American Journal of Audiology. https://doi.org/10.1044/2025_AJA-25-00088

[6] Paciello, F., et al. (2025). Beyond the auditory system: Cognitive implications of age-related hearing loss. Frontiers in Aging Neuroscience. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnagi.2025.1736579