ISSN 2066-6594

INITIAL SIMPLICIAL COMPLEXES ASSOCIATED TO SOME TORIC VARIETIES*

Adelina Fabiano[†]

Abstract

We study the triangulation of the initial simplicial complex arising from the toric deformation of the Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}(1,n)$ and the Hankel variety H(1,n), for n = 3, 4.

MSC: 13P10, 13F55

keywords: Computational Geometry, Algebraic Geometry, Simplicial Complexes, Gröbner bases

1 Introduction

Simplicial complexes on a finite set of vertices arise in different ways in commutative algebra, as described in ([14], [16]). In particular, if we consider a graded ideal I of the polynomial ring $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$, K any field, and a total order on the monomials of S, let $in_{\prec}(I)$ be the initial ideal of I. The ideal $J = \sqrt{(in_{\prec}(I))}$ is a monomial squarefree ideal of S and it defines a simplicial complex Δ , whose the Stanley-Reisner ideal is J. If we have a semigroup ring $R \subset S$, generated on \mathbb{K} by monomials of S its definition ideal is a binomial ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $J_{\mathcal{A}} = \sqrt{(in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}))}$ defines a simplicial complex $\Delta_{\mathcal{A}}$, where \mathcal{A} is the set of lattice points generating the semigroup subtended by R. We call such a simplicial complex the simplicial complex arising from the semigroup ring R. In this paper we are interested to semigroup

^{*}Accepted for publication on May 24, 2019

[†]fabiano@unical.it, DIATIC, University of Calabria, Arcavacata di Rende, 87036, Italy

rings that arise from a toric deformation ([14]) of the coordinate ring of the Grassman variety $\mathbb{G}(1,n)$ of all lines of the projective space \mathbb{P}^n and of the coordinate ring of the Hankel variety H(1,n) of all Hankel lines of \mathbb{P}^n , a subvariety of $\mathbb{G}(1, n)$, studied by many authors ([4] [1] [2] [10] [6] [7] [12] [5]). More precisely, we will compute the initial complexes in correspondence of the $\mathbb{G}(1,n)$ and H(1,n) and we study the triangulations of the representing polytopes. Combinatoric properties of the triangulations are founded [14]. In particular, in section 2 we recall some definitions and known results about deformations. In section 3, we concentrate on the case n = 4, 5. For n = 4, any total order on the monomials of the presentation ring of the deformation of $\mathbb{G}(1,3) = H(1,3)$ builds to two distinct initial simplicial complexes, hence to two distinct triangulations. For n = 5, we study a specific initial simplicial complex associated to the deformation of $\mathbb{G}(1,4)$, fixing a particular term order on the set of variables $[i, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq 5$, depending from the partial order on the set of the minors of a generic $2 \times 5 \text{ matrix}([14])$. As a consequence, the Gröbner basis of the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is quadratic. In general, this is not the case. Adopting the same term order, we study the corresponding initial simplicial complex of the deformation of H(1,4), by considering a generic 2×5 Hankel matrix. The corresponding triangulations are investigated.

2 Notations and known results

Let K be a field and let $S = K[x_1, x_2, ..., x_n]$ be the polynomial ring in n variables $x_1, x_2, ..., x_n$. We denote the set of the power products of S by: $M^n = \{x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} | a_i \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, ..., n\}$, where $x_1^{a_1} \dots x_n^{a_n} = \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}, \mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, ..., a_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$.

Definition 1. A term order on M^n is a total order \prec on M^n satisfying:

- (1) $1 \prec \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \forall \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \in M^n, \, \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \neq 1;$
- (2) If $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} \prec \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}$, then $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \prec \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}}\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \forall \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{c}} \in M^{n}$.

Definition 2. ([14]) We define the lexicographical order on M^n with order of variables $x_1 > x_2 > \ldots > x_n$ as follows:

for $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n), \mathbf{b} = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$ with $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{a}} < \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{b}} \iff \exists h \mid a_1 = b_1, \dots,$

 $a_h = b_h, a_{h+1} = b_{h+1}, h = 0, \dots n - 1.$

Let I be any ideal of $S = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and let \prec be a term order on the monomials of S.

Definition 3. The initial complex $\Delta_{\prec}(I)$ of I with respect to \prec is the simplicial complex on the vertex set $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, whose the Stanley-Reisner ideal is the radical of the monomial ideal $in_{\prec}(I)$.

