

TOEPLITZ AND HANKEL OPERATORS ON WEIGHTED BERGMAN SPACES*

Namita Das [†] Swarupa Roy[‡]

DOI <https://doi.org/10.56082/annalsarscimath.2020.1-2.99>

Dedicated to Dr. Vasile Drăgan on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

Abstract

In this paper we have shown that if $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ and $\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})\Theta_T^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})(K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}))^2 \approx \Theta_{ST}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})(K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}))^2$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ and for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$, then $S = T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ for some $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and the matrix of S is lower triangular, where $\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$ for $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ is a function on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ meromorphic in x and conjugate meromorphic in y . Further, we show that if $\psi, \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $R^{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$, then $\Theta_{T_\phi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})\Theta_{S_\psi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})(K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}))^2 \approx \Theta_{R^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \cdot (K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}))^2$ holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ if and only if there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi \equiv \beta$ and $R^{(\alpha)} = S_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)}$.

MSC: 47B38, 47B32

keywords: Weighted Bergman spaces, reproducing kernel, Toeplitz operator, little Hankel operator, Berezin transform.

* Accepted for publication on April 15, 2020

[†]namitadas440@yahoo.co.in, P.G. Dept. of Mathematics, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar- 751004, Odisha, India

[‡]swarupa.roy@gmail.com, P.G. Dept. of Mathematics, Utkal University, Vani Vihar, Bhubaneswar- 751004, Odisha, India.

1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} and let $dA(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} dx dy = \frac{1}{\pi} r dr d\theta$ be the area measure on \mathbb{D} normalized so that the area of \mathbb{D} is 1. For any $\alpha > -1$, let dA_α be the measure on \mathbb{D} defined by

$$dA_\alpha(z) = (\alpha + 1)(1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z).$$

The measure dA_α is a probability measure on \mathbb{D} . Let $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ be the space of all Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{D} that are absolutely square-integrable with respect to the measure dA_α . This space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ is a Hilbert space with respect to the inner product defined by

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} dA_\alpha(z), \quad f, g \in L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha).$$

Let $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ be the subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ consisting of all analytic functions. This space $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ is referred to as the weighted Bergman space of the disk \mathbb{D} . It is not difficult to see [1], [9] that $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$. The weighted Bergman space $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ is a reproducing kernel Hilbert space and the reproducing kernel is given by

$$K^{(\alpha)}(z, \bar{w}) = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{\alpha+2}}, \quad z, w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

The orthogonal projection P_α from the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ onto the closed subspace $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ is given by

$$P_\alpha f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} K^{(\alpha)}(z, \bar{w}) f(w) dA_\alpha(w).$$

The unweighted Bergman space ($\alpha = 0$) is denoted by $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$. The functions $K(z, \bar{w}) = \overline{K_z(w)} = \frac{1}{(1 - z\bar{w})^2}$ are the reproducing kernel of $L_a^2(\mathbb{D})$ and the normalized reproducing kernel is given by $k_z(w) = \frac{1 - |z|^2}{(1 - w\bar{z})^2}$. The functions

$$k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \frac{K^{(\alpha)}(z, \bar{w})}{\sqrt{K^{(\alpha)}(w, \bar{w})}} = \frac{(1 - |w|^2)^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{(1 - \bar{w}z)^{2+\alpha}}$$

are the normalized reproducing kernels of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ and

$$K^{(\alpha)}(z, \bar{w}) = (K(z, \bar{w}))^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} = \overline{(K_z(w))^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}} = \overline{K_z^{(\alpha)}(w)}.$$

The sequence of functions $\{e_n^{(\alpha)}\} = \left\{ \frac{z^n}{\gamma_{n,\alpha}} \right\}$ form as an orthonormal basis [9] for $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ where

$$\gamma_{n,\alpha}^2 = \|z^n\|^2 = (\alpha + 1) \int_{\mathbb{D}} |z|^{2n} (1 - |z|^2)^\alpha dA(z) = \frac{\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(\alpha+1)}{\Gamma(n+\alpha+2)} \sim (n+1)^{-\alpha-1}.$$

Let $L^\infty(\mathbb{D}, dA)$ be the space of all essentially bounded Lebesgue measurable functions on \mathbb{D} . For $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, define $\|\phi\|_\infty = \text{ess sup} \{|\phi(z)| : z \in \mathbb{D}\} < \infty$. The space $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ is a Banach space with respect to the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$. Let $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all bounded analytic functions on \mathbb{D} and $h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be the space of all bounded harmonic functions on \mathbb{D} . Given a function $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we define an operator $T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ on $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ by $T_\phi^{(\alpha)} f = P_\alpha(\phi f)$, $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. The operator $T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is called the Toeplitz operator on the weighted Bergman space with symbol ϕ . Since the projection P_α has norm 1, we have $\|T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$. We can write $T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ as an integral operator as follows :

$$T_\phi^{(\alpha)} f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \phi(w) K^{(\alpha)}(z, \bar{w}) f(w) dA_\alpha(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\phi(w) f(w)}{(1 - z\bar{w})^{\alpha+2}} dA_\alpha(w).$$

A Toeplitz operator $T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is an analytic (co-analytic) Toeplitz operator if the symbol ϕ belongs to $H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ ($\overline{H^\infty(\mathbb{D})}$). Let $\overline{L_a^2(dA_\alpha)} = \{\bar{f} : f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)\}$. The space $\overline{L_a^2(dA_\alpha)}$ is a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$. The little Hankel operator $h_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ with symbol ϕ is defined by $h_\phi^{(\alpha)} f = \overline{P_\alpha(\phi f)}$, $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ where $\overline{P_\alpha}$ is the orthogonal projection from the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ onto $\overline{L_a^2(dA_\alpha)}$. Clearly, $\|h_\phi^{(\alpha)}\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$. For $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, we define the Multiplication operator $M_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ from $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ into itself by $M_\phi^{(\alpha)} f = \phi f$. It is easy to see that $\|M_\phi^{(\alpha)}\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$. Define J_α from $L^2(\mathbb{D}, dA_\alpha)$ into itself by $(J_\alpha f)(z) = f(\bar{z})$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. The operator J_α is a unitary operator. For $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, define $S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ from $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ into itself by $S_\phi^{(\alpha)} f = P_\alpha(J_\alpha(\phi f))$. The operator $S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is a linear operator and $\|S_\phi^{(\alpha)}\| \leq \|\phi\|_\infty$. It is not difficult to verify that $h_\phi^{(\alpha)} = J_\alpha S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$. Thus we shall refer in the sequel, both the operators $h_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ and $S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ as little Hankel operators on $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. Let $\mathcal{L}(H)$ be the space of all bounded linear operators from the Hilbert space H into itself. An operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$ is said to be normal if $T^*T = TT^*$ where T^* is the adjoint of T . For $T \in \mathcal{L}(H)$, the numerical range $W(T)$ of T is defined by $W(T) = \{\langle Tx, x \rangle : x \in H, \|x\| = 1\}$. For $z \in \mathbb{D}$, define φ_z on \mathbb{D} by

