

## A FIXED POINT RESULT IN GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES WITH GRAPHS\*

Simeon Reich<sup>†</sup>      Alexander J. Zaslavski<sup>‡</sup>

*Dedicated to Biagio Ricceri on the occasion of his 70th anniversary*

DOI 10.56082/annalsarscimath.2026.1.9

### Abstract

We introduce a new class of generalized metric spaces with graphs and prove a fixed point result for Rakotch type contractive mappings.

**Keywords:** fixed point, generalized metric, generalized nonexpansive mapping, graph, Rakotch contraction.

**MSC:** 47H09, 47H10, 54E35.

## 1 Introduction

For more than sixty years, there has been a lot of research activity concerning the fixed point theory of nonexpansive (that is, 1-Lipschitz) mappings. See, for example, [2, 4, 5, 8–10, 13, 15–20, 24, 25] and references cited therein. This activity stems from Banach’s classical theorem [1] regarding the existence of a unique fixed point for a strict contraction. It also concerns the convergence of (inexact) iterates of a nonexpansive mapping to one of its fixed points. Since that seminal result, many developments have taken place in this field including, in particular, studies of feasibility, common fixed point

---

\* Accepted for publication on June 27, 2025

<sup>†</sup>[sreich@technion.ac.il](mailto:sreich@technion.ac.il), Department of Mathematics, The Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

<sup>‡</sup>[ajzasl@technion.ac.il](mailto:ajzasl@technion.ac.il), Department of Mathematics, The Technion – Israel Institute of Technology, 32000 Haifa, Israel

problems and variational inequalities, which find important applications in engineering, medical and the natural sciences [3, 6, 7, 22–25].

One of the important directions in fixed point theory is the study of operators on some spaces with distances which are not metrics. In particular, an example of such a space is the modular space studied in [11, 12, 14]. In our recent work [21] we have introduced certain generalized metric spaces by extending the concept of a modular space studied in [11, 12, 14] and have established a fixed point theorem for certain Rakotch type contractive operators. In the present paper we introduce a new class of generalized metric spaces with graphs which contains the class of spaces considered in [21] and prove a fixed point result for Rakotch type contractive mappings.

To this end, we first recall the notion of a modular space.

Let  $X$  be a vector space. A functional  $\rho : X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$  is called a *modular* [11, 12, 14] if the following properties hold:

- (1)  $\rho(x) = 0$  if and only  $x = 0$ ;
- (2)  $\rho(-x) = \rho(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ ;
- (3)  $\rho(\alpha x + \beta y) \leq \rho(x) + \rho(y)$  for each  $x, y \in X$  and each  $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$  satisfying  $\alpha + \beta = 1$ .

The vector space

$$X_\rho := \{x \in X : \rho(\lambda x) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } \lambda \rightarrow 0\}$$

is called a *modular space*.

Assume that  $\rho$  is a modular defined on a vector space  $X$ . We say that the modular  $\rho$  satisfies a  $\Delta_2$ -type condition if there exists a number  $M > 0$  such that

$$\rho(2x) \leq M\rho(x), \quad x \in X_\rho. \quad (1)$$

The authors of [12] considered a modular function space  $L_\rho$  (which is a particular case of a modular space) with a modular  $\rho$  satisfying a  $\Delta_2$ -type condition. They showed that if  $T$  is a self-mapping of a closed subset  $K$  of  $L_\rho$  such that for some  $c \in [0, 1)$ ,

$$\rho(T(x) - T(y)) \leq c\rho(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in K$$

and such that there exists a point  $x_0 \in K$  satisfying

$$\sup\{\rho(2T^p(x_0)) : p = 1, 2, \dots\} < \infty,$$

then  $T$  has a fixed point.

Assume now that  $\rho$  is a modular defined on the vector space  $X$ . For each  $x, y \in X$ , define

$$d(x, y) := \rho(x - y).$$

It is easy to see that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$  and that  $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ .

Assume that  $\rho$  satisfies the  $\Delta_2$ -type condition (1) with a number  $M > 0$ . Then for each  $x, y, z \in X_\rho$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x, z) &= \rho(x - z) = \rho((x - y) + (y - z)) \\ &= \rho(2(2^{-1}(x - y) + 2^{-1}(y - z))) \leq M\rho(2^{-1}(x - y) + 2^{-1}(y - z)) \\ &\leq M(\rho(x - y) + \rho(y - z)) \leq Md(x, y) + Md(y, z). \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that  $d$ , the distance in  $X_\rho$  associated with the modular  $\rho$ , is not a metric in general. This leads us to the following definition, which was introduced in [21].