Let $\mathcal{A} = {\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n}$, where $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{N}^n$, be a set of lattice points. Assume that the set \mathcal{A} spans an affine hyperplane. This means that the toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is a homogeneous ideal of the polynomial ring S.

Definition 4. ([14, chapter 8]) Let σ be a subset of lattice points, $\sigma \subseteq A$, and $pos(\sigma)$ be the polyhedral cone generated by σ . A triangulation of A is a collection Δ of subsets of A, such that $\{pos(\sigma), \sigma \in A\}$ is the set of cones of a simplicial fan whose support is the same as pos(A), $supp\{pos(\sigma), \sigma \in \Delta\} = pos(A)$.

Let R be a monomial subring of S and let \mathcal{A} be the set of lattice points of the semigroup under R. We will identify the given set of lattice points $\mathcal{A} = \{\mathbf{a}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{a}_n\} \subseteq \mathbb{N}^n$ with the index set $\{1, \ldots, t\}$.

In our paper we consider a $r \times s$ matrix (t_{ij}) of variables $r \leq s$. Let R be the subalgebra of $K[t_{11}, t_{12}, \ldots, t_{rs}]$ generated by the $r \times r$ minors of the matrix (t_{ij}) . Its projective spectrum Proj(R) is the Grassmann variety G(r-1, s-1) of r-dimensional linear subspaces in the s-dimensional vector space K^s , K algebraic closed, presented in its usual Plücker embedding.

We associate a new variable $[i_1, i_2]$ to the 2×2 minor M_{i_1, i_2} with column indices $i_1 < i_2$ and consider the ring $K[[i_1, i_2], 1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n]$ generated by these $\binom{n}{2}$ brackets.

Let $I_{2,n}$ be the ideal of $K[[i_1, i_2], 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n]$ generated by the algebraic relations among the 2×2 minors. Note with $I_{2,n}$ is the kernel of the map:

$$K[[i_1, i_2], 1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n] \to K[M_{i_1, i_2}, 1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n]$$

$$[i_1, i_2] \to M_{i_1, i_2} = t_{1i_1} t_{2i_2} - t_{1i_2} t_{2i_1}.$$

The ideal $I_{2,n}$ is called the Grassmann-Plücker ideal.

The toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}_{2,n}}$ is the kernel of the map

$$\begin{split} K[[i_1, i_2], 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq n] &\to K[t_{11}t_{22}, t_{11}t_{23}, \dots, t_{1(n-1)}t_{2,n}] \\ &\subset K[t_{11}, t_{12}, \dots, t_{2n}] \\ &[i_1, i_2] \to t_{1i_1}t_{2i_2}. \end{split}$$

The following theorem is a well known result, proved by many authors in different ways.

Theorem 1. Let $\begin{pmatrix} t_{11} & \cdots & t_{1n} \\ t_{21} & \cdots & t_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$ be a generic matrix of variables. Let $R = K[M_{1,2}, M_{1,3}, \dots, M_{n-1,n}]$ be the subalgebra of $K[t_{11}, \dots, t_{2n}]$ generated by the minors and $in_{\prec}(R) = K[t_{11}t_{22}, \dots, t_{1(n-1)}t_{2n}]$ the subalgebra of $K[t_{11}, t_{12}, \dots, t_{2n}]$ generated by the main diagonal terms of the minors. Then $I_{\mathcal{A}_{2,n}}$ is generated by a Gröbner basis of quadrics.

Consider now a generic $2 \times n$ Hankel matrix

$$H = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} t_{11} & t_{12} & \cdots & t_{1n} \\ t_{12} & t_{13} & \cdots & t_{1(n+1)} \end{array}\right)$$

As before, we associate a new variable $[i_1, i_2]$ to the 2 × 2 minor with columns $i_1, i_2, i_1 < i_2$, in the Hankel matrix and consider the polynomial ring $K[[i_1, i_2], 1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n]$ and let $I_{2,n}^H$ be the ideal generated by the algebraic relations among the 2 × 2 minors.

Proposition 1. The toric ideal $I_{2,n}^H$ is the kernel of the map

$$K[[i_1, i_2], 1 \le i_1 < i_2 \le n] \to K[t_{11}t_{13}, t_{11}t_{14}, \dots, t_{1(n-1)}t_{1(n+1)}]$$
$$[i_1, i_2] \to t_{1i_1}t_{1(i_2+1)}.$$

Proof. By definition of toric ideal given in [14].

