

ALGORITHMS FOR THE RICCATI EQUATION WITH A NONSINGULAR M-MATRIX*

I. Ivanov[†] N. Baeva[‡]

DOI <https://doi.org/10.56082/annalsarscimath.2023.1-2.205>

Dedicated to Dr. Dan Tiba on the occasion of his 70th anniversary

Abstract

We consider different algorithms with linear rate of convergence for computing the minimal nonnegative solution of M-matrix algebraic Riccati equation. The performance of the considered algorithms are illustrated on numerical examples.

MSC: 15A24, 65F45.

keywords: M-matrix, iterative methods, algorithm, convergence rate, nonnegative solution.

1 Introduction

The nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equations have been the topic of extensive research. These equations arise in many important applications,

* Accepted for publication on March 28-th, 2023

[†]iwelin.ivanow@shu.bg Konstantin Preslavsky University of Shumen, Kolej Dobrich, Dobrich,

[‡]n.baeva@nvna.eu Nikola Vaptsarov Naval Academy, 73 , Vasil Drumev Str., Varna 9002, Bulgaria, Paper written with financial support of the Bulgarian Ministry of Education and Science under the National Program "Young Scientists and Postdoctoral Students - 2"

including the total least squares problems, in the Markov chains [7], in the transport theory [3] and many others.

The equation has the form

$$\mathcal{R}(X) := XCX - XD - AX + B = 0, \quad (1)$$

where D, B, C and A are real matrices of dimensions $m \times m, m \times n, n \times m$ and $n \times n$, respectively. The block matrix $K = \begin{pmatrix} A & -C \\ -B & D \end{pmatrix}$ is an M-matrix.

It is investigated in Bai and coauthors [2], Ma and Lu [10], Guan and Lu [4], Guan [5] and many other authors (see the reference there in).

In addition, nonsymmetric Riccati equation (1) arises in the game theory and more specially from the investigation of the open-loop Nash linear quadratic differential game [1, 12]. A more general problem on connected to the properties of the stabilising solution of the game theoretic algebraic Riccati equation is investigated in [8, 9]. The solution of practical interest is the minimal nonnegative solution of (1).

There are many numerical methods up to now proposed for the minimal nonnegative solution of (1) with a nonsingular M-matrix. An effective method called alternately linearized implicit iteration method (ALI) was proposed and investigated in [2, 10, 4]. This approach constructs two matrix sequences of nonnegative matrices which converges to the minimal solution. A new alternately linearized implicit iteration method (NALI) for computing the minimal nonnegative solution of (1) is introduced in [4].

Guan [5] has proposed the MALI iterative method: $A = (a_{ij}), D = (d_{ij}), X^{(0)} = 0 \in R^{n \times n}$. The matrix A is transformed $A = L_A - U_A$, where L_A is the lower triangular part of A and U_A is the strictly upper triangular part of A . The matrix D is received the type $D = L_D - U_D$ in the same way.

The iteration scheme is:

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(k)}(\alpha I + L_D) &= (\alpha I - A + X^{(k)}C)X^{(k)} + X^{(k)}U_D + B, \\ \alpha &\geq \max_i (a_{ii}) \\ (\delta I + L_A)X^{(k+1)} &= Y^{(k)}(\delta I - D + CY^{(k)}) + U_A Y^{(k)} + B, \\ \delta &\geq \max_i (d_{ii}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The convergence analysis of the MALI iteration method and numerical experiments are executed by Guan. Moreover, Ivanov and Yang [11] have

proposed a modification of (2):

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(k)}(\gamma I + L_D) &= (\gamma I - A + X^{(k)}C)X^{(k)} + X^{(k)}U_D + B, \\ (\gamma I + A)X^{(k+1)} &= Y^{(k)}(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) + B, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The iteration (3) is an alternative to iteration (2).

Our investigation follows ideas presented of iterative methods by Bai and coauthors [2], Ma and Lu [10], Guan and Lu [4], Guan [5], Ivanov and Yang [11]. We propose a different iterative method to compute the minimal nonnegative solution and derive convergence properties of the new iteration.

