

# AN ITERATIVE METHOD FOR AN EQUILIBRIUM POINT OF LINEAR QUADRATIC STOCHASTIC DIFFERENTIAL GAMES WITH STATE AND CONTROL-DEPENDENT NOISE\*

Ivelin G. Ivanov<sup>†</sup>      Vladislav K. Tanov<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

We study a numerical algorithm for solving the coupled stochastic algebraic Riccati equations arising in the infinite time horizon nonzero-sum linear quadratic (LQ) differential games of stochastic systems. We construct a matrix sequence, which converges to the solution of the considered coupled stochastic algebraic Riccati equations and defines the Nash equilibrium point, which solves a stochastic control problem with state, control and external disturbance-dependent noise. Computer realizations of the introduced methods are numerically compared via Python.

**MSC:** 91A25, 15A24, 60H35

**keywords:** Stochastic  $H_2/H_\infty$ , differential games, Nash equilibrium, stochastic Riccati equation.

---

\*Accepted for publication on March 5, 2018

<sup>†</sup>[iwelin.ivanov@gmail.com](mailto:iwelin.ivanov@gmail.com) Konstantin Preslavsky University of Shumen, Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Shumen, Bulgaria

<sup>‡</sup>[vtanov24@yahoo.com](mailto:vtanov24@yahoo.com) George Mason University, USA

## 1 Introduction

Linear quadratic games based on the Riccati equations and their applications have been widely investigated in literatures [1, 2, 4, 3]. Some special kinds of stochastic differential games for *Itô* systems with state and control-dependent noise are investigated in [9, 10, 11]. The system with the state and control-dependent noise, where using the stochastic Nash game approach to solve stochastic  $H_2/H_\infty$  control with state, control and external disturbance-dependent noise is analysed in [10]. The existence of the Nash equilibrium for infinite time horizon nonzero-sum LQ stochastic differential games is equivalent to the solvability of four coupled stochastic Riccati algebraic equations [10].

The goal of the paper is to present a numerical algorithm for computing the Nash equilibrium point for a two-player game. We study numerical algorithms for solving the coupled stochastic algebraic Riccati equations arising in the infinite time horizon nonzero-sum linear quadratic (LQ) differential games of stochastic systems. We construct a matrix sequence, which converges to a solution of the considered coupled stochastic algebraic Riccati equations. This solution defines the Nash equilibrium point [10, Theorem 2]. Computer realizations of the introduced methods are numerically compared via Python. In our investigation we adapt ideas and algorithms derived by Ivanov in [6, 7].

A Nash equilibrium exists if and only if there exist real symmetric  $n \times n$  solutions  $(\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2, \tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2)$  to the following four coupled stochastic algebraic Riccati equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{R}_1(X_1, X_2) &= X_1 \bar{A}_0 + \bar{A}_0^T X_1 + \bar{A}_1^T X_1 \bar{A}_1 + \bar{Q}_1 \\
&\quad - (X_1 B_1 + \bar{A}_1^T X_1 C_1) (R_{11} + C_1^T X_1 C_1)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times (B_1^T X_1 + C_1^T X_1 P \bar{A}_1) = 0 \\
F_1 &= -(R_{11} + C_1^T X_1 C_1)^{-1} (B_1^T X_1 + C_1^T X_1 \bar{A}_1) \\
&\quad R_{11} + C_1^T X_1 C_1 > 0, \\
\mathcal{R}_2(X_1, X_2) &= X_2 \tilde{A}_0 + \tilde{A}_0^T X_2 + \tilde{A}_1^T X_2 \tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{Q}_2 \\
&\quad - (X_2 B_2 + \tilde{A}_1^T X_2 C_2) (R_{22} + C_2^T X_2 C_2)^{-1} \\
&\quad \times (B_2^T X_2 + C_2^T X_2 \tilde{A}_1) = 0 \\
F_2 &= -(R_{22} + C_2^T X_2 C_2)^{-1} (B_2^T X_2 + C_2^T X_2 \tilde{A}_1) \\
&\quad R_{22} + C_2^T X_2 C_2 > 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \bar{A}_0 = A_0 + B_2 F_2, & \bar{A}_1 = A_1 + C_2 F_2, \\ \tilde{A}_0 = A_0 + B_1 F_1, & \tilde{A}_1 = A_1 + C_1 F_1, \\ \bar{Q}_1 = Q_1 + F_2^T R_{12} F_2, & \bar{Q}_2 = Q_2 + F_1^T R_{21} F_1. \end{cases}$$