Then we have:

Theorem 2. The set of 2×2 minors of a Hankel $2 \times n$ matrix of variables is a canonical basis (Sagbi basis) for the subalgebra they generate, with respect to any diagonal term order on $K[t_{11}, t_{12}, \ldots, t_{1n}, t_{1(n+1)}]$.

Proof. See [1].

Theorem 3. The ideal $I_{2,n}^H$ has a Gröbner basis of quadrics given by the following set of polynomials of $K[[1,2],\ldots,[n-1,n]]$ with respect to any diagonal term order and the lex order on the variables, $[1,2] \prec [1,3] \prec \ldots \prec [n-1,n]$:

$$(a) \ [i,j][h,k] - [i,k][h,j] + [i,h][k,j] \quad 1 \le i < h < k < j \le n$$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (b) & [i,j][h,k]-[i,h-1][j+1,k]-[i,j+1][h,k-1]-[i+1,j][h-1,k]+[i+1,j+1][h-1,k-1]+[i,h][j+1,k-1]+[i+1,h-1][j,k]-[i+1,h][j,k-1]\\ & 1\leq i< j< h< k\leq n, \ h-j>1. \end{array}$

Proof. See [1, Corollary 2.4].

Initial Simplicial Complexes

Theorem 4. The toric ideal $I_{H_{2,n}}$ is generated by a Gröbner basis of quadrics given by the following set of binomials of $K[[1, 2], \ldots, [n - 1, n]]$:

- $(a) \ [i,j][h,k] [i,k][h,j] \quad 1 \le i < h < k < j \le n$
- (b) [i, j][h, k] [i, h 1][j + 1, k] $1 \le i < j < h < k \le n, h j > 1.$

Proof. See [1, Section 3].

3 Main Results

The simplicial complex that we will study have as Stanley-Reisner ideal the initial ideal of the toric ideal , with respect to a given order on the variables and a total order on the monomials according to [14]. We denote such simplicial complex $\Delta_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. We denote the triangulation of the simplicial complex $\Delta_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, where $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the toric ideal of the semigroup associated to the toric deformation of $\mathbb{G}(1,n)$ and H(1,n) with respect to a weight matrix, respectively by $T_{\mathbb{G}(1,n)}$ and $T_{H(1,n)}$. The following theorem describes the triangulations for n = 3, 4.

Theorem 5. Let $K[M_{ij}]$ be the coordinates ring of $\mathbb{G}(1,3) = H(1,3)$. Then, the set \mathcal{A} has two distinct triangulations $T^{(1)}_{\mathbb{G}(1,3)}$ and $T^{(2)}_{\mathbb{G}(1,3)}$ with maximal faces set given respectively by the sets

 $\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}, \{\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6\}\}$

Proof. Let $T_{\mathbb{G}(1,3)}^{(i)}$, i = 1, 2, be the triangulation associated to the initial simplicial complex $\Delta_{\prec}^{(i)}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$, i = 1, 2. Denote by T_{ij} the variable $[i, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq 4$, and fix the order on the variables:

$$T_{12} > T_{13} > T_{14} > T_{23} > T_{24} > T_{34}.$$

Then the Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ is

$$\{T_{13}T_{24} - T_{14}T_{23}\}$$

and we have two initial ideals $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{13}T_{24})$ and $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{14}T_{23})$, hence two triangulations. Fixing the order on the old variables $t_{11} > t_{12} > t_{13} > t_{14} > t_{21} > t_{22} > t_{23} > t_{24}$, and the lex order on the monomials $t_{11}t_{22} > t_{11}t_{23} > t_{11}t_{24} > t_{12}t_{23} > t_{12}t_{24} > t_{13}t_{24}$, we can order the set of lattice points as:

$$(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0) > (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0) > (1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) >$$

$$(0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) > (0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1) > (0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 1)$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_6 < \mathbf{a}_5 < \mathbf{a}_4 < \mathbf{a}_3 < \mathbf{a}_2 < \mathbf{a}_1.$$

