

THE VIENNA ARBITRAGE / DIKTAT, IN THE PRESENT ROMANIAN HISTORIOGRAPHY. ETHICS “VERSUS” HISTORY (1989-2010)¹

Stelian MÂNDRUȚ²

Abstract. Dialogue and scientific debate on the interpretation of a written site / theme role locally / nationally represented and continues to mean a heavy doubtful and defining project for the current Romanian historical science. The confrontation of ideas between representatives of different generations in the years 1990-2010 reached a climax of its intention to review and augmentation itself hermeneutizării issues and consequences in space and time. Speech "emotional" and declined once professed latest results from specific optical aiming to establish a documentation and interpretation. Forward exegetical tint can help simplify the approach wrought possibility of democratization in / through historical study practiced permanently and innovating, in form and substance. So, compulsionăriile partisan series of omissions, speculation by eclecticism, trends objective / subjective expressed in double tone, balanced / emotional, marked by re-writing, overflowing loads dislike or lack the required postings, can not obstruct the manifestation of normal capacity duct chronological fined beneficial ways contextualization and conceptualization in comparative and interdisciplinary spirit of European origin.

Keywords: Romania, Hungary, 1940, Germany, Italy, Vienna, historiography, historians

Referring to the subtitle, we try to deal with the multitude of the possible human being interpretations and actions of those who created the past. Still, we wonder whether the truth is accessible, or to what measure it could become as such, because we have two hypothesis: a) evoking the past may seem either improbable, as well as the use of history in order to get history knowledge; b) the past must be accepted even by its specific culture, history meaning in this case, the mere reflection of one's own dominant restlessness.

Exploring the past time and events implies a certain kind of knowledge, mainly relying on a necessary axiological horizon, doubled by comprehension ability and assumed expression. The analysis of the “self-referencial” paradigm may confirm/deny the inflation and/or the neglection of the apart “ego”. Applying the “de-construction” concept, frequently contested in an empirical way, by deductive methods, is confirmed by one of the thesis expressed in the past by philosophers, about the inexistence of facts and the uncertainty of interpretations. The near

¹ Interrogative opinion on the occasion of the session entitled: Arbitrajul de la Viena din 30 august 1940. Antecedente și consecințe(30th August 1940. The Vienna arbitrage and its records and consequentlyes), Satu Mare, 2-3 septembrie 2010. See, the genuin romanian version, in “Satu Mare. Studii și Comunicări”, XXVII/II, Supplementum, 2011, p. 9-25.

² Stelian Mândruț, Ph. D., Researcher, Institute of History “George Barițiu, Cluj-Napoca; smandrut@yahoo.com SMandrut@hotmail.com