The notations are :  $A_0, A_1$  are real  $n \times n$  matrices,  $Q_1, Q_2$  are real symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices,  $B_1, C_1$  are real  $n \times m_1$  matrices,  $B_2, C_2$  are real  $n \times m_2$  matrices,  $R_{11}, R_{21}$  are real  $m_1 \times m_1$  matrices, and  $R_{12}, R_{22}$  are real  $m_2 \times m_2$  matrices.

A matrix  $A$  is said to be stable if the all eigenvalues of  $A$  lie in the open left half plane. We write  $X \geq Y$  or  $X \succ Y$  if  $X - Y$  is positive definite or  $X - Y$  is positive semidefinite.

## 2 An algorithm

We rewrite the set of Riccati equations  $\mathcal{R}_1(X_1, X_2) = 0$  and  $\mathcal{R}_2(X_1, X_2) = 0$  as a common Riccati equation with block matrix coefficients:

$$\mathcal{A}_0^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} \mathcal{A}_0 + \Pi_1(\mathbf{X}) + \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}) \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{X})^{-1} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})^T = 0 \quad (2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{R}(\mathbf{X}) &= \mathcal{R} + \mathcal{C}^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{C}, \\ &= \text{diag} [R_{11} + C_1^T X_1 C_1, R_{22} + C_2^T X_2 C_2] \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}) &= \mathbf{X} \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{C} \\ &= \text{diag} [X_1 B_1 + \bar{A}_1^T X_1 C_1, X_2 B_2 + \tilde{A}_1^T X_2 C_2] \\ \Pi_1(\mathbf{X}) &= \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{A}_1 = \text{diag} [\bar{A}_1^T X_1 \bar{A}_1, \tilde{A}_1^T X_2 \tilde{A}_1], \\ \mathcal{A}_0 &= \text{diag} [\bar{A}_0, \tilde{A}_0], \quad \mathcal{A}_1 = \text{diag} [\bar{A}_1, \tilde{A}_1], \\ \mathcal{B} &= \text{diag} [B_1, B_2], \quad \mathcal{C} = \text{diag} [C_1, C_2], \\ \mathcal{R} &= \text{diag} [R_{11}, R_{22}], \quad \mathcal{Q} = \text{diag} [\bar{Q}_1, \bar{Q}_2] . \end{aligned}$$

The introduced Riccati equation (1) is a Riccati type equation investigated in [7]. We can modify Lyapunov iteration (8) from [7]. We derive the following iteration suitable for the set of Riccati equations (1). We take

$\mathbf{X}^{(0)} = \text{diag}[X_1^{(0)}, X_2^{(0)}]$  and compute

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(0)} &= -(R_{11} + C_1^T X_1^{(0)} C_1)^{-1} (B_1^T X_1^{(0)} + C_1^T X_1^{(0)} A_1), \\ \tilde{A}_1 &= A_1 + C_1 F_1^{(0)}, \\ F_2^{(0)} &= -(R_{22} + C_2^T X_2^{(0)} C_2)^{-1} (B_2^T X_2^{(0)} + C_2^T X_2^{(0)} \tilde{A}_1), \\ \bar{A}_1 &= A_1 + C_2 F_2^{(0)}, \\ F_1^{(0)} &= -(R_{11} + C_1^T X_1^{(0)} C_1)^{-1} (B_1^T X_1^{(0)} + C_1^T X_1^{(0)} \bar{A}_1). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

We construct the matrix sequence  $\{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$  as follow. Assume we know  $\mathbf{X}^{(k)}$ . We compute :