The notation $\mathbf{R}^{r \times s}$ stands for $r \times s$ real matrices and I means an unit $n \times n$ matrix. A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{R}^{m \times n}$ is a nonnegative matrix if the inequalities $a_{ij} \geq 0$ are satisfied for all $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq n$. We use an elementwise order relation. The inequality $P \geq Q$ ($P > Q$) for $P = (p_{ij}), Q = (q_{ij})$ means that $p_{ij} \geq q_{ij}$ ($p_{ij} > q_{ij}$) for all indexes i and j . Define Z-matrices and M-matrices. A matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in \mathbf{R}^{n \times n}$ is said to be a Z-matrix if it has nonpositive off-diagonal entries. Any Z-matrix A can be written in the form $A = \alpha I - N$ with N being a nonnegative matrix. Each M-matrix is a Z-matrix with if $\alpha \geq \rho(N)$, where $\rho(N)$ is the spectral radius of N . It is called a nonsingular M-matrix if $\alpha > \rho(N)$ and a singular M-matrix if $\alpha = \rho(N)$.

2 Iterative methods and properties

Here, we consider the another modification of the above iteration (2). Compute

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &\geq \max_i (a_{ii}), \{A = (a_{ij})\}, \quad \beta \geq \max_i (d_{ii}), \{D = (d_{ij})\}, \\ \gamma &= \max\{\alpha, \beta\}. \end{aligned}$$

The iteration has the form

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{(k)}(\gamma I + D) &= (\gamma I - A + X^{(k)}C)X^{(k)} + B, \\ (\gamma I + L_A)X^{(k+1)} &= Y^{(k)}(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) + U_A Y^{(k)} + B. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

The iteration start with $X^{(0)} = 0 \in R^{n \times n}$. We transform $A = L_A - U_A$, where L_A is the lower triangular part of A and U_A is the strictly upper triangular part of A . The iteration (4) is an alternative to iteration (2).

Lemma 1 *The matrix sequences $\{X^{(k)}, Y^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are obtained applying iteration ((4)) with initial values $X^{(0)} = 0$. Then for any positive k , the following equalities hold:*

$$(i) \quad \mathcal{R}(X^{(k)}) = (Y^{(k)} - X^{(k)})(\gamma I + D),$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{R}(Y^{(k)}) = (\gamma I - A + X^{(k)}C)(Y^{(k)} - X^{(k)}) + (Y^{(k)} - X^{(k)})CY^{(k)},$$

$$(iii) \quad \mathcal{R}(Y^{(k)}) = (\gamma I + L_A)(X^{(k+1)} - Y^{(k)}),$$

$$(iv) \quad \mathcal{R}(X^{(k+1)}) = (X^{(k+1)} - Y^{(k)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) \\ + (U_A + X^{(k+1)}C)(X^{(k+1)} - Y^{(k)}),$$

$$(v) \quad \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) = (Y^{(k)} - \hat{X})(\gamma I + D) + (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - X^{(k)}) \\ + (\hat{X} - X^{(k)})CX^{(k)}.$$

$$(vi) \quad \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) = (\gamma I + L_A)(X^{(k+1)} - \hat{X}) \\ + (\hat{X} - Y^{(k)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) + (U_A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - Y^{(k)}).$$

Proof. The proof is completed by a direct calculation.

Theorem 1 *Assume the matrix A is an M -matrix and $B \geq 0, C \geq 0$, and there exists $\mu > 0$, such that $(\mu I + A)$ is an M -matrix and $\mu I - A$ is nonpositive. Assume there exist a nonnegative matrix \hat{X} , such that $\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) \leq 0$.*

The matrix sequences $\{X^{(k)}, Y^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ defined by ((4)) satisfy the following properties:

$$(i) \quad \hat{X} \geq X^{(k+1)} \geq Y^{(k)} \geq X^{(k)} \text{ for } k = 0, 1, \dots;$$

$$(ii) \quad \mathcal{R}(X^{(k)}) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(Y^{(k)}) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(X^{(k+1)}) \geq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots.$$

(iii) *The matrix sequence $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the nonnegative minimal solution \tilde{X} to the Riccati equation $\mathcal{R}(X) = 0$ with $\tilde{X} \leq \hat{X}$.*

(iv) *The matrix sequence $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ converges to the nonnegative minimal solution \tilde{X} to the Riccati equation $\mathcal{R}(X) = 0$ with the property $\tilde{X} \leq \hat{X}$.*

Proof. We apply the decomposition of the matrix coefficient $A = L_A - U_A$, where L_A is the lower triangular part of A and U_A is the strictly upper triangular part of A . We remark $U_A \geq 0$. We begin with the facts that $(\gamma I + D)^{-1} \geq 0$, and $(\gamma I + L_A)^{-1} \geq 0$. We construct the matrix sequences $\{X^{(k)}, Y^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ applying recursive equations (4) with $X^{(0)} = 0$ and $\gamma > 0$.

