CLASSICAL AND MULTISCALE NON-EQUILIBRIUM THERMODYNAMICS*

Miroslav Grmela[†] Michal Pavelka[‡]

Dedicated to Prof. Liliana Restuccia on the occasion of her 70th anniversary

DOI 10.56082/annalsarscimath.2025.3.319

Abstract

Classical and multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics have different histories and different objectives. In this Note we explain the differences and review some topics in which the multiscale viewpoint of mesoscopic time evolution of macroscopic systems helped to advance the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics. Eventually, we illustrate the Braun-Le Châtelier principle in dissipative thermodynamics.

Keywords: classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics, multiscale thermodynamics, geometric mechanics, Braun-Le Châtelier principle.

MSC: 82C03.

1 Introduction

The classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics grew out of combining the classical fluid mechanics with the assumption of local equilibrium and the requirement of satisfying the second law of thermodynamics [6, 33]. The multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics grew out of an attempt to extract from the Boltzmann kinetic equation a mathematical structure that

^{*}Accepted for publication on November 18, 2025

[†]miroslav.grmela@polymtl.ca, École Polytechnique Montréal, C.P.6079 suc. Centreville, Montréal, H3C 3A7, Québec, Canada

[‡]pavelka@karlin.mff.cuni.cz, Mathematical Institute, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 18675 Prague, Czech Republic

expresses both the underlying mechanics of microscopic particles composing macroscopic systems and the second law of thermodynamics. Governing equations of the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics as well as governing equations of other mesoscopic theories of macroscopic systems are then particular realizations of the resulting "abstract Boltzmann equation" (called GENERIC - an acronym for General Equation for Non-Equilibrium Reversible-Irreversible Coupling) [16, 27, 28, 30].

In Section 2, we recall the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics; in Section 3, we recall GENERIC; and in Section 4, we review some topics in which a combination of both viewpoints of mesoscopic dynamics has advanced the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The topics are: (i) the time-reversible part of the time evolution in the classical and extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics, Hamiltonian Grad hierarchy; (ii) the local equilibrium assumption and the second law in extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics; (iii) nonlinear dissipation; (iv) the role of the Braun-Le Châtelier principle in the time evolution that takes place in the space of constitutive relations.

2 Classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics

2.1 Balance laws and the second law of thermodynamics

Euler transposed Newton mechanics of particles to continuum [9]. The resulting Euler equation supplemented with two equations expressing the conservation of mass and energy and with friction are governing equations of the classical Navier-Stokes fluid mechanics. The state variables are the hydrodynamic fields $x^{(hyd)} = (\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}), \rho(\boldsymbol{r}), e(\boldsymbol{r}))$ denoting the momentum, mass, and energy respectively, $\boldsymbol{r} \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the position vector.

This theory is not, however, complete since the systems investigated in fluid mechanics are not only particles obeying Newton's mechanics. They are macroscopic systems that, if left undisturbed, reach an equilibrium state at which their behavior is well described by classical equilibrium thermodynamics [6].

Continuum mechanics has to display this observation. This is done by extending the interpretation of the energy $e(\mathbf{r})$ and assuming the local thermodynamic equilibrium. By the former modification, we mean that the total energy $e(\mathbf{r})$ is the sum of the mechanical energy (that is, the kinetic energy of the continuum $\frac{\mathbf{u}^2(\mathbf{r})}{2\rho(\mathbf{r})}$) and an internal energy $e(\mathbf{r})$ that is the energy of the internal structure of the continuum (which is left unspecified). The latter

modification introduces a new field s(r) denoting the local entropy. This new field is not an additional state variable, it is not an independent field, it is a function of the fields $(\rho(\mathbf{r}), \epsilon(\mathbf{r}))$. Moreover, the function is known if we know the equilibrium fundamental thermodynamic relation of the fluid under investigation in the equilibrium state reached by following solutions of the governing equations [3].

The field s(r) depends on $(\rho(r), \epsilon(r))$ for all position vectors r in the same way as the equilibrium entropy per unit volume depends on the equilibrium mass per unit volume and the equilibrium energy per unit volume in the equilibrium state. With such a local equilibrium assumption, it is now necessary to guarantee that the local equilibrium approaches (by following solutions of the time evolution equations of continuum mechanics in the absence of external forces) the total thermodynamic equilibrium with the same relation between entropy, mass, and energy (all per unit volume). This requirement is formulated as the second law of thermodynamics

$$\frac{\partial s(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} = -\partial_i J_i^{(s)}(\mathbf{r}) + \sigma(\mathbf{r})
\sigma(\mathbf{r}) \ge 0,$$
(1a)

which gives the total entropy multiplied by -1, $S = -\int d\mathbf{r} s(\mathbf{r})$, the role of a Lyapunov function for the approach to equilibrium. We use the following notation in Eq.(1a): $J^{(s)}(r)$ is the entropy flux, $\sigma(r)$ is the entropy production, $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial r_i}$, where $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$, and the summation convention over repeated indices is used.