Assume that  $X$  is a nonempty set,  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ ,  $M > 0$ , and that for each  $x, y, z \in X$ ,

$$d(x, y) = 0 \text{ if and only if } x = y,$$

$$d(x, y) = d(y, x)$$

and

$$d(x, z) \leq Md(x, y) + Md(y, z).$$

We call the pair  $(X, d)$  a generalized metric space. For each point  $x \in X$  and each number  $r > 0$ , set

$$B_d(x, r) := \{y \in X : d(x, y) \leq r\}.$$

Clearly, the concept of a generalized metric space is a generalization of both a modular space and a metric space. It allows us to unify the study of these two important classes of spaces. For specific examples of modular spaces see, for instance, [11, 14].

We equip the space  $X$  with the uniformity determined by the base

$$\mathcal{U}(\epsilon) := \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y) \leq \epsilon\}, \epsilon > 0.$$

This uniform space is metrizable (by a metric  $\tilde{d}$ ). We also equip the space  $X$  with the topology induced by this uniformity and assume that the uniform space  $X$  is complete.

Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$  and  $x \in X$ . Clearly,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  if and only if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x) = 0$  and  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a natural number  $n(\epsilon)$  such that  $d(x_n, x_m) \leq \epsilon$  for every pair of integers  $n, m \geq n(\epsilon)$ .

A set  $E \subset X$  is said to be bounded if

$$\sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in E\} < \infty.$$

Assume that  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a decreasing function such that

$$\phi(t) < 1 \text{ for all } t > 0.$$

In [21] we proved the following fixed point result for Rakotch type contractive operators.

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $K$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $X$  and let  $T : K \rightarrow X$  satisfy*

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y)$$

*for each  $x, y \in K$  satisfying  $d(x, y) < \infty$ . Assume that for each integer  $n \geq 1$ , there exists a point  $x_n \in K$  such that*

$$T^n(x_n) \text{ exists and belongs to } K$$

*and that the set*

$$E := \{T^i(x_n) : n = 1, 2, \dots \text{ and } i \in \{0, \dots, n\}\}$$

*is bounded. Then there exists a point  $x_* \in K$  such that  $T(x_*) = x_*$ . Moreover, this fixed point is unique if  $d(x, y) < \infty$  for each pair  $x, y \in K$ .*

## 2 Generalized metric spaces with graphs

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and let a mapping  $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be given. Assume that for each  $x, y \in X$ ,  $d(x, y) = 0$  if and only if  $x = y$  and that the following properties hold.

(P1) For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $x, y \in X$  satisfy  $d(x, y) \leq \delta$ , then  $d(y, x) \leq \epsilon$ .

(P2) For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x, y, z \in X$  satisfying  $d(x, y), d(y, z) \leq \delta$ , we have  $d(x, z) \leq \epsilon$ .

For each  $\epsilon > 0$ , define

$$\mathcal{U}(\epsilon) = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : d(x, y), d(y, x) \leq \epsilon\}. \quad (2)$$

It is not difficult to see that  $\mathcal{U}(\epsilon)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , is a base of a uniformity which is metrizable by a metric  $d_1$ . We assume that the metric space  $(X, d_1)$  is

complete and that it is endowed with a graph  $G$ . We denote by  $V(G)$  the set of vertices of  $G$  and by  $E(G)$  the set of its edges.

Let a nonempty set  $K \subset X$  be closed, assume that

$$\sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in K\} < \infty \quad (3)$$

and that there exists a natural number  $n_0$  such that the following property holds.

(P3) for each  $x, y \in K$ , there exists a natural number  $n \leq n_0$  and points  $x_i \in K$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n$ , such that

$$x_0 = x, x_n = y$$

and for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ , at least one of the following inclusions holds:

$$(x_i, x_{i+1}) \in E(G), (x_{i+1}, x_i) \in E(G).$$

Assume that  $T : K \rightarrow K$ ,  $\phi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$  is a decreasing function,

$$\phi(t) < 1, t > 0, \quad (4)$$

and for each  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ ,

$$(T(x), T(y)) \in E(G), \quad (5)$$

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq \phi(d(x, y))d(x, y). \quad (6)$$

Set  $T^0(x) = x$ ,  $x \in K$ .