For $k = 0$ we obtain $Y^{(0)}(\gamma I + D) = B \geq 0$ and thus $Y^{(0)} = B(\gamma I + D)^{-1} \geq 0$. And $Y^{(0)} \geq X^{(0)} = 0$. In addition, $\mathcal{R}(X^{(0)}) = B \geq 0$.

Applying Lemma 1 (ii), we get $(\gamma I - A \geq 0)$

$$\mathcal{R}(Y^{(0)}) = (\gamma I - A)Y^{(0)} + Y_i^{(0)}CY^{(0)} \geq 0.$$

We compute $X^{(1)}$ applying the recursive equation (4). We have

$$(\gamma I + L_A)X^{(1)} = W^{(0)} \geq 0,$$

where

$$W^{(0)} := Y^{(0)}(\gamma I - D + CY^{(0)}) + U_A Y^{(0)} + B.$$

Since $(\gamma I + L_A)^{-1} \geq 0$, we obtain $X^{(1)}$ is nonnegative.

Applying Lemma 1 (iii), we get

$$(X^{(1)} - Y^{(0)}) = (\gamma I + L_A)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Y^{(0)}) \geq 0.$$

According to Lemma 1 (iv) we induce

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(X^{(1)}) &= (X^{(1)} - Y^{(0)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(0)}) \\ &\quad + (U_A + X^{(1)}C)(X^{(1)} - Y^{(0)}) \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $U_A \geq 0, \gamma I - D \geq 0, X^{(1)} \geq Y^{(0)} \geq X^{(0)}$.

In order to prove $\hat{X} \geq X^{(1)}$ we consider equality Lemma 1 (v)

$$\mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) = (Y^{(0)} - \hat{X})(\gamma I + D) + (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)\hat{X} \geq 0.$$

Note that $\gamma I - A \geq 0$ and then:

$$(Y^{(0)} - \hat{X}) = H^{(0)} (\gamma I + D)^{-1} \leq 0,$$

because

$$H^{(0)} := \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)\hat{X} \leq 0.$$

Thus $\hat{X} \geq Y^{(0)}$. Moreover, applying equality Lemma 1 (vi) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma I + L_A)(X^{(1)} - \hat{X}) &= \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\hat{X} - Y^{(0)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(k)}) \\ &\quad - (U_A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - Y^{(0)}). \end{aligned}$$

We infer $\hat{X} \geq X^{(1)}$.

So, we have proved inequalities (i) - (ii) for $k = 0$.

We assume that the inequalities (i) - (ii) hold for $k = 0, 1, \dots, r$. We know matrices $X^{(r+1)}$ with the properties:

$$\hat{X} \geq X^{(r+1)} \geq Y^{(r)} \geq X^{(r)},$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}(X^{(r)}) \geq 0, \quad \mathcal{R}(Y^{(r)}) \geq 0, \mathcal{R}(X^{(r+1)}) \geq 0.$$

We will prove the inequalities (i) - (ii) for $k = r + 1$.

We compute $Y^{(r+1)}$ via (4), i.e.

$$Y^{(r+1)} = [(\gamma I - A + X^{(r+1)}C)X^{(r+1)} + B](\gamma I + D)^{-1} \geq 0.$$

According to Lemma 1 (i) we extract

$$Y^{(r+1)} - X^{(r+1)} = \mathcal{R}(X^{(r+1)})(\gamma I + D)^{-1} \geq 0.$$

From Lemma 1 (ii), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(Y^{(r+1)}) &= (\gamma I - A + X^{(r+1)}C)(Y^{(r+1)} - X^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad + (Y^{(r+1)} - X^{(r+1)})CY^{(r+1)} \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

We compute $X^{(r+2)}$ via the second equation of (4). Consider the equality (iii) of Lemma 1 for $k = r + 1$. We write down:

$$X^{(r+2)} - Y^{(r+1)} = (\gamma I + L_A)^{-1} \mathcal{R}(Y^{(r+1)}) \geq 0.$$

Next, we apply of Lemma 1 (iv) for

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(X^{(r+2)}) &= (X^{(r+2)} - Y^{(r+1)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad + (U_A + X^{(r+2)}C_i)(X^{(r+2)} - Y^{(r+1)}) \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\mathcal{R}(X^{(r+2)}) \geq 0$.