$$\varphi_z(w) = \frac{z - w}{1 - \bar{z}w}, \quad w \in \mathbb{D}.$$

Define $\rho_\alpha : \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha)) \rightarrow L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ by $\rho_\alpha(T)(z) = \left\langle Tk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\rangle$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Since $|\rho_\alpha(T)(z)| = \left| \left\langle Tk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\rangle \right| \leq \|T\| \left\| k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\|^2 = \|T\|$, hence $\|\rho_\alpha(T)\| \leq \|T\|$. The map ρ_α is linear and one-one. For details see [4].

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ be a normal operator. If $\sigma(S)$ (the spectrum of S) lies on the right half plane $\mathbb{C}_+ = \{x + iy \in \mathbb{C} : x > 0\}$, then the numerical range $W(S)$ is also contained in the right half plane \mathbb{C}_+ .*

Proof. Let S be a normal operator and $S = \int_{\sigma(S)} \lambda dE_\lambda$ be the spectral representation for S . If $\beta \in W(S)$, then there exists $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$ such that

$$\beta = \langle Sf, f \rangle = \left\langle \int_{\sigma(S)} \lambda dE_\lambda f, f \right\rangle = \int_{\sigma(S)} \lambda d\|E_\lambda f\|^2$$

where $\int_{\sigma(S)} d\|E_\lambda f\|^2 = 1$. This implies that β is contained in the convex closure of $\sigma(S)$. Hence $W(S)$ is contained in the right half plane as the $\sigma(S)$ lies in the right half plane. \square

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. If $\phi f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, then $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. Since $\phi f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, hence $T_\phi^{(\alpha)} f = \phi f$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. This implies $\phi(z) = \frac{T_\phi^{(\alpha)} f(z)}{f(z)}$. Hence ϕ is analytic on $\mathbb{D} - \{\text{zeros of } f\}$. Therefore each isolated singularity of ϕ in \mathbb{D} is removable as ϕ is assumed to be bounded. Thus ϕ is analytic on \mathbb{D} . Since $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, hence we obtain $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ be the little Hankel operator on $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with symbol ϕ . Then there exists no non-zero constant $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $S_\phi^{(\alpha)} = \lambda I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))}$.*

Proof. The operator $S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ satisfies the [3] operator equation $(T_z^{(\alpha)})^* S_\phi^{(\alpha)} = S_\phi^{(\alpha)} T_z^{(\alpha)}$ where $T_z^{(\alpha)}$ is the Bergman shift operator defined on $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ by

$T_z^{(\alpha)} f = zf$. If $S_\phi^{(\alpha)} = \lambda I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))}$ for some $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, then this implies $(T_z^{(\alpha)})^* = T_z^{(\alpha)}$. But clearly this is false. \square

A function $g(x, \bar{y})$ on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ is called of positive type (or positive definite), written as $g \gg 0$, if $\sum_{j,k=1}^n c_j \bar{c}_k g(x_j, \bar{x}_k) \geq 0$ for any n -tuple of complex numbers c_1, \dots, c_n and points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{D}$. We write $g \gg h$ if $g - h \gg 0$. If $g \gg h$ and $h \gg g$ then we write $g \approx h$. Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$, define $\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) = \frac{\langle SK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle}{\langle K_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle}$ where $K_x^{(\alpha)} = K^{(\alpha)}(\cdot, \bar{x})$ is the unnormalized weighted reproducing kernel at x . Then $\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$ is a function on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$ meromorphic in x and conjugate meromorphic in y . Let $\phi_\alpha(z) = \Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(z, \bar{z})$, then $\phi_\alpha(z) = \langle Sk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle$ and $\phi_\alpha \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ as S is bounded on $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$.

Lemma 2.4. *Let S, T and $R \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ for fix $\alpha > -1$. Suppose either S or T is normal. If*

$$\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \approx \Theta_R^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \quad \text{and} \quad (1)$$

$$\Theta_T^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \approx K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \quad (2)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$, then either $\rho_\alpha(S)$ or $\rho_\alpha(T)$ is a constant function in $L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Further if either $\rho_\alpha(S)$ or $\rho_\alpha(T)$ is the constant function β and (1) holds then either $\beta T = R$ or $\beta S = R$.

Proof. Notice that

$$\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \gg \Theta_R^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$$

and

$$\Theta_T^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \gg K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ implies $\frac{\langle SK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle}{\langle K_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle} K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \gg \frac{\langle RK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle}{\langle K_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle} K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$ and $\frac{\langle TK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle}{\langle K_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle} K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \gg K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. Hence $\langle SK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle \gg \langle RK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ and $\langle TK_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle \gg \langle K_y^{(\alpha)}, K_x^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$. That is,

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n (c_j \bar{c}_k) \langle SK_{x_j}^{(\alpha)}, K_{x_k}^{(\alpha)} \rangle \geq \sum_{j,k=1}^n (c_j \bar{c}_k) \langle RK_{x_j}^{(\alpha)}, K_{x_k}^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

and

$$\sum_{j,k=1}^n (c_j \bar{c}_k) \langle TK_{x_j}^{(\alpha)}, K_{x_k}^{(\alpha)} \rangle \geq \sum_{j,k=1}^n (c_j \bar{c}_k) \langle K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)}, K_{x_k}^{(\alpha)} \rangle$$

for $x_j, x_k \in \mathbb{D}$, $c_j, c_k \in \mathbb{C}$, $j, k = 1, \dots, n$. It follows therefore that

$$\left\langle S \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle \geq \left\langle R \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle$$

and

$$\left\langle T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle \geq \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle.$$