The governing equations of the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics are: Eq.(1a) and

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = -\partial_j J_{ij}^{(u)} \tag{2a}$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = -\partial_j J_{ij}^{(u)} \tag{2a}$$

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\partial_i J_i^{(\rho)} \tag{2b}$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} = -\partial_i J_i^{(e)}, \tag{2c}$$

$$\frac{\partial e}{\partial t} = -\partial_i J_i^{(e)}, \tag{2c}$$

where $\boldsymbol{J}^{(u)}, J^{(\rho)}, J^{(e)}$ are fluxes of momentum, mass, and energy respectively. These equations can become equations governing the time evolution of the state variables $x^{(hyd)} = (\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}), \rho(\boldsymbol{r}), e(\boldsymbol{r}))$ only if

$$s(x^{(hyd)}), J^{(u)}(x^{(hyd)}), J^{(\rho)}(x^{(hyd)}), J^{(e)}(x^{(hyd)}), J^{(s)}(x^{(hyd)}), \sigma(x^{(hyd)})$$
 (3)

are specified. The quantities (3) specified as functions of

$$x^{(hyd)} = (\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}), \rho(\boldsymbol{r}), e(\boldsymbol{r})) \tag{4}$$

are called *constitutive relations*. The individual nature of the macroscopic systems under investigation is expressed in the classical fluid mechanics in the constitutive relations.

2.2 Towards complex fluids

The setting of classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics sketched above has been found insufficient for complex fluids (such as polymeric fluids and suspensions) and for fluids observed on small scales (for instance, heat transfer in electronic devices) [19,20]. The complex internal structure of the fluids evolves in time on the same timescale as the hydrodynamic fields. Consequently, the setting (2), (1a) has to be extended by adopting extra state variables (extra fields, we denote them by the symbol $\xi(\mathbf{r})$) into the hydrodynamic fields $x^{(hyd)}$. The extra fields characterize states of the internal structure.

The mathematical formulation of the extended continuum mechanics thus consists of (2), (1a),

$$\frac{\partial \xi}{\partial t} = \mathcal{R}(x^{(exthyd)}) \tag{5}$$

and constitutive relations for

$$\xi(\boldsymbol{r}), s(x^{(exthyd)}), \boldsymbol{J}^{(u)}(x^{(exthyd)}), J^{(\rho)}(x^{(exthyd)}),$$

$$J^{(e)}(x^{(exthyd)}), J^{(s)}(x^{(exthyd)}), \sigma(x^{(exthyd)}), \mathcal{R}(x^{(exthyd)})$$

$$(6)$$

where $x^{(exthyd)} = (\boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}), \rho(\boldsymbol{r}), e(\boldsymbol{r}), \xi(\boldsymbol{r})).$

3 Multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics

Multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics grew out of Boltzmann's investigation of the time evolution of the ideal gas [10] and Arnold's investigation [1] of the Hamiltonian structure of the Euler equation in fluid mechanics. Boltzmann's investigation is historically the first attempt to formulate mathematically the time evolution of a macroscopic system displaying the experimentally observed approach to thermodynamic equilibrium at which classical equilibrium thermodynamics holds. Arnold's investigation follows the Clebsch reformulation [5] of the Euler equation (Newton's equation for the continuum) into the Hamiltonian form. In Arnold's formulation, the problem of casting the Euler equation into the Hamiltonian form becomes

closely related to older results about Lie algebras and related to it non-canonical Hamiltonian structures [32].

With this connection established, it was then possible to cast also other time-reversible and non-dissipative parts of other mesoscopic time evolutions (in particular, the time evolutions arising in kinetic theories [26]) into noncanonical Hamiltonian form [7]. In the Boltzmann kinetic equation the part expressing the free flow is the Hamiltonian term in the time evolution. The second term on the right-hand side of the Boltzmann equation that expresses the contribution of collisions has been cast [13] into a form that is comparable in its abstractness to the Hamiltonian formulation of the free flow term by using Waldmann's view [34] of collisions as chemical reactions (as generalized gradient dynamics). The resulting reformulation of the Boltzmann equation in the form [13],

$$\dot{x} = LE_x + [\Xi_{x^*}(x, x^*)]_{x^* = S_x}, \tag{7}$$

is also called GENERIC. Conjugate variables x^* are eventually identified as the derivatives of entropy, but in general they have their own geometric meaning [30]. Non-canonical Hamiltonian dynamics has also been combined with gradient dynamics in [7], [25], [21].

The following notation is used in (7). The symbol x denotes state variables. In the kinetic theory x is the one-particle distribution function $f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$, where \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v} is the position vector and momentum of one particle respectively. In the classical fluid mechanics $x = x^{(hyd)} = (\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r}), \rho(\mathbf{r}), e(\mathbf{r}))$, in kinetic theory $x = f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$, is the one-particle distribution function, (\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) denotes the position vector and momentum of one particle. By the symbol L we denote Poisson bivector expressing Hamiltonian kinematics of x. A convenient way to present L is to present it in the form of the Poisson bracket $\{A, B\} = \langle A_x, LB_x \rangle$, where A and B are real valued and sufficiently regular functions of x, where subscripts stand for functional derivatives, $A_x = \frac{\delta A}{\delta x}$, and similarly in B_x . In the case when x is an element of an infinite dimensional space (as it is the case of kinetic theory and fluid mechanics), the derivative is an appropriate functional derivative, which turns to the usual partial derivative in finite dimensions. A mathematical definition of the Poisson bracket can be found for instance in [30].