In order to prove $\hat{X} \geq X^{(r+2)}$ we consider equality Lemma 1 (v)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) &= (Y^{(r+1)} - \hat{X})(\gamma I + L_D) \\ &\quad + (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)}) - (\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)})(U_D + CX^{(r+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $\gamma I - A \geq 0$, $U_D \geq 0$, . Then

$$Y^{(r+1)} - \hat{X} = H^{(r+1)}(\gamma I + L_D)^{-1} \leq 0,$$

because $H^{(r+1)} \leq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} H^{(r+1)} &:= \mathcal{R}(\hat{X}) - (\gamma I - A + \hat{X}C)(\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad - (\hat{X} - X^{(r+1)})(U_D + CX^{(r+1)}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $\hat{X} \geq Y^{(r+1)}$.

Further on, taking into account Lemma 1 (vi) we obtain

$$X_i^{(r+2)} - \hat{X}_i = (\gamma_i I_n + L_{A_i})^{-1} T_i^{(r+1)} \leq 0,$$

because $T_i^{(r+1)} \leq 0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} T_i^{(r+1)} &:= \mathcal{R}_i(\hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_s) - (\hat{X}_i - Y_i^{(r+1)})(\gamma_i I_n - D_i + C_i Y_i^{(r+1)}) \\ &\quad - (U_{A_i} + \hat{X}_i C_i)(\hat{X}_i - Y_i^{(r+1)}) - \sum_{j \neq i} e_{ij}(\hat{X}_j - Y_j^{(r+1)}), \quad i = 1, \dots, s. \end{aligned}$$

We infer $\hat{X} \geq X^{(r+2)}$.

Hence, the induction process has been completed. Thus the matrix sequence $\{X^{(k)}\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ are nonnegative, monotonically increasing and bounded from above by \hat{X} (in the elementwise ordering). We denote $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (X^{(k)}) = (\tilde{X})$. By taking the limits in (4) it follows that (\tilde{X}) is a solution of $\mathcal{R}(X) = 0$ with the property $\tilde{X} \leq \hat{X}$.

Assume there is another solution \tilde{Z} with $\tilde{Z} \leq \tilde{X}$. There exists sufficiently large index r such that $X^{(r+1)} \geq \tilde{Z} \geq Y^{(r)} \geq X^{(r)}$.

Applying Lemma 1 (vi) for $\hat{X} = \tilde{Z}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (\gamma I + L_A)(X^{(r+1)} - \tilde{Z}) + (\tilde{Z} - Y^{(r)})(\gamma I - D + CY^{(r)}) \\ &\quad + (U_A + \tilde{Z}C)(\tilde{Z} - Y^{(r)}). \end{aligned}$$

We rewrite

$$(\gamma I + L_A)(X^{(r+1)} - \tilde{Z}) = Q^{(r)}.$$

The matrix $Q^{(r)}$ is nonnegative because $\tilde{Z} \geq Y^{(r)}$. Thus $X^{(r+1)} - \tilde{Z}$ is nonnegative, which is contradiction with the assumption $X^{(r+1)} \geq \tilde{Z}$. We infer the solution \tilde{X} is the minimal one.

The theorem is proved.

3 Algorithms

The considered iterative methods have a linear convergence rate. We present algorithms which realize the iterations on Matlab.

Algorithm 1 presents iteration (2) using Matlab's commands.

Algorithm 1.