Since the set of vectors $\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} : x_j \in \mathbb{D}, j = 1, \dots, n \right\}$ is dense [2] in $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, we obtain $\langle Sg, g \rangle \geq \langle Rg, g \rangle$ and $\langle Tg, g \rangle \geq \langle g, g \rangle$ for all $g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. This implies that $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle \geq \langle Rf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Similarly, on simplification of the expressions

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_R^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) &\gg \Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \text{ and} \\ K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) &\gg \Theta_T^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ we obtain $\langle Rf, f \rangle \geq \langle Sf, f \rangle$ and $\langle Tf, f \rangle \leq 1$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Thus, it follows that $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Let T be a normal operator. If $T = \gamma I$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, then there is nothing to prove. So assume $T \neq \gamma I$ for all $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Assume that T has the spectral representation $T = \int_{\sigma(T)} \lambda dE_\lambda$. Since T is not a scalar multiple of the identity, hence there exist complex numbers γ_1 and γ_2 in $\sigma(T)$ such that $\gamma_1 \neq \gamma_2$. Now since $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$, we obtain

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle (e^{i\theta} T - \eta I) f, f \rangle = \langle (e^{i\theta} R - \eta S) f, f \rangle, \quad f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha), \quad \|f\| = 1 \quad (4)$$

for $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$ and $\eta \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence we can assume that there exists a positive number $\beta > 0$, such that $\gamma_1 = \beta$ and $\gamma_2 = -\beta$. Let

$$\Gamma_1 = \sigma(T) \cap \{x + iy : x > 0\} \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_2 = \sigma(T) \cap \{x + iy : x \leq 0\}.$$

It is clear that Γ_1 and Γ_2 are disjoint Borel sets. Let $K_i = E(\Gamma_i)L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$; $i = 1, 2$. Then K_1 and K_2 are reducing subspaces of T and $L_a^2(dA_\alpha) = K_1 \oplus K_2$. Let Q be the orthogonal projection of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ onto K_1 . We shall show that QSQ is a scalar multiple of the identity on K_1 . Let the operator matrices of S, T and R be given by $S = \begin{pmatrix} S_{11} & S_{12} \\ S_{21} & S_{22} \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & 0 \\ 0 & T_2 \end{pmatrix}$, $R = \begin{pmatrix} R_{11} & R_{12} \\ R_{21} & R_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. Here T_1, T_2 are normal operators and $T_i = \int_{\Gamma_i} T dE_\lambda$, $i = 1, 2$. Since $W(T_i) = \text{convex hull of } \{x : x \in \Gamma_i\}$, $i = 1, 2$, hence $W(T_1) \cap W(T_2) = \phi$, by Lemma 1. Let $f_1 \in K_1, f_2 \in K_2$ with $\|f_1\| = 1, \|f_2\| = 1$. If $0 \leq \vartheta \leq 1$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, then $f = \vartheta f_1 + e^{i\theta}(1 - \vartheta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} f_2 \in K_1 \oplus K_2 = L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ has norm 1 where ϑ and θ are independent of each other. An easy calculation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sf, f \rangle &= \vartheta^2 \langle S_{11}f_1, f_1 \rangle + (1 - \vartheta^2) \langle S_{22}f_2, f_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \vartheta(1 - \vartheta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\langle S_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle e^{i\theta} + \langle S_{21}f_1, f_2 \rangle e^{-i\theta} \right), \\ \langle Rf, f \rangle &= \vartheta^2 \langle R_{11}f_1, f_1 \rangle + (1 - \vartheta^2) \langle R_{22}f_2, f_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \vartheta(1 - \vartheta^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\langle R_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle e^{i\theta} + \langle R_{21}f_1, f_2 \rangle e^{-i\theta} \right), \\ \langle Tf, f \rangle &= \vartheta^2 \langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle + (1 - \vartheta^2) \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle \\ &= \vartheta^2 (\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle) + \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where $\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle \neq \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle &= \vartheta^4 \langle S_{11}f_1, f_1 \rangle (\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle) \\ &\quad + \vartheta^2(1 - \vartheta^2) \langle S_{22}f_2, f_2 \rangle (\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle) \\ &\quad + \vartheta^3 \sqrt{1 - \vartheta^2} (\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle) \left(e^{i\theta} \langle S_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle + e^{-i\theta} \langle S_{21}f_1, f_2 \rangle \right) \\ &\quad + \vartheta^2 \langle S_{11}f_1, f_1 \rangle \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle + (1 - \vartheta^2) \langle S_{22}f_2, f_2 \rangle \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle \\ &\quad + \vartheta \sqrt{1 - \vartheta^2} \left(e^{i\theta} \langle S_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle + e^{-i\theta} \langle S_{21}f_1, f_2 \rangle \right) \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of $e^{i\theta}$ on both sides of $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ where $\|f\| = 1$, we obtain

$$[(\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle) \vartheta^2 + \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle] \vartheta \sqrt{1 - \vartheta^2} \langle S_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle = \vartheta \sqrt{1 - \vartheta^2} \langle R_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle.$$

Thus

$$[(\langle T_1f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle) \vartheta^2 + \langle T_2f_2, f_2 \rangle] \langle S_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle = \langle R_{12}f_2, f_1 \rangle. \quad (5)$$