Here we list properties of Poisson brackets using as an example the Poisson bracket

$$\{A, B\} = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) \left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial r_i} \frac{\partial B}{\partial v_i} - \frac{\partial B}{\partial r_i} \frac{\partial A}{\partial v_i} \right)$$
(8)

expressing the kinematics of the one-particle distribution function f(r, v). We see from (8) that $\{A, B\} = -\{B, A\}$ (which means that L is skew-symmetric). We can also easily verify: (i) that the bracket (8) is degenerate in the sense that $\{A, C\} = 0$ for all A if $C = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} \eta(f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}))$, where $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$; (ii) that the Jacobi identity $\{\{A, B\}, C\} + \{\{C, A\}, B\} + \{\{B, C\}, A\} = 0$ holds. The function C(f) satisfying $\{A, C\} = 0 \forall A$ is called a Casimir. The function $\eta(f)$ remains in our discussions of the non-dissipative part of the time evolution unspecified. In the Boltzmann investigation, the inclusion of the influence of collisions on the time evolution) leads to the choice $\eta(f) = -k_B f(\ln(h^3 f) - 1)$, where h is the Planck constant, which can also be derived by means of the Maximum Entropy principle (MaxEnt); see [30].

From the physical point of view, the bracket (8) is the mathematical formulation of the kinematics of one particle (expressed in the canonical Poisson bracket $\{a,b\} = \frac{\partial a}{\partial r_i} \frac{\partial b}{\partial v_i} - \frac{\partial b}{\partial r_i} \frac{\partial a}{\partial v_i}$, where a and b are real valued functions of (r, v) transposed from the space with elements (r, v) to the space with elements f(r, v). The transposition is made through the relation between the group of canonical transformations of (r, v) and the Poisson bracket on the dual of the Lie algebra corresponding to the group (see e.g. [30]). The relation between the Poisson bracket (8) and the canonical Poisson bracket for one particle can also be seen by noting that (8) transforms into the canonical one-particle Poisson bracket if f is the Klimontovich distribution function (i.e., a delta function) and $A(f) = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} a(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$, $B(f) = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} b(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}) f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$. Roughly speaking, the bracket (8) is an average of the canonical one-particle bracket.

The symbol E(f) in (7) denotes the energy. In the Boltzmann analysis, $E(f) = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} \frac{\mathbf{v}^2}{2m} f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})$, that is, the average kinetic energy of one particle, where m is the mass of one particle. The symbol S(f) denotes the entropy. In the Boltzmann analysis $S(f) = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} \eta(f)$. The symbol Ξ denotes dissipation potential. This potential is required to satisfy the following properties: (i) $\Xi(x,0) = 0 \forall x$, (ii) $\Xi(x,x^*)$ reaches its minimum at $x^* = 0$ for all x, (iii) $\Xi(x,x^*)$ is in a small neighborhood of $x^* = 0$ a convex function of x^* for all x (which means that in a small neighborhood of $x^* = 0$ the dissipation potential looks like a quadratic functional $\Xi(x,x^*) = \langle x^*, \Lambda(x)x^* \rangle$, where Λ is a positive definite linear operator). In the Boltzmann analysis $\Xi = \int d\mathbf{r} \int d\mathbf{v} \int d\mathbf{v}' \int d\mathbf{v}_1 \int d\mathbf{v}'_1 W(\cosh X - 1)$, where $X = (f^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}'_1) + f^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}') - f^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}_1) - f^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v}))/2k_B$, and $(\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v}_1) \leftrightarrows (\mathbf{v}', \mathbf{v}'_1)$ is a collision transformation which is a one-to-one transformation

leaving unchanged the total momentum $\mathbf{v} + \mathbf{v}_1$ and the total energy $\mathbf{v}^2 + \mathbf{v}_1^2$. The quantity W is the collision rate, which depends on the incoming and outgoing velocities (for details see [13, 30]).

The GENERIC equation (7) becomes an equation governing the time evolution of a specific macroscopic system if

$$x, L(x), \Xi(x, x^*), S(x), E(x), N(x)$$
 (9)

are specified. We note a resemblance between the abstract setting of classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics (1a), (2) with the constitutive relations (3) and the abstract setting of mesoscopic dynamics (7) with (9). In the context of the GENERIC equation (7), we do not call specifications of (9) constitutive relations but rather a particular realization of GENERIC. This terminology is borrowed from mathematics (for example "a particular representation or realization of a group").

The main difference between the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics recalled in the previous section and the multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics represented by (7) is the multiscale nature of the latter. The GENERIC equation (7) is an abstract framework for the time evolution of macroscopic systems on mesoscopic levels of description. The state variables do not have to be hydrodynamic or generalized hydrodynamic fields, the multiscale thermodynamics is not fluid mechanics or extended fluid mechanics.

One of the advantages of the abstract formulation is the possibility of using insights and results collected in the abstract setting and in settings of its particular realizations representing well-established mesoscopic theories in other mesoscopic theories. In the next section we present a few contributions to the classical and extended fluid mechanics from considering them as particular realizations of GENERIC (7).

4 Multiscale view of the classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics

In this section, we recall a few issues in classical and extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics and discuss them through the eyes of multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

4.1 Non-dissipative part of the time evolution

The local conservation laws (2), the local equilibrium assumption, and the second law (1a) provide a good guide for specifying the constitutive relations

(3) (i.e., for making an association between fluids under investigation and constitutive relations). It is more difficult to specify constitutive relations (9) in extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The second law (1a), in particular when it is complemented with ingenious procedures that show how to use it (e.g. [23]), still provides a good guide for specifying the dissipative part of the time evolution in extended theories. The setting of local conservation laws (2) that guides the specification of the non-dissipative part of the time evolution in extended theories ceases, however, to be applicable since $\int d\mathbf{r}\xi(\mathbf{r})$ is not typically conserved. The multiscale viewpoint remains applicable and provides assistance.