1. Input the coefficients A, B, C, D and compute $RESB = norm(B)$.
2. Choose tolerance $tol = 1.0e - 14$ and $n \times n$ initial matrix $X0 = 0$, $normRE=1$.
3. Compute $LA = tril(A), UA = LA - A, LD = tril(D), UD = LD - D$.
4. Compute $\alpha = max_i (a_{ii}), \delta = max_i (d_{ii})$.
5. Compute $aImA = \alpha I - A, dImD = \delta I - D$.
6. Compute $Z = inv(\alpha I + LD) T = inv(\delta I + LA)$.
7. Define the loop


```
while normRE > tol
  Y0=((aImA + X0*C)*X0 + X0*UD + B)*Z
  X0 = T*(Y0*(dImD + C*Y0) + UA*Y0 + B)
  normRE = norm(X0*C*X0-A*10-X0*D+B)/RESB
```

END Algorithm 1

Algorithm 2 explains how to realize iteration (4).

Algorithm 2.

1. Input the coefficients A, B, C, D and compute $RESB = norm(B)$.
2. Choose tolerance $tol = 1.0e - 14$ and $n \times n$ initial matrix $X0 = 0$, $normRE=1$.
3. Compute $\alpha = max_i (a_{ii}), \delta = max_i (d_{ii}), \gamma = max(\alpha, \delta)$.
4. Compute $aImA = \gamma I - A, dImD = \gamma I - D$.
5. Compute $LA = tril(A), UA = LA - A, Z = inv(\gamma I + D) T = inv(\gamma I + LA)$.
6. Define the loop


```
while normRE > tol
  Y0=((aImA + X0*C)*X0 + B)*Z
  X0 = T*(Y0*(dImD + C*Y0) + UA*Y0 + B)
  normRE = norm(X0*C*X0-A*10-X0*D+B)/RESB
```

END Algorithm 2

We can introduce a mixed algorithm depending on the bigger value of α and δ .

We apply iterations (4) and (3) to construct next Algorithm 3.

Algorithm 3.

1. Input the coefficients A, B, C, D and compute $RESB = norm(B)$.

2. Choose the tolerance value $tol = 1.0e - 14$ and $n \times n$ initial matrix $X0 = 0$, $normRE=1$.
 3. Compute $\alpha = \max_i (a_{ii})$, $\delta = \max_i (d_{ii})$, $\gamma = \max(\alpha, \delta)$.
 4. Compute $aImA = \gamma I - A$, $dImD = \gamma I - D$.
 5. If $\gamma = \alpha$ then
 - Compute $LD = tril(D)$, $UD = LD - D$, $Z = inv(\alpha I + LD)$ $T = inv(\delta I + A)$.
 - Apply iteration (3)
 - else
 - Compute $LA = tril(A)$, $UA = LA - A$, $Z = inv(\gamma I + D)$ $T = inv(\gamma I + LA)$.
 - Apply iteration (4)
- END Algorithm 3**

Additional experiments with bigger size of matrix coefficients.

4 Numerical Experiments

We provide experiments with different matrix coefficients with small dimension ($n = 2, 3$). Numerical experiments are executed on the computer with Intel(R) Core(TM) i7-1065G7 CPU @ 1.30GHz 1.50 GHz.

Example 1. We introduce an example with the 2×2 matrix coefficients. Using Matlab's notation we introduce the matrices:

A=[3 -1; -1 3];
 D=[102 -100; -100 102];
 C=[1.2 0.9; 1.0 0.65];
 B=C;

Example 2. The 2×2 matrix coefficients are:

A=[3 -1; -1 3];
 D=[102 -100; -100 102];
 C=[0.97 0.6; 1.2 0.79];
 A=[3 -1; -1 3];

B=ksi*C; (for different values of ksi).

Example 3. The 3×3 matrix coefficients are:

A=[65 -2 -0.18; -2 65 -0.9; -0.18 -0.9 65];
 D=[202 -140 -98; -140 202 -101; -98 -101 202];
 C=[15 2 1; 2 12 4; 1 4 15];

B=ksi*C; (for different values of ksi).

Example 4. The 3×3 matrix coefficients are:

$D = [65 \ -2 \ -0.18; -2 \ 65 \ -0.9; -0.18 \ -0.9 \ 65];$
 $A = [202 \ -140 \ -98; -140 \ 202 \ -101; -98 \ -101 \ 202];$
 $C = [15 \ 2 \ 1; 2 \ 12 \ 4; 1 \ 4 \ 15];$
 $B = \text{ksi} * C;$ (for different values of ksi).