Notice that $\langle T_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle \neq \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle$ and $\vartheta \in [0, 1]$ is arbitrary. Hence $\langle S_{12} f_2, f_1 \rangle = 0$ for any pair of vectors $f_1 \in K_1$ and $f_2 \in K_2$ with $\|f_1\| = \|f_2\| = 1$. Hence $S_{12} = 0$. From (5), it is clear that $R_{12} = 0$. Similarly, considering the coefficients of $e^{-i\theta}$ in both sides of $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$, we obtain $S_{21} = 0$ and $R_{21} = 0$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle &= [\vartheta^2 \langle S_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle + (1 - \vartheta^2) \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle] \\ &\quad [(\langle T_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle) \vartheta^2 + \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle] \\ &= [\langle T_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle] [\langle S_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle] \vartheta^4 \\ &\quad + [\langle T_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle (\langle S_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle + \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle) \\ &\quad - 2 \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle] \vartheta^2 + \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle, \quad \text{and} \\ \langle Rf, f \rangle &= \vartheta^2 \langle R_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle + (1 - \vartheta^2) \langle R_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle \\ &= [\langle R_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle R_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle] \vartheta^2 + \langle R_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing the coefficients of ϑ^4 in both sides of $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ with $\|f\| = 1$; we get

$$[\langle T_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle] [\langle S_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle - \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle] = 0.$$

Since $\langle T_1 f_1, f_1 \rangle \neq \langle T_2 f_2, f_2 \rangle$, it follows that $\langle S_{11} f_1, f_1 \rangle = \langle S_{22} f_2, f_2 \rangle$ for $f_1 \in K_1$ and $f_2 \in K_2$ with $\|f_1\| = \|f_2\| = 1$. Hence $S_{11} = \lambda I_1$ and $S_{22} = \lambda I_2$ and therefore $S = \lambda I$ and $\rho_\alpha(S)$ is a constant function. Conversely, suppose $\rho_\alpha(S)$ or $\rho_\alpha(T)$ is a constant function. Assume $\rho_\alpha(S) = \beta$, a constant. Then $\langle S k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \beta$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Now if (1) holds, then $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Hence in particular $\langle S k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle \langle T k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \langle R k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This implies $\langle \beta T k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \langle R k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. From [4], it follows that $R = \beta T$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *If $\phi \in h^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, then $\rho_\alpha \left(M_\phi^{(\alpha)} \right) (z) = \phi(z)$.*

Proof. For all $z \in \mathbb{D}$, $\rho_\alpha \left(M_\phi^{(\alpha)} \right) (z) = \left\langle M_\phi^{(\alpha)} k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\rangle = \left\langle \phi k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \right\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \phi(w) |k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}(w)|^2 dA_\alpha(w) = \phi(z)$. The last equality follows from [7] and [6]. The result follows. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let G_α be a finite-dimensional subspace of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ for fix $\alpha > -1$. Let $E^{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)$ and let $\{v_1^{(\alpha)}, v_2^{(\alpha)}, \dots, v_m^{(\alpha)}\}$ be an orthonormal basis for G_α . Then there exists $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ such that $T_\psi^{(\alpha)}|_{G_\alpha} = E^{(\alpha)}$.*

Proof. We shall consider the operator $X^{(\alpha)} : L^\infty(\mathbb{D}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$, defined by $(X^{(\alpha)}\psi)_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \psi(z)v_i^{(\alpha)}(z)\overline{v_j^{(\alpha)}(z)}dA_\alpha(z) = \langle T_\psi^{(\alpha)}v_i^{(\alpha)}, v_j^{(\alpha)} \rangle$. Suppose $r \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is orthogonal to $\text{Range} X$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m (X^{(\alpha)}\psi)_{ij} \overline{r_{ij}} = 0$ for all $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. This implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{D}} \psi(z) \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{r_{ij}} v_i^{(\alpha)}(z) \overline{v_j^{(\alpha)}(z)} dA_\alpha(z) = 0$$

for all $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{r_{ij}} v_i^{(\alpha)}(z) \overline{v_j^{(\alpha)}(z)} = 0 \tag{6}$$

almost everywhere in \mathbb{D} . The left hand side of (6) is continuous on \mathbb{D} . Hence the equality in (6) holds on the whole of \mathbb{D} . Therefore, the function $H(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{r_{ij}} v_i^{(\alpha)}(x) \overline{v_j^{(\alpha)}(y)}$ which is analytic in $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$, is equal to zero whenever $x = \overline{y}$. By the uniqueness theorem [5], we obtain $H \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{D} \times \mathbb{D}$. The functions $v_i^{(\alpha)}, i = 1, \dots, m$ are linearly independent. Thus we get $\sum_{j=1}^m r_{ij} v_j^{(\alpha)}(\overline{y}) = 0$ for all $y \in \mathbb{D}, i = 1, \dots, m$. Now again since $v_j^{(\alpha)}, j = 1, \dots, m$ are linearly independent, we obtain $r_{ij} = 0$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus $r \equiv 0$. This implies $\text{Range } E^{(\alpha)} = \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$. Hence $\langle T_\psi^{(\alpha)}v_i^{(\alpha)}, v_j^{(\alpha)} \rangle = \langle E^{(\alpha)}v_i^{(\alpha)}, v_j^{(\alpha)} \rangle$ for all $i, j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and therefore it follows that $T_\psi^{(\alpha)}|_{G_\alpha} = E^{(\alpha)}$. \square

3 On finite dimensional subspaces of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$

Theorem 3.1. *Let G_α be a subspace of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ such that $\dim G_\alpha < \infty$. If $S, T, R \in \mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)$ and*

$$\langle Sg, g \rangle \langle Tg, g \rangle = \langle Rg, g \rangle \langle g, g \rangle \text{ for all } g \in G_\alpha, \tag{7}$$

then there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that either $S = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$ or $T = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$. In case R, T, S are self-adjoint in $\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)$, then there exists $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and a real number β such that $R = T_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)}|_{G_\alpha}$.

Proof. Suppose the first part of the theorem holds. To prove the second part, suppose R, T, S are self-adjoint operators in $\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)$ and let $S = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$. Then $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ as $\langle Sg, g \rangle = \langle \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}g, g \rangle = \beta \langle g, g \rangle$ is real for all $g \in G_\alpha$. Thus $\langle Rg, g \rangle = \beta \langle Tg, g \rangle$ for all $g \in G_\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. From Lemma 2.6, it follows that $T = T_\psi^{(\alpha)}|_{G_\alpha}$ for some $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ as $\dim G_\alpha < \infty$. Thus we obtain $\langle Rg, g \rangle = \langle T_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)}g, g \rangle$ for all $g \in G_\alpha$. This implies $\left\langle \left(R - T_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)} \right) g, g \right\rangle = 0$ for all $g \in G_\alpha$. Thus $\left\| R - T_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)} \right\|_{G_\alpha} = \sup_{g \in G_\alpha, \|g\|=1} \left| \left\langle \left(R - T_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)} \right) g, g \right\rangle \right| = 0$.