The first and also the most difficult step in extensions of classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics is the choice of extra fields. From the physical point of view, the question is what are the quantities that most faithfully represent the aspects of the macroscopic system under consideration that cannot be ignored in its time evolution observed on the scale of continuum mechanics. A strategy to answer this question (from both the physical and the mathematical point of view) is to focus first on the "rules" of motion (i.e. on its group structure). For instance, the motion of the classical continuum is a Lie group of transformations $\mathbb{R}^3 \to \mathbb{R}^3$. The state variable (i.e. the momentum field u(r)) as well as the kinematics expressed in the Poisson bracket

$$\{A, B\} = \int d\mathbf{r} u_i \left(\frac{\partial A_{u_j}}{\partial r_i} B_{u_j} - \frac{\partial B_{u_j}}{\partial r_i} A_{u_j} \right)$$
 (10)

expressing mathematically its kinematics arises then from the association of Lie groups with the Poisson structures that arise on the adjoint spaces of the Lie algebras corresponding to the Lie groups [1]. Using the same strategy in kinetic theory (where the Lie group is the group of canonical transformations $\mathbb{R}^6 \to \mathbb{R}^6$), we arrive at the one-particle distribution function as the state variable and the bracket (8) expressing its kinematics [18].

We now discuss two examples of extensions: (i) extension to polymeric fluids and (ii) extension to fluids with inertia (the fluxes appearing on the right-hand side of (2) are seen as extra state variables). We begin with the polymeric fluids. In the extension from simple to polymeric fluids, the microscopic particles from which the fluids are composed acquire an internal structure. The simplest physical model of a macromolecule is a dumbbell [2]. One point particle is extended to two-point particles connected by a spring. Leaving out velocities, states of macroscopic systems composed of dumbbells are described by a one-dumbbell distribution function $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$, where \mathbf{R} is the vector representing the spring. Assuming that the velocities of the dumbbell particles change on a smaller timescale than the timescale

of changes of the continuum, the kinematics of $\psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$ (or alternatively its moments $c_{ij}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{R} R_i R_j \psi(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{R})$) is simply a passive advection (a Lie drag) of the dumbbell by the momentum field $\mathbf{u}(\mathbf{r})$. The Poisson bracket is thus known. The spring inside the dumbbell determines the energy E. Knowledge of the bracket and the energy is enough to specify the constitutive relations for the non-dissipative part of the time evolution in isothermal polymeric fluids (see [30] and references cited therein).

The internal structure ψ appears in the momentum \mathbf{u} appears as a force driving the internal structure. Two independent calculations involving two independent collections of assumptions about the mechanics of dumbbells immersed in the continuum are needed in the classical setting to obtain the extra stress tensor and the force driving the internal structure [2]. Their mutual compatibility is not guaranteed.

The second type of extension is motivated by the form of the local conservation laws (2). The fields appearing on the right-hand side of (2) are candidates for the extra fields. From the mechanics point of view, this is a suggestion to extend Eqs. (2) by including inertia. Such an extension appears in fact already in the non-dissipative Grad hierarchy [12,15]. The first equation in the classical Grad hierarchy is obtained by integrating the Boltzmann equation without the collision term over \boldsymbol{v} , the second equation by multiplying the Boltzmann equation without the collision term by \boldsymbol{v} and integrating it over \boldsymbol{v} , and the third by multiplying it by v_iv_j and integrating over \boldsymbol{v} . By continuing this procedure, we obtain the non-dissipative Grad hierarchy governing the time evolution of an infinite number of velocity moments of the one-particle distribution function. The first five moments $\int d\boldsymbol{v} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$, $\int d\boldsymbol{v} \boldsymbol{v} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$, and $\int d\boldsymbol{v} \frac{\boldsymbol{v}^2}{2m} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$ are interpreted as the hydrodynamic fields $x^{(hyd)}$, and the moments $\int d\boldsymbol{v} v f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$, $\int d\boldsymbol{v} v v f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$, $\int d\boldsymbol{v} v \frac{\boldsymbol{v}^2}{2m} f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v})$ as the fluxes $\boldsymbol{J}^{(\rho)}$, $\boldsymbol{J}^{(u)}$, and $\boldsymbol{J}^{(e)}$. Inclusion of the subsequent equations in the hierarchy is then interpreted as an extension of the classical hydrodynamic equations.

The first question that we ask is: how can the non-dissipative dynamics of an ideal gas serve as a framework for the non-dissipative mechanics of complex fluids? From the point of view of multiscale dynamics, the non-dissipative dynamics (the first term on the right-hand side of (7)) of an ideal gas has two ingredients: the Poisson bivector (expressing kinematics of the one-particle distribution function) and the energy of an ideal gas. The Poisson bivector can provide the framework but the very particular energy of an ideal gas certainly not. We can therefore try to construct

the Grad hierarchy with just the Poisson bivector and with an unspecified energy (we shall call it the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy). It turns out that such construction is as easy as the construction of the classical Grad hierarchy.

It is enough to restrict the Poisson bracket (8) to functions A(f) and B(f) that depend on f only through the dependence on the velocity moments of f. We therefore replace A_f in (8) with $A_{c^{(0)}} + v_i A_{c_i^{(1)}} + v_i v_j A_{c_{ij}^{(2)}} + ...$, where $c_{i_1,i_2,...,i_k}^{(k)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{v} v_{i_1} v_{i_2}...v_{i_k} f(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{v})$, and similarly we rewrite B_f . The resulting Grad hierarchy can be found in [15], [30]. We now make a few observations about the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy.