Table 1. $tol = 1.0e - 14$, 1000 runs

		Algorithm 1	Algorithm 2		
Example 1					
$\gamma =$	<i>It</i>	CPU	<i>It</i>	CPU	
	1162	4.01s	1188	4.0s	
Example 2, ksi=1.0					
δ	845	2.8s	860	2.8s	
Example 2, ksi=1.27					
δ	4174	14.7s	4298	14.3s	
Example 3, ksi=1.0					
δ	483	2.4s	462	2.3s	
Example 3, ksi=1.03					
δ	1492	7.6s	1430	6.9s	
Example 4, ksi=1.03					
α	1495	7.7s	1936	9.4s	

Applying Algorithm 3 to Example 4 we obtain 1429 iteration steps and CPU time of 7.1s. Algorithm 3 pays this computational price to obtain the minimal nonnegative solution of $R(X) = 0$.

Example 5. [2] The matrix coefficients A, B, C and D are $n \times n$ matrices and we compute them as follows:

$$A = D = \text{tridiag}(-I, T, -I),$$

are block tridiagonal matrices. The matrix C is

$$C = \frac{1}{50} \text{tridiag}(1, 2, 1)$$

is a tridiagonal matrix and $B = SD + AS - SCS$, i.e. S is the minimal nonnegative solution of $R(X) = 0$. We take e the vector of units and compute S

$$S = \frac{1}{50} ee^T.$$

In addition, the $m \times m, (n = m^2)$ matrix T is

$$T = \text{tridiag} \left(-1, 4 + \frac{200}{(m+1)^2}, -1 \right).$$

Computational experiment to compute the minimal nonnegative solution gives the results described in Table 2.

Table 2. $tol = 1.0e - 14$, 1000 runs

m	Algorithm 1		Algorithm 2		Algorithm 3	
	<i>It</i>	CPU	<i>It</i>	CPU	<i>It</i>	CPU
8	25	10.2s	22	9.8s	22	9.4s
12	52	159.7s	44	165.0s	44	143.0s

Results from experiments show the effectiveness of the considered approach.

5 Acknowledgements

The authors thank to prof. Ivan Ivanov for his comments and useful suggestions for improving the manuscript.

References

- [1] T. Azevedo-Perdicoulis, G. Jank, Linear Quadratic Nash Games on Positive Linear Systems, *European Journal of Control*, 11:1–13, 2005.
- [2] Z.-Z. Bai, X.-X. Guo, and S.-F. Xu, Alternately linearized implicit iteration methods for the minimal nonnegative solutions of the nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equations, *Numer. Linear Algebra Appl.*, 13 (2006), 655–674.
- [3] J. Juang and W.-W. Lin, Nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equations and Hamiltonian-like matrices, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.* , 20 (1999), 228–243.
- [4] J. Guan and L. Lu, New alternately linearized implicit iteration for M-matrix algebraic Riccati equations, *J. Math. Study*, 50 (2017), 54–64.
- [5] J. Guan, Modified alternately linearized implicit iteration method for M-matrix algebraic Riccati equations, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 347 (2019) 442–448.

- [6] C.-H. Guo and A. Laub, On the iterative solution of a class of nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equations, *SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl.*, 22(2) (2000), 376–391.
- [7] C.-H. Guo, On algebraic Riccati Equations Associated with M-Matrices, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 439(10) (2013), 2800–2814.
- [8] V. Dragan and I. G. Ivanov, A numerical procedure to compute the stabilising solution of game theoretic Riccati equations of stochastic control, *International Journal of Control*, 84(4) (2011), 783–800.
- [9] V. Dragan and I. G. Ivanov, Computation of the stabilizing solution of game theoretic Riccati equation arising in stochastic H_∞ control problems, *Numerical Algorithms*, 57(3) (2011), 357–375.
- [10] C. Ma, and H. Lu, Numerical Study on Nonsymmetric Algebraic Riccati Equations, *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*, 13(6) (2016), 4961–4973.
- [11] Ivan G. Ivanov, H. Yang, An effective approach to solve a nonsymmetric algebraic Riccati equation, *Innovativity in Modeling and Analytics Journal of Research* 6 (2021), pages 7–14, <http://imajor.info/JDA/vol6.html>
- [12] V. Tanov, Iterative Solution of the Nonsymmetric Nash-Riccati Equations, *Innovativity in Modeling and Analytics Journal of Research*, 4 (2019), pages 38–43, <http://imajor.info/JDA/vol4.html>