Hence $R = T_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)}|_{G_\alpha}$. Notice that G_α is finite dimensional and hence it is a closed subspace of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. We shall prove the theorem using mathematical induction. From (7), it follows that $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in G_\alpha$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Hence

$$\langle VSV^*f, f \rangle \langle VTV^*f, f \rangle = \langle VRV^*f, f \rangle \quad \text{and}$$

$$\langle (S - rI)f, f \rangle \langle (T - sI)f, f \rangle = \langle (R - rT - sS + rsI)f, f \rangle$$

for all unitary operators $V \in \mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)$, $r, s \in \mathbb{C}$ and $f \in G_\alpha$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Assume $\dim G_\alpha = 2$ and S, T and R belong to $\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)$. Then G_α is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^2 . Thus without loss of generality, we shall assume $S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $T = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_{12} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $R = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} \end{pmatrix}$. If S is normal, then $s_{12} = 0$ and $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ with $\|f\| = 1$ implies $s_{11} = 0$. This implies $S = 0 = 0 \cdot I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$. Now assume S is not normal. We shall show that T is a scalar multiple of the identity. Since S is not normal, we have $s_{12} \neq 0$. Observe that if $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in G_\alpha$ with $\|f\| = 1$ then

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = \langle QSQf, f \rangle \langle QTQf, f \rangle = \langle QRQf, f \rangle = \langle Rf, f \rangle$$

for all $f \in G_\alpha^1$ with $\|f\| = 1$ if $G = G_\alpha^1 \oplus G_\alpha^2$ and Q is the orthogonal projection from G_α onto G_α^1 . Thus it follows that $r_{22} = 0$ and $r_{11} = 0$.

Hence, R has the matrix representation $R = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & r_{12} \\ r_{21} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Choosing the

unit vectors $f = \begin{pmatrix} x \\ e^{i\theta}\sqrt{1-x^2} \end{pmatrix}$, $0 \leq x \leq 1$, $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$, we obtain

$\langle Sf, f \rangle = s_{11}x^2 + s_{12}x\sqrt{1-x^2}e^{i\theta}$ and $\langle Tf, f \rangle = -t_{22}x^2 + t_{12}x\sqrt{1-x^2}e^{i\theta} + t_{21}x\sqrt{1-x^2}e^{-i\theta} + t_{22}$ and $\langle Rf, f \rangle = r_{12}x\sqrt{1-x^2}e^{i\theta} + r_{21}x\sqrt{1-x^2}e^{-i\theta}$. Thus from (7), it follows that $s_{12}t_{12}x(1-x^2) = 0$, $-x^2s_{12}t_{22} + s_{12}t_{22} = r_{12}$ and $x^2(1-x^2)s_{12}t_{21} = 0$. Thus from all these identities, it follows that $t_{12} = t_{21} = 0$. Moreover as $0 \leq x \leq 1$, we obtain $s_{12}t_{22} = r_{12}$,

$s_{12}t_{22} = 0$ and hence $t_{22} = 0$. Thus, $T \equiv 0$. Now assume $\dim G_\alpha = 3$ and (7) holds. Then G_α is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^3 . Without loss of generality, we shall assume $G_\alpha = \mathbb{C}^3$, and S is the upper triangular matrix

$$S = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} & s_{13} \\ 0 & s_{22} & s_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\ t_{21} & t_{22} & t_{23} \\ t_{31} & t_{32} & t_{33} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } R = \begin{pmatrix} r_{11} & r_{12} & r_{13} \\ r_{21} & r_{22} & r_{23} \\ r_{31} & r_{32} & r_{33} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We shall show either $S = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$ or $T = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$. If S has three 2×2 principal submatrices which are identity I_3 , then S is a scalar multiple of the identity I_3 . So assume S has just two principal submatrices which are scalar multiples of I_2 . Assume $S_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{12} \\ 0 & s_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ and $S_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} s_{11} & s_{13} \\ 0 & s_{33} \end{pmatrix}$ are scalar

multiples of identity I_2 . This implies $S = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda & s_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. If

$s_{23} = 0$ then $S = \lambda I_3$. If $s_{23} \neq 0$, then $T_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma & 0 \\ 0 & \gamma \end{pmatrix}$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, since

S_{23} is not a scalar multiple of identity. By considering elementary matrices that are unitaries, without loss of generality, we shall assume that $S =$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & s_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, T = \begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} \\ t_{21} & 0 & 0 \\ t_{31} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Using the identity (7) it follows that $R \equiv 0$. Now consider the unit vector $f = \left(e^{i\theta_1}x_1, e^{i\theta_2}x_2, e^{i\theta_3}\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2} \right)^\top$

where $0 \leq x_1, x_2 \leq 1$, $0 \leq \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3 \leq 2\pi$ and $x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq 1$. Then $\langle Sf, f \rangle = s_{23}e^{i(\theta_3-\theta_2)}x_2\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2}$. Since $R \equiv 0$, it follows that $\langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle = 0$ and $s_{23}e^{i(\theta_3-\theta_2)} \neq 0$. Hence $x_2\sqrt{1-x_1^2-x_2^2} \langle Tf, f \rangle = 0$. This implies $\langle Tf, f \rangle = 0$ for all $f \in \mathbb{C}^3$, $\|f\| = 1$. Hence $T \equiv 0$ as numerical range of T is equal to $\{0\}$. Proceeding similarly, one can show that if G_α is isomorphic to \mathbb{C}^4 and (7) holds then either $S = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$ or $T = \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha)}$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

So now suppose $n = \dim G_\alpha < \infty$, $n \geq 5$ and (7) holds for all $f \in G_\alpha$ with $\|f\| = 1$. Since the matrix representation of S with respect to the orthonormal basis of G_α is an $n \times n$ matrix, hence S have more than four $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal submatrices. If (7) holds, then atleast three $(n-1) \times (n-1)$ principal submatrices of S or T are scalar multiples of the identity I_{n-1} . Thus $S = \beta I_n$ or $T = \beta I_n$ for some $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Hence $\rho_\alpha(S) = \beta$ or $\rho_\alpha(T) = \beta$. \square

4 Main results

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\psi, \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$, $R^{(\alpha)} \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. Then*

$$\Theta_{T_\phi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \Theta_{S_\psi^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) (K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}))^2 \approx \Theta_{R^{(\alpha)}}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) (K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}))^2$$

holds for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ if and only if there exists $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $\phi \equiv \beta$ and $R^{(\alpha)} = S_{\beta\psi}^{(\alpha)}$.