**Theorem 2.** *There exists a point  $x_* \in K$  such that  $T^n(x) \rightarrow x_*$  in  $K$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .*

### 3 Proof of Theorem 2

We begin with the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 1.** *Assume that  $x, y \in K$ ,  $(x, y) \in E(G)$ ,  $\epsilon > 0$ , and*

$$d(x, y) > \epsilon. \quad (7)$$

*Then*

$$d(T(x), T(y)) \leq d(x, y) - \epsilon(1 - \phi(\epsilon)).$$

*Proof.* By (4), (6) and (7),

$$d(x, y) - d(T(x), T(y)) \geq d(x, y)(1 - \phi(d(x, y))) \geq d(x, y)(1 - \phi(\epsilon)) \geq \epsilon(1 - \phi(\epsilon)).$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 1.  $\square$

Set

$$D_0 = \sup\{d(x, y) : x, y \in K\}. \quad (8)$$

*Proof. (of Theorem 2)* Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Property (P2) implies that there exists a number  $\epsilon_0 \in (0, \epsilon)$  such that the following property holds:

(P4) for each natural number  $n \leq n_0$  and each  $\xi_i \in K$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n_0$ , satisfying  $d(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}) \leq \epsilon_0$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, n_0 - 1$ , we have

$$d(\xi_0, \xi_n) \leq \epsilon.$$

Fix an integer

$$k_0 > D_0 \epsilon_0^{-1} (1 - \phi(\epsilon_0))^{-1}. \quad (9)$$

Assume that

$$\xi, \eta \in K, (\xi, \eta) \in E(G). \quad (10)$$

We claim that there exists an integer  $n \in [0, k_0]$  such that

$$d(T^n(\xi), T^n(\eta)) \leq \epsilon_0.$$

Suppose to the contrary that this is not true. Then for each integer  $n \in \{0, \dots, k_0\}$ ,

$$d(T^n(\xi), T^n(\eta)) > \epsilon_0$$

and in view of (10) and Lemma 1,

$$d(T^{n+1}(\xi), T^{n+1}(\eta)) \leq d(T^n(\xi), T^n(\eta)) - \epsilon_0(1 - \phi(\epsilon_0)). \quad (11)$$

By (8) and (11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_0 &\geq d(\xi, \eta) \geq d(\xi, \eta) - d(T^{k_0}(\xi), T^{k_0}(\eta)) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k_0-1} (d(T^i(\xi), T^i(\eta)) - d(T^{i+1}(\xi), T^{i+1}(\eta))) \geq k_0 \epsilon_0 (1 - \phi(\epsilon_0)), \\ k_0 &\leq D_0 \epsilon_0^{-1} (1 - \phi(\epsilon_0))^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

This, however, contradicts (9). The contradiction we have reached proves that there does exist an integer  $n \in [0, k_0]$  such that

$$d(T^n(\xi), T^n(\eta)) \leq \epsilon_0,$$

as claimed.

Thus the following property holds:

(P5) For each  $\xi, \eta \in K$  satisfying  $(\xi, \eta) \in E(G)$ , the inequality

$$d(T^n(\xi), T^n(\eta)) \leq \epsilon_0$$

holds true for each integer  $n \geq k_0$ .

Assume now that  $x, y \in K$ . Property (P3) implies that there exist a natural number  $q \leq n_0$  and points  $\xi_i \in K$ ,  $i = 0, \dots, q$ , such that

$$\xi_0 = x, \xi_q = y,$$

$$(\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}) \in E(G), i = 0, \dots, q-1.$$

Property (P5) and the above relations imply that for each integer  $n \geq k_0$ ,

$$d(T^n(\xi_i), T^n(\xi_{i+1})) \leq \epsilon_0, i = 0, \dots, q-1.$$

When combined with property (P5), this implies that

$$d(T^{k_0}(x), T^{k_0}(y)) \leq \epsilon \quad (12)$$

for each  $x, y \in K$ .

Fix  $\xi_0 \in K$ . By (12),

$$d(T^{k_0}(\xi), T^{k_0}(y)) \leq \epsilon, \text{ for each } y \in K.$$

This implies that

$$d(T^{k_0}(\xi), T^n(y)) \leq \epsilon \text{ for each integer } n \geq k_0 \text{ and for each point } y \in K.$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary positive number, this implies that for each  $x \in K$ ,  $\{T^n(x)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a Cauchy sequence and there exists

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x).$$

Let  $\delta > 0$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is an arbitrary positive number, in view of (12), there exists a natural number  $n_\delta$  such that

$$d_1(T^{n_\delta}(x), T^{n_\delta}(y)) \leq \delta, x, y \in K.$$

This implies that for each  $x, y \in K$  and each integer  $n \geq n_\delta$ , we have

$$d_1(T^{n_\delta}(x), T^n(y)) \leq \delta$$

and

$$d_1(T^{n_\delta}(x), \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(y)) \leq \delta.$$