First, we note that the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy is different from the classical hierarchy. Second, we see that this new hierarchy is a Hamiltonian system of time evolution equations. Indeed, the Hamiltonian structure of the non-dissipative Boltzmann equation has been preserved. We have passed from the Poisson bracket (8) to the Poisson bracket for the moments only by restricting the functions A(f) and B(f) to a particular class of functions.

The Hamilton-Grad hierarchy is still an infinite hierarchy. Can we close it? By a closure we mean a transformation of the infinite hierarchy into a finite hierarchy of equations governing the time evolution of a finite number moments $c^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}),...,c^{(n)}(\mathbf{r})$ and remaining infinite hierarchy governing the time evolution of the remaining infinite number of moments $c^{(n+1)}(\mathbf{r}), c^{(n+2)}(\mathbf{r}),....$ More specifically, we ask: can we close the infinite Hamilton-Grad hierarchy while keeping its Hamiltonian structure? In particular, we are interested in finding a hierarchy of Hamiltonian time evolution equations governing the time evolution of $c^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}),...,c^{(n)}(\mathbf{r})$. The closure is obviously related to the presence of dissipation. We can, however, still make a few observations about the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy and about the closure while considering only the non-dissipative part of the time evolution.

The fluxes that arise on the right-hand side of the time evolution equations in the classical Grad hierarchy are the same as the fluxes on their left-hand side. In other words, the extra fields adopted as extra state variables are the fluxes arising in the vector fields. This is not the case in the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy. The fluxes in the vector fields of the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy are conjugates $c^{*(j)} = E_{c^{(j)}}(c^{(0)}, c^{(1)}, ...c^{(n)})$. The identification of fluxes with extra fields is a consequence of the ideal-gas limitation of the classical Grad hierarchy.

Since we are restricting ourselves to non-dissipative time evolution, the second law (1a) becomes $\frac{\partial s(\boldsymbol{r})}{\partial t} = -\partial_i J_i^{(s)}(\boldsymbol{r})$. We have already seen in the context of the Boltzmann equation that $\frac{\partial S}{\partial t} = 0$ for $S = \int d\boldsymbol{r} \int d\boldsymbol{v} \eta(f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}))$, where $\eta : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$. We choose the entropy field $s(\boldsymbol{r}) = \int d\boldsymbol{v} \eta(f(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{v}))$.

Straightforward calculations (see [15], [30]) lead to

$$\frac{\partial s(\mathbf{r})}{\partial t} = -\frac{\partial J_{\alpha}^{(s)}(\mathbf{r})}{\partial r_{\alpha}}$$

$$J_{\alpha}^{(s)} = b^{(0)}c_{\alpha}^{*(1)} + 2b_{i}^{(1)}c_{\alpha i}^{*(2)} + 3b_{ij}^{(2)}c_{\alpha ij}^{*(3)} + \dots$$

$$b^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{v}\eta(f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})) = s(\mathbf{r})$$

$$b_{i_{1}, \dots, i_{k}}^{(k)}(\mathbf{r}) = \int d\mathbf{v}v_{i_{1}} \dots v_{i_{k}}\eta(f(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{v})).$$
(11)

The second law holds for the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy and the entropy flux is explicitly expressed as a function of the entropy moments b(r) and the conjugate state variables c^* .

Now we turn to the closure that keeps the Hamiltonian structure. It is easy to verify (see [8], [30]) that by choosing $\hat{x}^{(hyd)} = (c^{(0)}(r), c^{(1)}(r), s(r))$ as state variables, the Poisson bracket generating the Hamilton-Grad hierarchy splits into two Poisson brackets, one expressing the kinematics of $\hat{x}^{(hyd)}$ and the other expressing the kinematics of the remaining moments c. Moreover, the hydrodynamic fields form a subalgebra of the infinite Grad Lie algebra [8].

The former Poisson bracket is

$$\{A, B\}^{(hyd)} = \int d\mathbf{r} \rho \left(\frac{\partial A_{\rho}}{\partial r_{i}} B_{u_{i}} - \frac{\partial B_{\rho}}{\partial r_{i}} A_{u_{i}} \right)$$

$$+ \int d\mathbf{r} u_{i} \left(\frac{\partial A_{u_{i}}}{\partial r_{j}} B_{u_{j}} - \frac{\partial B_{u_{i}}}{\partial r_{j}} A_{u_{j}} \right)$$

$$+ \int d\mathbf{r} s \left(\frac{\partial A_{s}}{\partial r_{i}} B_{u_{i}} - \frac{\partial B_{s}}{\partial r_{i}} A_{u_{i}} \right)$$

$$(12)$$

and it generates the non-dissipative equations of classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} = -\partial_i(\rho E_{u_i})$$

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = -\partial_j(u_i E_{u_j}) - \rho \partial_i E_\rho - s \partial_i E_s$$

$$\frac{\partial s}{\partial t} = -\partial_i(s E_{u_i}).$$
(13)

In this way, we are proving that the non-dissipative part of classical non-equilibrium thermodynamics is Hamiltonian and is the same as the non-dissipative part of multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics with the state variables $\hat{x}^{(hyd)} = (c^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}), c^{(1)}(\mathbf{r}), s(\mathbf{r}))$.