Proof. The sufficient part is obvious. For the necessary part, assume

$$\langle T_\phi^{(\alpha)} f, f \rangle \langle S_\psi^{(\alpha)} f, f \rangle = \langle R^{(\alpha)} f, f \rangle$$

for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$. From Lemma 2.3, it follows that $S_\psi^{(\alpha)} \neq \beta I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))}$ for all $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. Let G_α be a closed subspace of $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, $\dim G_\alpha \geq 1$, $L_a^2(dA_\alpha) = G_\alpha \oplus G_\alpha^\perp$ and with respect to this decomposition the matrix of $S_\psi^{(\alpha)}$ is given by $S_\psi^{(\alpha)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & S_{12}^{(\alpha)} \\ S_{21}^{(\alpha)} & S_{22}^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}$. If $G_\alpha = L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, then $S_\psi^{(\alpha)} \equiv 0$ and $R^{(\alpha)} \equiv 0$ and there is nothing to prove. So, suppose $G_\alpha \neq L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ and assume $T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ and $R^{(\alpha)}$ have operator matrices of the forms, $T_\phi^{(\alpha)} = \begin{pmatrix} T_{11}^{(\alpha)} & T_{12}^{(\alpha)} \\ T_{21}^{(\alpha)} & T_{22}^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}$ and $R^{(\alpha)} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R_{12}^{(\alpha)} \\ R_{21}^{(\alpha)} & R_{22}^{(\alpha)} \end{pmatrix}$ respectively with respect to the decomposition $L_a^2(dA_\alpha) = G_\alpha \oplus G_\alpha^\perp$. Since $G_\alpha \neq L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, there exists a [8] unit vector $g_0 \in G_\alpha^\perp$ and denote $G_\alpha^0 = \vee \{G_\alpha, g_0\}$. Then $\dim G_\alpha^0 \geq 2$. If Q_0 is the orthogonal projection on G_α^0 , then $Q_0 S_\psi^{(\alpha)} Q_0 \neq 0$ and $\text{rank}(Q_0 S_\psi^{(\alpha)} Q_0) \leq 2$. Let $(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 = Q_0 T_\phi^{(\alpha)} Q_0$, $(S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 = Q_0 S_\psi^{(\alpha)} Q_0$, and $(R^{(\alpha)})^0 = Q_0 R^{(\alpha)} Q_0$. Then

$$\left\langle (T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle \left\langle (S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle = \left\langle (R^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle$$

for all $f \in G_\alpha^0$ with $\|f\| = 1$ and $(S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 \neq 0$. We shall now show that $(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0$ is a scalar multiple of I_0 , the identity operator from G_α^0 into itself. If $(S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0$ is normal, then $(S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0$ is not a scalar multiple of I_0 as $\dim G_\alpha \geq 1$. Hence $(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 = \vartheta_0 I_0$ by Lemma 2.4. Hence $\psi = \vartheta_0$ and $R^{(\alpha)} = \vartheta_0 S_\psi^{(\alpha)}$. We

shall now consider the case when $(S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0$ is not normal. If $\dim G_\alpha^0 < \infty$, then $(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 = \vartheta_0 I_0$ by Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 2.4. Suppose $\dim G_\alpha^0$ is infinite. Let $G_\alpha^1 = \vee \left\{ \text{Range} \left((S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 \right), \text{Range} \left(\left((S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 \right)^* \right) \right\}$. Then $(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0, (S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0$ and $(R^{(\alpha)})^0$ belong to $\mathcal{L}(G_\alpha^0)$ and have operator matrices of the following form with respect to the decomposition $G_\alpha^0 = G_\alpha^1 \oplus (G_\alpha^1)^\perp$:

$$(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 = \begin{pmatrix} (T_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 & (T_{12}^{(\alpha)})^0 \\ (T_{21}^{(\alpha)})^0 & (T_{22}^{(\alpha)})^0 \end{pmatrix}, (S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 = \begin{pmatrix} (S_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } (R^{(\alpha)})^0 = \begin{pmatrix} (R_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 & (R_{12}^{(\alpha)})^0 \\ (R_{21}^{(\alpha)})^0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\dim G_\alpha^1 \leq 4$ and $(S_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0$ is not normal, without loss of generality, we can take $(T_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 = 0$ by Theorem 3.1. So $(R_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 = 0$. If $f_1 \in G_\alpha^1$ and $f_2 \in (G_\alpha^1)^\perp$ and $\|f_1\| = \|f_2\| = 1$. Let $f = \lambda f_1 + e^{i\theta} \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} f_2$ are unit vectors in G_α for all $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and $-\frac{\pi}{2} \leq \theta \leq \frac{\pi}{2}$. Now