In the correspondence $\mathbf{a}^i \to \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} \to z_i$, $t = t_{11}t_{12}t_{13}t_{14}t_{21}t_{22}t_{23}t_{24}$ and $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{13}T_{24})$, $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{13}T_{23})$ can be written respectively as $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (z_2z_5)$ and $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (z_3z_4)$ so the set $\{2, 5\}$ does not belong to $\Delta^{(1)}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ and the set $\{3, 4\}$ does not belong to $\Delta^{(2)}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. It follows that the set of maximal faces of $\Delta^{1}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $\Delta^{(2)}_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ is respectively:

$$\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}, \{2, 3, 4, 5, 6\}\}, \{\{1, 2, 3, 5, 6\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6\}\}.$$

Remark 1. Starting from a generic 2×4 Hankel matrix

$$H = \left(\begin{array}{cccc} t_{11} & t_{12} & t_{13} & t_{14} \\ t_{12} & t_{13} & t_{14} & t_{24} \end{array}\right)$$

under the K-algebras homomorphism $[1,2] \rightarrow t_{11}t_{13}$, $[1,3] \rightarrow t_{11}t_{14}$, $[1,4] \rightarrow t_{11}t_{24}$, $[2,3] \rightarrow t_{11}t_{14}$, $[2,4] \rightarrow t_{12}t_{24}$, that gives the semigroups isomorphism

$$K[t_{11}t_{22}, t_{11}t_{23}, t_{11}t_{24}, t_{12}t_{23}, t_{12}t_{24}, t_{13}t_{24}]$$

$$\cong K[t_{11}t_{13}, t_{11}t_{14}, t_{11}t_{24}, t_{12}t_{14}, t_{12}t_{24}, t_{13}t_{24}],$$

one obtains 6 lattice points and 2 triangulations where, as in the generic case, only one chord does not belong to each simplicial complex. Then, we have the same geometric realizations, module an exchange of the vertices.

For n = 5, the toric ideal of $\mathbb{G}(1,4)$ has different Gröbner bases for different term orders. In our theorem we will study that case for a specific Gröbner basis. More precisely, we have:

Theorem 6. Let $K[M_{ij}]$ be the coordinates ring of $\mathbb{G}(1,4)$. The set \mathcal{A} has a triangulation with maximal faces set given by

$$\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10\}\}.$$

Proof. Let T be the triangulation associated to the initial simplicial complex $\Delta_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. Denote by T_{ij} the variable $[i, j], 1 \leq i < j \leq 5$. The toric ideal $I_{\mathcal{A}}$

$$I_{\mathcal{A}} = (T_{14}T_{23} - T_{13}T_{24}, T_{15}T_{23} - T_{13}T_{25}, T_{15}T_{24} - T_{14}T_{25}, T_{15}T_{34} - T_{14}T_{35}, T_{25}T_{34} - T_{24}T_{35})$$

It is knows that ${\cal I}$ admits a quadratic Gröbner basis given by the set of binomials

$$\{T_{14}T_{23} - T_{13}T_{24}, T_{15}T_{23} - T_{13}T_{25}, T_{15}T_{24} - T_{14}T_{25}, T_{15}T_{34} - T_{14}T_{35}, T_{25}T_{34} - T_{24}T_{35}\},\$$

where the initial monomials are all the products of two incomparable elements of the partially ordered set

$$\{[1, 2[, [1, 3], [1, 4], [1, 5], [2, 3], [2, 4], [2, 5], [3, 4], [3, 5], [4, 5,]\}.$$

Then, $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{14}T_{23}, T_{15}T_{23}, T_{15}T_{24}, T_{15}T_{34}, T_{25}T_{34})$ Fixing the order on the old variables $t_{11} > t_{12} > t_{13} > t_{14} > t_{15} > t_{21} > t_{22} > t_{23} > t_{24} > t_{25}$, and the lex order on the monomials $t_{11}t_{22} > t_{11}t_{23} > t_{11}t_{24} > t_{11}t_{25} > t_{12}t_{23} > t_{12}t_{24} > t_{13}t_{24} > t_{13}t_{25} > t_{14}t_{25}$, we can order the set of lattice points as:

$$\begin{split} (1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0) > (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0) > (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0) > \\ (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) > (0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0) > (0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0) > \\ (0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) > (0,0,1,0,0,0,0,1,0,1,0) > (0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) \\ > (0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1) \end{split}$$

and

$$\mathbf{a}_{10} < \mathbf{a}_9 < \mathbf{a}_8 < \mathbf{a}_7 < \mathbf{a}_6 < \mathbf{a}_5 < \mathbf{a}_4 < \mathbf{a}_3 < \mathbf{a}_2 < \mathbf{a}_1.$$