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}_1 &= A_1 + C_1 F_1^{(k-1)}, \quad \bar{A}_1 = A_1 + C_2 F_2^{(k-1)}, \\ \mathcal{A}_1 &= \text{diag}[\bar{A}_1, \tilde{A}_1], \\ \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)}) &= \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \mathbf{B} + \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \mathcal{C}, \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} &= -(\mathbb{R}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)}))^{-1} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)})^T \\ &= \text{diag}[F_1(\mathbf{X}^{(k)}), F_2(\mathbf{X}^{(k)})] = \text{diag}[F_1^{(k)}, F_2^{(k)}], \\ \tilde{A}_0 &= A_0 + B_1 F_1^{(k)}, \quad \bar{A}_0 = A_0 + C_2 F_2^{(k)}, \\ \mathcal{A}_0 &= \text{diag}[\bar{A}_0, \tilde{A}_0], \\ \bar{Q}_1 &= Q_1 + (F_2^{(k)})^T R_{12} F_2^{(k)}, \quad \bar{Q}_2 = Q_2 + (F_1^{(k)})^T R_{21} F_1^{(k)}, \\ \mathcal{Q} &= \text{diag}[\bar{Q}_1, \bar{Q}_2]. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We ready to apply the iteration

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}})^T \mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} (\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}}) \\ + \mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} + \Pi_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathbf{Z}} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{Q} & 0 \\ 0 & \mathcal{R} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$\Pi_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}}(\mathbf{X}^{(k)}) = \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} \end{pmatrix}^T \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \mathcal{C} \\ \mathcal{C}^T \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \mathcal{A}_1 & \mathcal{C}^T \mathbf{X}^{(k)} \mathcal{C} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{I} \\ \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Under the assumptions that the  $\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{Q}$  are given constant matrices the convergence properties of iteration (5) are derived in the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** [7, Theorem 2.10] *Assume there exist Hermitian matrices  $\hat{\mathbf{X}}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_0$  such that  $\mathcal{R}(\hat{\mathbf{X}}) \geq 0$  and  $\mathbf{X}_0 > \hat{\mathbf{X}}, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}_0) < 0$  and  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}(0)}$  is stable, where  $\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}(0)} = -(\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^{(0)}))^{-1} \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X}^{(0)})^T$ . Then for the matrix sequence  $\{\mathbf{X}^{(s)}\}$  defined by (5) are satisfied*

- (i)  $\mathbf{X}^{(s)} > \mathbf{X}^{(s+1)}, \mathbf{X}^{(s)} > \hat{\mathbf{X}}, \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}^{(s)}) < 0, \quad s = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(s)}}$  is stable for  $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots;$
- (iii)  $\lim_{s \rightarrow \infty} \mathbf{X}^{(s)} = \tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  is a solution of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) = 0$  with  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}} > \hat{\mathbf{X}}$ . Moreover, if  $\mathbf{X}^{(0)} > \mathbf{X}$  for all solutions  $\mathbf{X}$  of  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{X}) = 0$ , then  $\tilde{\mathbf{X}}$  is the maximal solution;
- (iv) the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}$  lie in the closed left half plane. In addition, if  $\mathcal{R}(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) > 0$ , then all eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{\mathbf{X}}}$  lie in the open left half plane.

**Remark 1** *The introduced approach can be applied for the infinite time horizon stochastic  $H_2/H_\infty$  control problem to find the Nash equilibrium point [8, 10]. Theorem 3 [10] confirms that the existence the Nash equilibrium point is obtained via the solution of the special four coupled stochastic algebraic Riccati equations derived in [10]. The solution can be found applying through formulas (3)-(5).*

### 3 Numerical examples

We carry out some numerical experiments for computing the stabilizing solution to block Riccati equation (1). We apply the algorithm described by (3)-(5). We use Python in an easy-to-use Anaconda environment where problems and solutions are expressed in most effective way. Python is a programming language that lets you work more quickly and integrate your systems more effectively. The Python programming language is freely available and makes solving a computer problem almost as easy as writing out the problems. Python can be used for processing text, numbers, and scientific data and applications.

We rewrite (1) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{A}_0^T \mathbf{X} + \mathbf{X} \mathcal{A}_0 + \mathcal{Q} + \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{A}_1 - (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} + \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{C}) \\ & \times (\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{C}^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{C})^{-1} (\mathbf{X} \mathbf{B} + \mathcal{A}_1^T \mathbf{X} \mathcal{C})^T = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

We represent iteration (5) in the form suitable for the computations:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}})^T \mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} + \mathbf{X}^{(k+1)} (\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}}) + \mathcal{Q} \\ & + \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}}^T \mathcal{R} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}} + (\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{C}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}})^T \mathbf{X}^{(k)} (\mathcal{A}_1 + \mathcal{C}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(k)}}) = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

i.e. we call it the block Lyapunov iteration.