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle (T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle &= (1-\lambda^2) \left\langle (T_{22}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_2, f_2 \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \left[e^{i\theta} \left\langle (T_{12}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_2, f_1 \right\rangle + e^{-i\theta} \left\langle (T_{21}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_2 \right\rangle \right], \end{aligned}$$

$$\left\langle (R^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle = \lambda \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \left\langle (R_{12}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_2, f_1 \right\rangle e^{i\theta} + \lambda \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \left\langle (R_{21}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_2 \right\rangle e^{-i\theta},$$

$$\left\langle (S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle = \lambda^2 \left\langle (S_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle \text{ and}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \left\langle (T_\phi^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle \left\langle (S_\psi^{(\alpha)})^0 f, f \right\rangle &= \lambda^2 (1-\lambda^2) \left\langle (T_{22}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_2, f_2 \right\rangle \left\langle (S_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda^3 \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \left\langle (T_{12}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_2, f_1 \right\rangle \left\langle (S_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle e^{i\theta} \\ &\quad + \lambda^3 \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \left\langle (T_{21}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_2 \right\rangle \left\langle (S_{11}^{(\alpha)})^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle e^{-i\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\left\langle \left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f, f \right\rangle \left\langle \left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f, f \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(R^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f, f \right\rangle$ and $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$; by comparing the coefficients of $e^{i\theta}$, $e^{-i\theta}$ and the constant terms both the sides, we obtain

$$\left\langle \left(T_{22}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_2, f_2 \right\rangle \left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle = 0,$$

$$\left\langle \left(T_{12}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_2, f_1 \right\rangle \left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle = 0,$$

$$\left\langle \left(R_{12}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_2, f_1 \right\rangle = 0, \text{ and}$$

$$\left\langle \left(T_{21}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_1, f_2 \right\rangle \left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_1, f_1 \right\rangle = 0, \left\langle \left(R_{21}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_1, f_2 \right\rangle = 0. \quad (8)$$

Thus we get $\left\langle \left(T_{22}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f_2, f_2 \right\rangle = 0$ for $f_2 \in (G_\alpha^1)^\perp$. Hence $\left(T_{22}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 = 0$, since $\left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 \neq 0$. We shall now show that $\left(T_{21}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 = 0$. Assume the opposite, that is, $\left(T_{21}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 \neq 0$. This implies there exists a unit vector $f_0 \in (G_\alpha^1)^\perp$, such that $\left(\left(T_{21}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0\right)^* f_0 = g \neq 0$. Let $g_0 = \frac{g}{\|g\|}$ and take a unit vector $g_1 \in (G_\alpha^1)^\perp$ with $g_1 \perp g_0$. Let $f = rg_0 + e^{in}\sqrt{1-r^2}g_1$. Then $\|f\| = 1$. From the last identity in (8), we obtain $\left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 f, f \right\rangle = 0$. Moreover, $\left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 g_0, g_0 \right\rangle = 0$, $\left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 g_1, g_0 \right\rangle = 0$, $\left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 g_0, g_1 \right\rangle = 0$, $\left\langle \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 g_1, g_1 \right\rangle = 0$. Notice that g_1 is an arbitrary vector in G_α^1 with $g_0 \perp g_1$. The operator $\left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0$ has the block matrix $\left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^{01} \end{pmatrix}$ with respect to the decomposition $G_\alpha^1 = \vee\{g_0\} \oplus \{g_0\}^\perp$. This implies

$$\begin{aligned} \dim G_\alpha^1 &= \dim \vee \left\{ \text{Range} \left(\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 \right), \text{Range} \left(\left(\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 \right)^* \right) \right\} \\ &= \dim \vee \left\{ \text{Range} \left(\left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 \right), \text{Range} \left(\left(\left(S_{11}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 \right)^* \right) \right\} \leq \dim (G_\alpha^1) - 1. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction. So $\left(T_{21}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 = 0$. Similarly, one can show that $\left(T_{12}^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 = 0$. Thus we get $\left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^0 = 0$. If $G_\alpha^0 = L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, then $T_\phi^{(\alpha)} = 0 = 0 \cdot I_{\mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))}$. Hence $\phi \equiv 0$. If $T_\phi^{(\alpha)} \neq 0$, then there exists a unit vector $u \in (G_\alpha^0)^\perp$, such that $\left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u = Q_u T_\phi^{(\alpha)} Q_u \neq 0$, where Q_u is the orthogonal on $\mathbb{V}\{G_\alpha^0, u\}$. Denote $\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u = Q_u S_\psi^{(\alpha)} Q_u$ and $R_u^{(\alpha)} = Q_u R^{(\alpha)} Q_u$. Then $\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u \neq 0$. Notice that $\text{Rank}\left(\left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u\right) \leq 2$ and $\text{Rank}\left(\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u\right) \leq 4$. Since $\left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u, \left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u$ are finite rank, we have $\left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u = 0$ or $\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u = 0$ by Theorem 3.1. But if $\left(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u \neq 0$, we have $\left(S_\psi^{(\alpha)}\right)^u = 0$. This contradicts the assumption on G_α . The proof is complete. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. If*

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) &\approx \Theta_{ST}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \text{ and} \\ \Theta_T^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) &\approx K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ and for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$, then $S = T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ for some $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ and the matrix of S is lower triangular.

Proof. The identity

$$\Theta_S^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \approx \Theta_{ST}^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$$

and

$$\Theta_T^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y}) \approx K^{(\alpha)}(x, \bar{y})$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{D}$ implies that

$$\left\langle S \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle ST \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle$$

and

$$\left\langle T \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right), \left(\sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)} \right) \right\rangle$$

where c_j 's are constants and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{D}$. Since the set of vectors

$$\left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n c_j K_{x_j}^{(\alpha)}, x_j \in \mathbb{D}, j = 1, \dots, n, c_1, \dots, c_n \text{ constants} \right\}$$

is dense [2] in $L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, we obtain $\langle STg, g \rangle \langle g, g \rangle = \langle Sg, g \rangle \langle Tg, g \rangle$ for all $g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. That is, $\langle STf, f \rangle = \langle Sf, f \rangle \langle Tf, f \rangle$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ with $\|f\| = 1$. This implies

$$\langle STk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \langle Sk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle \langle Tk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle \quad (10)$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. So assume (10) holds for all $T \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ and for all $z \in \mathbb{D}$. We shall first show that if $f, g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, then $\rho_\alpha(f \otimes g)(z) = \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2} f(z)$, $z \in \mathbb{D}$. This can be verified as follows: Let $f, g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$. Then $\rho_\alpha(f \otimes g)(z) = \left\langle (f \otimes g) \frac{K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|}, \frac{K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2} \langle K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, g \rangle \langle f, K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2} f(z)$. Now if (9) holds for all $T \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ and $z \in \mathbb{D}$, then let $T = f \otimes g$ for $f, g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. Then $\langle STk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = (S\rho_\alpha(f \otimes g))(z) = \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2} (Sf)(z)$. From (9), it follows that

$$\frac{\overline{g(z)}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2} (Sf)(z) = \frac{\overline{g(z)}}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|^2} \rho_\alpha(S)(z) f(z).$$