Note that the momentum equation in (13) can be rewritten into the more familiar form

$$\frac{\partial u_i}{\partial t} = -\partial_j \left(\frac{u_i u_j}{\rho} \right) - \partial_i p \tag{14}$$

where the pressure $p(\mathbf{r})$ is given by

$$p = -e + \rho \frac{\partial e}{\partial \rho} + s \frac{\partial e}{\partial s} + u_i \frac{\partial e}{\partial u_i}$$
 (15)

where e is the volumetric energy density, $E(\rho, \boldsymbol{u}, s) = \int d\boldsymbol{r} e(\rho(\boldsymbol{r}), \boldsymbol{u}(\boldsymbol{r}), s(\boldsymbol{r}))$. Is there any other way to split the Poisson bracket expressing the kinematics of the infinite number of moments into two Poisson brackets, one expressing the kinematics of a finite number of moments $c^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}), ..., c^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ and the second the kinematics of $c^{(n+1)}(\boldsymbol{r}), c^{(n+2)}(\boldsymbol{r}),$? Two arguments supporting a negative answer have appeared in [8]. The first one arises from an analysis of the Lie algebras associated with the brackets and the second from arguing that the existence of an extended hydrodynamics with the state variables $c^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}), ..., c^{(n)}(\boldsymbol{r})$ would contradict experimental observations of turbulence.

4.2 Local equilibrium assumption

The multiscale view of non-equilibrium thermodynamics shows how the second law, the local equilibrium assumption, and the Hamiltonian structure of the non-dissipative part of the time evolution are intertwined. Their interdependence begins already with choosing $\hat{x}^{(hyd)} = (c^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}), c^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}), s(\boldsymbol{r}))$ instead of $x^{(hyd)} = (c^{(0)}(\boldsymbol{r}), c^{(1)}(\boldsymbol{r}), \epsilon(\boldsymbol{r}))$. The former choice guarantees the second law, and given the fact that the latter choice is the choice coming from classical mechanics-based considerations, it is tacitly assumed that there is a one-to-one relation between $s(\boldsymbol{r})$ and $\epsilon(\boldsymbol{r})$. This is indeed the case if the relation $\frac{\partial \epsilon}{\partial s} = T > 0$, where T is the absolute temperature, holds. But this relation is one of the relations implied by the local equilibrium. This means that already the choice of $\hat{x}^{(hyd)}$ as state variables (recall that this choice is dictated in multiscale nonequilibrium thermodynamics by the closure of the infinite hierarchy) relates to the second law, the local equilibrium assumption, and the Hamiltonian structure of the nondissipative part of the time evolution.

Yet another such relation is the relation between the pressure $p(\mathbf{r})$ and $x^{(hyd)}$ appearing in (15). We conclude this comment by noting that there is a fundamental difference between extensions with some extra fields $\xi^{(exthyd)}(\mathbf{r})$ that involve the internal energy field $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ or the entropy field $s(\mathbf{r})$ as one

of the state variables and the extension in which both $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ and $s(\mathbf{r})$ are functions of the state variables determined by constitutive relations. The former extension tacitly includes the local equilibrium assumption (a one-to-one relation between the fields $\epsilon(\mathbf{r})$ and $s(\mathbf{r})$ is tacitly assumed).

The second law, the local equilibrium assumption, and the Hamiltonian structure are also closely related to the mathematical regularity of solutions of the system of local conservation laws of the type (2).

Another feature of Hamiltonian continuum mechanics is the automatic conservation of energy, which follows from the skew-symmetry of the underlying Poisson bracket. In numerical mathematics, this feature is often called the compatibility of the energy flux [11] and is needed for proofs of symmetric hyperbolicity of conservation laws [31].

4.3 Nonlinear dissipation, Braun-Le Châtelier principle

The dissipative part of the GENERIC framework is generated by a dissipation potential and entropy. The dissipation potential is quadratic near the thermodynamic equilibrium, but far from equilibrium it can be non-linear and even non-convex [17]. A statistical derivation of gradient dynamics is based on the principle of large deviations [24], where gradient dynamics represents the most probable trajectory of a stochastic process.

Moreover, gradient dynamics can be seen as a generalization of the Onsager reciprocal relations, as derivatives of the dissipation potential are exchangeable. The Hamiltonian part then provides the skew-symmetric coupling with respect to the simultaneous time-reversal transformation and transposition [30].

The convexity of the dissipation potential is related to the stability of the thermodynamic equilibrium. The Braun-Le Châtelier principle [4,22], which states that a perturbation of a stable state leads to changes that counteract the perturbation, can be generalized far from equilibrium [29].

For instance, when we have two chemical reactions, one fast $(A \to B)$, one slow $(B \to C)$, both prescribed by gradient dynamics, so that the total dissipation potential is the sum of the two particular dissipation potentials,

$$\Xi^{tot} = \Xi^{fast} + \Xi^{slow}, \tag{16a}$$

where

$$\Xi^{fast} = W^{fast}(c_A, c_B) \left(\cosh\left(\frac{X_{fast}}{2k_B}\right) - 1 \right), \quad X_{fast} = c_B^* - c_A^* \quad (16b)$$

are the dissipation potential and thermodynamic force representing the fast reaction while

$$\Xi^{slow} = W^{slow}(c_B, c_C) \left(\cosh\left(\frac{X_{slow}}{2k_B}\right) - 1 \right), \quad X_{slow} = c_C^* - c_B^* \quad (16c)$$

represent the slow reaction. Collision kernels W^{fast} and W^{slow} depend on the concentrations of the reacting species, and they are specified below, see also [14,30].