The numerical experiments are constructed following the approach derived in [5] and the block Lyapunov iteration (7) is applied instead of (5).

We consider a two-player game and two numerical examples. The matrix coefficients  $A, B_i, Q_i$  and  $R_{ij}$  for  $i, j = 1, 2$  are defined using the Python description.

**Example 1** *The matrix coefficients are:*

```
n=3; m1=2; m2=3;
A0 = np.matrix([[[-1.5, 0.17,-0.049],[0.07, -1.42, -0.027],[0.04, -0.11,-
1.47]])
A1 = np.matrix([[0.7, 0.19,-0.04],[0.24, 0.9,0.9],[0.3, 0.1,0.15]])
Q1=0.3*np.matlib.identity(n)
Q2=0.025*np.matlib.identity(n)
B1 = np.matrix([[0.0, 0.],[0.05, 0.1],[0.04, 0.15]]);
C1 = np.matrix([[0., 0.1],[1.1, 0],[0., 0.02]]);
B2 = np.matrix([[0.1, 0.5 , 0.4],[0., 0, 0.08],[0., 0., 2.2]])
C2 = np.matrix([[0.1, 0. , 0.],[0., 1.5, 0.0],[0.1, 0.05, 0.0]])
R11 = np.matlib.identity(m1); R11[0,0]=4.0; R11[m1-1,m1-1]=5.0;
R21 = np.matlib.identity(m1)/2.; R21[1,1]=10.;
R22 = np.matlib.identity(m2); R22[0,0]=2.; R22[m2-1,m2-1]=8.;
R12 = np.matlib.identity(m2)/2.; R12[1,1]=2.; R12[m2-1,m2-1]=3.;
```

We execute Example 1 for  $n = 3$  and  $tol = 1.0e - 8$ . We take  $X_1^{(0)} = \text{diag}[6, 6, 6]$ , and  $X_2^{(0)} = \text{diag}[9, 9, 9]$ . Thus, we obtain  $\mathcal{R}_1(X_1^{(0)}, X_2^{(0)}) < 0$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_2(X_1^{(0)}, X_2^{(0)}) < 0$ . We take  $\hat{X}_1^{(0)} = \hat{X}_2^{(0)} = \text{diag}[0.0002, 0.0002, 0.0002]$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_1(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2) > 0$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_2(\hat{X}_1, \hat{X}_2) > 0$ . In addition, the matrix  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{X}^{(0)}}$  is stable. Thus the conditions of Theorem 1 are satisfied. We execute iteration (7) with the initial matrices  $X_1^{(0)}$  and  $X_2^{(0)}$ . We obtain the solution  $\tilde{X}_1, \tilde{X}_2$  after 25 iteration steps. The matrix  $\mathcal{A}_0 + \mathbf{B}\mathcal{F}_{\tilde{X}}$  is stable. We have

$$\tilde{X}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.13952043 & 0.04144027 & 0.02188102 \\ 0.04144027 & 0.15624824 & 0.03732627 \\ 0.02188102 & 0.03732627 & 0.14154421 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\tilde{X}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0.0120035 & 0.003909 & 0.00222309 \\ 0.003909 & 0.01359531 & 0.0036183 \\ 0.00222309 & 0.0036183 & 0.01226303 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The pair  $(F_1(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}), F_2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}))$  defines the Nash equilibrium point with

$$F_1(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.02010912 & -0.04090623 & -0.0385815 \\ -0.00398803 & -0.00569488 & -0.00583653 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$F_2(\tilde{\mathbf{X}}) = \begin{pmatrix} -0.00138726 & -0.00071184 & -0.00050222 \\ -0.01597642 & -0.02063644 & -0.01883039 \\ -0.00125061 & -0.00132644 & -0.00351967 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We execute additional example for different values of  $n$ .