Since  $\delta$  is an arbitrary positive number, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(y_1) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(y_2)$$

for each  $y_1, y_2 \in K$ , and that for each  $x, y \in K$  and each integer  $n \geq n_\delta$ , we have

$$d_1(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x), T^n(y)) \leq d_1(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T^n(x), T^{n_\delta}(x)) + d_1(T^{n_\delta}(x), T^n(y)) \leq 2\delta.$$

Since  $\delta$  is an arbitrary positive number, this completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Acknowledgment.** Simeon Reich was partially supported by the Israel Science Foundation (Grant No. 820/17), by the Fund for the Promotion of Research at Technion (Grant No. 2001893) and by the Technion General Research Fund (Grant No. 2016723).

## References

- [1] S. Banach, Sur les opérations dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux équations intégrales, *Fund. Math.* 3 (1922), 133-181.
- [2] A. Betiuk-Pilarska and T. Domínguez Benavides, Fixed points for non-expansive mappings and generalized nonexpansive mappings on Banach lattices, *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* 1 (2016), 343-359.
- [3] Y. Censor and M. Zaknoon, Algorithms and convergence results of projection methods for inconsistent feasibility problems: a review, *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* 3 (2018), 565-586.
- [4] F.S. de Blasi and J. Myjak, Sur la convergence des approximations successives pour les contractions non linéaires dans un espace de Banach, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 283 (1976), 185-187.

- [5] F.S. de Blasi, J. Myjak, S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, Generic existence and approximation of fixed points for nonexpansive set-valued maps, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 17 (2009), 97-112.
- [6] A. Gibali, A new split inverse problem and an application to least intensity feasible solutions, *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* 2 (2017), 243-258.
- [7] A. Gibali, S. Reich and R. Zalas, Outer approximation methods for solving variational inequalities in Hilbert space, *Optim.* 66 (2017), 417-437.
- [8] K. Goebel and W.A. Kirk, *Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [9] K. Goebel and S. Reich, *Uniform Convexity, Hyperbolic Geometry, and Nonexpansive Mappings*, Marcel Dekker, New York and Basel, 1984.
- [10] J. Jachymski, Extensions of the Dugundji-Granas and Nadler's theorems on the continuity of fixed points, *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* 2 (2017), 657-666.
- [11] M.A. Khamsi and W.M. Kozłowski, *Fixed Point Theory in Modular Function Spaces*, Birkhäuser/Springer, Cham.
- [12] M.A. Khamsi, W.M. Kozłowski and S. Reich, Fixed point theory in modular function spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.* 14 (1990), 935-953.
- [13] W.A. Kirk, *Contraction Mappings and Extensions*, Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 1-34.
- [14] W.M. Kozłowski, *An Introduction to Fixed Point Theory in Modular Function Spaces*, Topics in Fixed Point Theory, 159-222, Springer, Cham, 2014.
- [15] R. Kubota, W. Takahashi and Y. Takeuchi, Extensions of Browder's demiclosedness principle and Reich's lemma and their applications, *Pure Appl. Func. Anal.* 1 (2016), 63-84.
- [16] E. Rakotch, A note on contractive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13 (1962), 459-465.
- [17] S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, *Generic Aspects of Metric Fixed Point Theory*, Handbook of Metric Fixed Point Theory, Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, pp. 557-575.

- [18] S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, *Genericity in Nonlinear Analysis*, Developments in Mathematics, 34, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [19] S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, Contractivity and genericity results for a class of nonlinear mappings, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 16 (2015), 1113-1122.
- [20] S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, On a class of generalized nonexpansive mappings, *Mathematics*, 8(7) (2020), 1085.
- [21] S. Reich and A.J. Zaslavski, A fixed point result in generalized metric spaces, *J. Anal.* 30 (2022), 1467-1473.
- [22] W. Takahashi, The split common fixed point problem and the shrinking projection method for new nonlinear mappings in two Banach spaces, *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* 2 (2017), 685-699.
- [23] W. Takahashi, A general iterative method for split common fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces and applications, *Pure Appl. Funct. Anal.* 3 (2018), 349-369.
- [24] A.J. Zaslavski, *Approximate Solutions of Common Fixed Point Problems*, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [25] A.J. Zaslavski, *Algorithms for Solving Common Fixed Point Problems*, Springer Optimization and Its Applications, Springer, Cham, 2018.