The evolution equations are then

$$\dot{c}_A = \frac{\partial \Xi^{tot}}{\partial c_A^*} = \frac{\partial \Xi^{fast}}{\partial c_A^*} = \frac{W^{fast}}{2k_B} \sinh(X_{fast}/2k_B)$$
 (17a)

$$\dot{c}_B = \frac{\partial \Xi^{tot}}{\partial c_B^*} = \frac{\partial \Xi^{fast}}{\partial c_B^*} + \frac{\partial \Xi^{slow}}{\partial c_B^*}$$

$$= -\frac{W^{fast}}{2k_B}\sinh(X_{fast}/2k_B) + \frac{W^{slow}}{2k_B}\sinh(X_{slow}/2k_B)$$
 (17b)

$$\dot{c}_C = \frac{\partial \Xi^{tot}}{\partial c_C^*} = \frac{\partial \Xi^{slow}}{\partial c_C^*} = -\frac{W^{slow}}{2k_B} \sinh(X_{slow}/2k_B). \tag{17c}$$

The conjugate concentrations are given by the corresponding derivatives of the entropy,

$$S = -k_B \int d\mathbf{r} \left(c_A (\ln(c_A/\bar{c}) - 1) + c_B (\ln(c_B/\bar{c}) - 1) + c_C (\ln(c_C/\bar{c}) - 1) \right),$$
(18)

where \bar{c} is a reference concentration, so

$$c_A^* = -k_B \ln \left(\frac{c_A}{\bar{c}}\right), \quad c_B^* = -k_B \ln \left(\frac{c_B}{\bar{c}}\right), \quad c_C^* = -k_B \ln \left(\frac{c_C}{\bar{c}}\right).$$
 (19)

When the reaction kernels W^{fast} and W^{slow} are chosen as

$$W^{fast} = 4k_B k^{fast} \sqrt{c_A c_B}, \quad W^{slow} = 4k_B k^{slow} \sqrt{c_B c_C},$$
 (20)

the evolution equations become

$$\dot{c}_A = -k^{fast}(c_A - c_B) \tag{21a}$$

$$\dot{c}_B = k^{fast}(c_A - c_B) - k^{slow}(c_B - c_C)$$
(21b)

$$\dot{c}_C = k^{slow}(c_B - c_C), \tag{21c}$$

which is the classical mass action law.

The dissipative Braun-Le Châtelier principle [29] then tells us that when the slow force c_C^* is perturbed, it leads to a perturbation of the fast force c_B^* . After c_B has relaxed, the dissipation potential Ξ^{slow} has a lower second derivative and is thus less steep, and the rate \dot{c}_C is smaller than it was just after the perturbation. Mathematically, this is expressed as

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \dot{c}_C}{\partial c_C^*} \right)_{c_P^* \text{ fixed}} \right| > \left| \left(\frac{\partial \dot{c}_C}{\partial c_C^*} \right)_{\dot{c}_R = 0} \right|. \tag{22}$$

When we approximate the sinh functions in Equations (17) by their first-order Taylor expansions, we obtain linear gradient dynamics,

$$\dot{c}_A = \frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} (c_B^* - c_A^*) \tag{23a}$$

$$\dot{c}_B = \frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} (c_A^* - c_B^*) + \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} (c_C^* - c_B^*)$$
 (23b)

$$\dot{c}_C = \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} (c_B^* - c_C^*). \tag{23c}$$

From the first two equations, we obtain that

$$\dot{c}_B = \frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} c_A^* + \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} c_C^* - \left(\frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} + \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2}\right) c_B^*, \tag{24}$$

or

$$c_B^* = \frac{\frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} c_A^* + \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} c_C^* - \dot{c}_B}{\frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} + \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2}}$$
(25)

Then, the equation for c_C can be reformulated with the fast rates \dot{c}_B and \dot{c}_A as

$$\dot{c}_C = \frac{\frac{W^{fast}}{4k_B^2} c_A^* + \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} c_C^* - \dot{c}_B}{\frac{W^{fast}}{W^{slow}} + 1} - \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} c_C^*, \tag{26}$$

and the derivative of \dot{c}_C with respect to c_C^* at constant \dot{c}_B is

$$\left| \left(\frac{\partial \dot{c}_C}{\partial c_C^*} \right)_{\dot{c}_B} \right| = \left| \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} \left(\frac{1}{1 + \frac{W^{fast}}{W^{slow}}} - 1 \right) \right| < \frac{W^{slow}}{4k_B^2} = \left| \left(\frac{\partial \dot{c}_C}{\partial c_C^*} \right)_{c_B^*} \right|. \quad (27)$$

In other words, the change of the rate \dot{c}_C with respect to the force c_C^* (proportional to chemical potential of C) is smaller after the fast variable c_B has relaxed than it would be if c_B were kept fixed. This is an illustration of the Braun-Le Châtelier principle in dissipative thermodynamics.

5 Conclusion

We have presented a brief review of the multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics and discussed some contributions that the multiscale viewpoint brings to the classical and extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics. The multiscale viewpoint is based on the recognition that the time evolution of macroscopic systems is often governed by two independent parts, one expressing kinematics and the other thermodynamics. The kinematics is expressed in terms of Poisson brackets and the thermodynamics in terms of dissipation potentials and entropy. The multiscale viewpoint is abstract and can be applied to many different mesoscopic levels of description. The classical and extended non-equilibrium thermodynamics are just particular realizations of the multiscale non-equilibrium thermodynamics.

Eventually, the multiscale framework brings also geometry, hyperbolicity, and generalized stability conditions, for instance the dissipative Braun-Le Châtelier principle. The latter is illustrated here on a simple example of two chemical reactions, but it can be applied to more complex systems, for instance to viscoelastic fluids [29].

Acknowledgement. MP was supported by Czech Science Foundation, project 23-05736S. MP is a member of the Nečas Center for Mathematical Modeling.