**Example 2** *The matrix coefficients are:*

$m1=2; \quad m2=3;$

$A_0 = np.random.randn(n,n)/100 -1.5*np.matlib.identity(n);$

$A_1 = abs(np.random.randn(n,n))/10$

$B_1 = np.matrix([[0.0, 0.],[0.05, 0.1],[0.04, 0.15]]);$

$C_1 = np.matrix([[0., 0.1],[1.1, 0],[0., 0.02]]);$

for  $i$  in range  $(0,n-3)$ :

$h=np.matrix([uniform(-0.5, 0.5),uniform(-0.5, 0.5)])$

$B_1=np.concatenate((B_1,h/10))$

$h=np.matrix([uniform(-0.5, 0.5),uniform(-0.5, 0.5)])$

$C_1=np.concatenate((C_1,h/10))$

$B_2 = np.matrix([[0.1, 0.5, 0.4],[0., 0, 0.08],[0., 0., 2.2]])$

$C_2 = np.matrix([[0.1, 0., 0.],[0., 1.5, 0.0],[0.1, 0.05, 0.0]])$

for  $i$  in range  $(0,n-3)$ :

$h=np.matrix([uniform(-0.5, 0.5),uniform(-0.5, 0.5),uniform(-0.5, 0.5)])$

$B_2=np.concatenate((B_2,h/10))$

$h=np.matrix([uniform(-0.5, 0.5),uniform(-0.5, 0.5),uniform(-1.5, 0.5)])$

$C_2=np.concatenate((C_2,h/10))$

Matrices  $Q_1, Q_2, R_{11}, R_{21}, R_{22}, R_{12}$  are the same as in Example 1. We execute 100 runs for each value of  $n$ .

Table 1 presents the computational results for different values of  $n$ .

Table 1. Example 2. Numerical results for different values of  $n$ .

n	Iteration (7)	
	$maxIt$	$avIt$
5	12	10.15
10	20	14.6
15	35	26.9
25	287	122.6

## 4 Conclusion

We have made numerical experiments for computing the stabilizing solution to the block Riccati equation (1). The numerical experiments confirm the effectiveness of the block Lyapunov iteration.

**Acknowledgement.** The paper was supported by the project RD-08-107/06.02.2017 from the Shumen University, Bulgaria.

## References

- [1] T. Azevedo-Perdicoulis, G. Jank, Linear Quadratic Nash Games on Positive Linear Systems, *European Journal of Control*, 11, 1–13, 2005.
- [2] B. Basar, G.J. Olsder. *Dynamic Noncooperative Game Theory*. SIAM, Philadelphia, 1999.
- [3] J. Engwerda. *LQ Dynamic Optimization and Differential Games*, Wiley 2005.
- [4] W. van den Broek, J. Engwerda, J. Schumacher. Robust Equilibria in Indefinite Linear Quadratic Differential Games, *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 119, 3, 565–595, 2003.
- [5] Ivan G. Ivanov, Ivelin G. Ivanov, The iterative solution to LQ zero-sum stochastic differential games, *J. Appl. Math. Comput.*, 1-13, 2017.
- [6] I. Ivanov. Properties of Stein (Lyapunov) Iterations for Solving a General Riccati Equation, *Nonlinear Analysis Series A: Theory, Methods & Applications*, 67, 1155–1166, 2007.



- [7] I. Ivanov. Iterations for Solving a Rational Riccati Equation Arising in Stochastic Control, *Computers and Mathematics with Applications*, 53, 977-988, 2007.
- [8] D. J. N. Limebeer, B. D. O. Anderson, B. Hendel. A Nash game approach to mixed  $H_2/H_\infty$  control. *IEEE Transactions on Automatic Control*, 1994, 39(1), 69–82, 1994.
- [9] Z. Yu, Linear-quadratic optimal control and nonzero-sum differential game of forward-backward stochastic system. *Asian J. Control*, 14(1): 173-185, 2012.
- [10] H. Zhu, C. Zhang, Infinite time horizon nonzero-sum linear quadratic stochastic differential games with state and control-dependent noise, *J. Control Theory Appl.*, 11: 629–633, 2013.
- [11] H.N. Zhu, C.K. Zhang, N. Bin, Stochastic Nash Games for Markov Jump Linear Systems with State- and Control-Dependent Noise, *Journal of the Operations Research Society of China*, 2: 481–498, 2014.