This implies $(Sf)(z) = \rho_\alpha(S)(z) f(z)$ for all $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. From Lemma 3 and Lemma 2.5, it follows that $S = T_{\rho_\alpha(S)}$ where $\rho_\alpha(S) \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Now S is a Toeplitz operator with bounded analytic symbol. It is not difficult to verify that an operator $C \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ is an analytic Toeplitz operator if and only if

$$\langle Ce_{j+1}^{(\alpha)}, e_{i+1}^{(\alpha)} \rangle = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{i+1, \alpha}}{\gamma_{j+1, \alpha}}} \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_{j, \alpha}}{\gamma_{i, \alpha}}} \langle Ce_j^{(\alpha)}, e_i^{(\alpha)} \rangle & \text{if } i \geq j; \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus the matrix of S is lower triangular. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. Then $\rho_\alpha(ST) = \rho_\alpha(S)\rho_\alpha(T)$ for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ if and only if $S = T_\phi^\alpha$ for some $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. The necessary part follows from Theorem 4.2. For the sufficient part, let $S = M_\phi^{(\alpha)} = T_\phi^{(\alpha)}$, $\phi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Then

$$\rho_\alpha(T_\phi^{(\alpha)} T)(z) = \langle T_\phi^{(\alpha)} Tk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \phi(z) \frac{(Tk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}})(z)}{\|K_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}\|}$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. Thus from [7], [6], it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_\alpha(T_\phi^{(\alpha)}T)(z) &= \phi(z)\langle Tk_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle = \phi(z)\rho_\alpha(T)(z) \\ &= \langle T_\phi^{(\alpha)}k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}}, k_z^{1+\frac{\alpha}{2}} \rangle \rho_\alpha(T)(z) = \rho_\alpha(T_\phi^{(\alpha)})(z)\rho_\alpha(T)(z), \end{aligned}$$

for all $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. □

Corollary 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. Then $\rho_\alpha(ST)(z) = \rho_\alpha(S)(z)\rho_\alpha(T)(z)$ for all $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ if and only if $T = T_\psi^{(\alpha)}$ where $\psi \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$.*

Proof. Notice that $\rho_\alpha(A^*)(z) = \overline{\rho_\alpha(A)}(z)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$. The result follows from Theorem 4.2. □

Corollary 4.3. *Let $S \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ be invertible. If $\langle S^{-1}f, g \rangle \langle Sf, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle^2$ for all $f, g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, then there exists a constant $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $S = \beta I$ where $I \in \mathcal{L}(L_a^2(dA_\alpha))$ is the identity operator.*

Proof. Let $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$ be such that $f \neq 0$. Then for every $g \in (sp\{f\})^\perp \subset L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, we have $\langle S^{-1}f, g \rangle = 0$ or $\langle Sf, g \rangle = 0$ because $\langle S^{-1}f, g \rangle \langle Sf, g \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle^2 = 0$. Let $K_f = \{g \in (sp\{f\})^\perp \mid \langle Sf, g \rangle = 0\}$ and $L_f = \{g \in (sp\{f\})^\perp \mid \langle S^{-1}f, g \rangle = 0\}$. Then $K_f \cup L_f = (sp\{f\})^\perp$. Since $(sp\{f\})^\perp$, K_f and L_f are closed subspaces, we obtain $K_f \subseteq L_f = (sp\{f\})^\perp$ or $L_f \subseteq K_f = (sp\{f\})^\perp$. If $L_f = (sp\{f\})^\perp$, then $S^{-1}f \in sp\{f\}$. So there exists a $\beta_f \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $S^{-1}f = \beta_f f \neq 0$, that is, $Sf = \frac{1}{\beta_f}f$. If $K_f = (sp\{f\})^\perp$, then $Sf \in sp\{f\}$, that is, $Sf = \beta_f f$ for some scalar β_f . Since f is arbitrary, we see that, for every $f \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, there is a scalar β_f such that $Sf = \beta_f f$. This implies that there exists a $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $S = \beta I$. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{D})$ be such that $S_\phi^{(\alpha)}$ is invertible. Then there exist $f, g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$, such that $\left\langle \left(S_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^{-1} f, g \right\rangle \left\langle S_\phi^{(\alpha)} f, g \right\rangle \neq \langle f, g \rangle^2$.*

Proof. Suppose $\left\langle \left(S_\phi^{(\alpha)}\right)^{-1} f, g \right\rangle \left\langle S_\phi^{(\alpha)} f, g \right\rangle = \langle f, g \rangle^2$ for all $f, g \in L_a^2(dA_\alpha)$. Then by Corollary 4.3, we obtain $S_\phi^{(\alpha)} = \beta I$ for some constant $\beta \in \mathbb{C}$. This is not possible by Lemma 2.3. □

References

- [1] J.B. Conway. *A course in functional analysis*. 2nd edition, Springer-Verlag, 1990.
- [2] M. Engliš. Functions invariant under the Berezin transform. *J. Funct. Anal.* **121** (1994), 233-254.
- [3] N.S. Faour. A theorem of Nehari type. *Illinois J. Math.* **35** (1991), 533-535.
- [4] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu. *Theory of Bergman spaces*. Graduate texts in Mathematics **199**, Springer - Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [5] S. Krantz. *Function theory of several complex variables*. 2nd edition, Amer. Math. Soc., 2001.
- [6] J. Lee. Some properties of the weighted Berezin transform in the unit disc and bidisc. *Glob. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **14(2)** (2018), 275-283.
- [7] W. Rudin. *Function theory in the unit ball of \mathbb{C}^n* . Springer - Verlag, New York Inc., 1980.
- [8] W. Rudin. *Real and complex analysis*. 3rd edition, McGraw-Hill, New York Inc., 1987.
- [9] K. Zhu. *Operator theory in function spaces*. Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Marcell Dekker, Inc. **139**, New York and Basel, 1990.