References

- [1] V. Arnold, Sur la géometrie différentielle des groupes de lie de dimension infini et ses applications dans l'hydrodynamique des fluides parfaits, Ann. Inst. Fourier 16(1) (1966), 319-361.
- [2] R.B. Bird, O. Hassager, R.C. Armstrong and C.F. Curtiss, *Dynamics of Polymeric Fluids*, Vol. 2, Wiley, New York, 1987.
- [3] H. Callen, Thermodynamics: An Introduction to the Physical Theories of Equilibrium Thermostatics and Irreversible Thermodynamics, Wiley, 1960.
- [4] H.L. Châtelier, Sur un énoncé général des lois des équilibres chimiques, C.R. Acad. Sci. 99 (1884), 786-789.
- [5] A. Clebsch, Über die Integration der Hydrodynamische Gleichungen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 56 (1895), 1-10.

- [6] S.R. de Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-Equilibrium Thermodynamics*, Dover Publications, New York, 1984.
- [7] I.E. Dzyaloshinskii and G.E. Volovick, Poisson brackets in condensed matter physics, *Ann. Phys.* 125(1) (1980), 67-97.
- [8] O. Esen, M. Grmela, H. Gumral and M. Pavelka, Lifts of symmetric tensors: fluids, plasma, and grad hierarchy, *Entropy* 21(9) (2019), 907.
- [9] L. Euler, Principes généraux du mouvement des fluides, Académie Royale des Sciences et des Belles-Lettres de Berlin, Mémoires 11, 1755. (English translation is available in Physica D 237 (2008), 1825-1839.)
- [10] L. Gesamtausgabe, Ludwig Boltzmann Gesamtausgabe Collected Works, 1983.
- [11] S. Godunov, Symmetric form of the magnetohydrodynamic equation, Chislennye Metody Mekhaniki Sploshnoi Sredy 3(1) (1972), 26-34.
- [12] H. Grad, *Encyclopedia of Physics*, Vol. 12, Principles of Kinetic Theory of Gases, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1958.
- [13] M. Grmela, Particle and bracket formulations of kinetic equations, *Contemp. Math.* 28 (1984), 125-132.
- [14] M. Grmela, Fluctuations in extended mass-action-law dynamics, Physica D 241 (2012) 976-986.
- [15] M. Grmela, L. Hong, D. Jou, G. Lebon and M. Pavelka, Hamiltonian and godunov structures of the grad hierarchy, *Phys. Rev. E* 95 (2017), 033121.
- [16] M. Grmela and H.C. Öttinger, Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. I. Development of a general formalism, *Phys. Rev. E* 56 (1997), 6620-6632.
- [17] A. Janečka and M. Pavelka, Non-convex dissipation potentials in multi-scale non-equilibrium thermodynamics, *Continuum Mech. Thermodyn.* 30(4) (2018), 917-941.
- [18] J.E. Marsden, A group theoretical approach to the equations of plasma physics, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 25 (1982), 129-142.
- [19] D. Jou, J. Casas-Vázquez and G. Lebon, Extended Irreversible Thermodynamics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 4th edition, 2010.

- [20] D. Jou and L. Restuccia, Mesoscopic transport equations and contemporary thermodynamics: an introduction, Contemp. Phys. 52(5) (2011) 465-474.
- [21] A. Kaufman, Dissipative hamiltonian systems: A unifying principle, *Phys. Lett. A* 100(8) (1984), 419-422.
- [22] L. Landau and E. Lifschitz, Statistical physics, Pergamon Press, 1969.
- [23] I.-S. Liu, Method of Lagrange multipliers for exploitation of the entropy principle, *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.* 46(2) (1972), 131-148.
- [24] A. Mielke, M.A. Peletier and D.R.M. Renger, On the relation between gradient flows and the large-deviation principle, with applications to Markov chains and diffusion, *Potential Anal.* 41(4) (2014), 1293-1327.
- [25] P.J. Morrison, Bracket formulation for irreversible classical fields, Phys. Lett. A 100(8) (1984) 423-427.
- [26] P.J. Morrison and J.M. Greene, Noncanonical hamiltonian density formulation of hydrodynamics and ideal magnetohydrodynamics, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 45 (1980), 790-794.
- [27] H. Öttinger, Beyond Equilibrium Thermodynamics, Wiley, New York, 2005.
- [28] H.C. Öttinger and M. Grmela, Dynamics and thermodynamics of complex fluids. II. Illustrations of a general formalism, *Phys. Rev. E* 56 (1997), 6633-6655.
- [29] M. Pavelka and M. Grmela, Braun-Le Chatelier principle in dissipative thermodynamics, *Proceedings of Accademia Peloritana dei Pericolanti* 97(S1) (2019), A22.
- [30] M. Pavelka, V. Klika and M. Grmela, Multiscale Thermo-Dynamics, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2018.
- [31] I. Peshkov, M. Pavelka, E. Romenski and M. Grmela, Continuum mechanics and thermodynamics in the Hamilton and the Godunov-type formulations, *Continuum Mech*, *Thermodyn*, 30(6) (2018), 1343-1378.
- [32] S. Poisson, Sur la variation des constantes arbitraires dans les questions de mécanique, J. Ecole Polytechn. 8 (1809), 266-344.

- $[33] \ \ {\rm I.\ Prigogine}, \ Introduction\ to\ Thermodynamics\ of\ Irreversible\ Processes, \\ {\rm Thomas,\ New\ York,\ 1955}.$
- [34] L. Waldmann, Transportgleichungen in Gasen von mitteren Druck. $Handbuch\ der\ Physik,\ 3/12\ (1958).$