In the correspondence $\mathbf{a}^i \to \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} \to z_i$, $\mathbf{t} = t_{11}t_{12}t_{13}t_{14}t_{15}t_{21}t_{22}t_{23}t_{24}t_{25}$ and $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ can be written respectively as $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (z_2z_5, z_3z_5, z_3z_8, z_4z_6, z_4z_8)$ and the set $\{\{2, 5\}, \{3, 5\}, \{3, 8\}, \{4, 6\}, \{4, 8\}\}$ does not belong to $\Delta_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. It follows that the set of maximal faces of is $\Delta^1_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ is:

$$\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10\}, \{1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 9, 10\}\}.$$

Now, we consider the Hankel case for n = 5. We have the toric ideal generated by 6 quadratic binomials, obtaining a triangulation of the set of lattice points which is distinct from the triangulation of the same points in the grassmann case.

Theorem 7. Let $K[M_{ij}]$ be the coordinates ring of H(1,4). The set \mathcal{A} has a triangulation with maximal faces set given by

$$\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9\}, \{2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9\}, \{2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}\}$$

Proof. Consider the unique Hankel relation $T_{12}T_{45}$ - $T_{13}T_{35}$. Since the initial monomial coming from the product of two incomparable elements [1, 2], [4, 5] is coprime with the other initial monomials of the elements of the Gröbner basis of $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ we do not have new relations coming from the S-couples. Then $I_{\mathcal{A}}$ admits a quadratic Gröbner basis given by

$$\{T_{14}T_{23} - T_{13}T_{24}, T_{15}T_{23} - T_{13}T_{25}, T_{15}T_{24} - T_{14}T_{25}, T_{15}T_{34} - T_{14}T_{35}, T_{25}T_{34} - T_{24}T_{35}, T_{12}T_{45} - T_{13}T_{35}\}.$$

Then, $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (T_{14}T_{23}, T_{15}T_{23}, T_{15}T_{24}, T_{15}T_{34}, T_{25}T_{34})$. Fixing the order on the old variables $t_{11} > t_{12} > t_{13} > t_{14} > t_{15} > t_{21} > t_{22} > t_{23} > t_{24} > t_{25}$, and the lex order on the monomials $t_{11}t_{13} > t_{11}t_{14} > t_{11}t_{15} > t_{11}t_{25} > t_{12}t_{14} > t_{12}t_{15} > t_{12}t_{25} > t_{13}t_{15} > t_{14}t_{25}$, we can order the set of lattice points as:

$$\begin{split} (1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) &> (1,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0) > (1,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0) > \\ (1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) &> (0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0) > (0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0) > \\ ((0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1) > (0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,0) > (0,0,1,0,1,0,0,0,0,1) \\ &> (0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,1) \end{split}$$

and

 $a_{10} < a_9 < a_8 < a_7 < a_6 < a_5 < a_4 < a_3 < a_2 < a_1.$

In the correspondence $\mathbf{a}^i \to \mathbf{t}^{\mathbf{a}_i} \to z_i$, $\mathbf{t} = t_{11}t_{12}t_{13}t_{14}t_{15}t_{21}t_{22}t_{23}t_{24}t_{25}$, $in_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}}) = (z_1z_{10}, z_3z_5, z_4z_5, z_4z_6, z_4z_8, z_7z_8)$ and the set

$$\{\{1, 10\}, \{3, 5\}, \{4, 5\}, \{4, 6\}, \{4, 8\}, \{7, 8\}\}$$

does not belong to $\Delta_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$. It follows that the set of maximal faces of $\Delta_{\prec}(I_{\mathcal{A}})$ is:

 $\{\{1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 9\}, \{2, 3, 4, 7, 9, 10\}, \{1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 9\}, \{2, 5, 6, 8, 9, 10\}\}.$

Remark 2. It is an open problem to study all triangulations of the set \mathcal{A} . Since we can have initial terms of degree > 2 for a generic term order, sets of cardinality > 2 do not belong to the initial complex and the resulting triangulation can be more complicated. In the same direction triangulations arising from the semigroups studied in ([11], [7], [8]) can be investigated. Some results for linear orders ([16]) are contained in [3]. Moreover, by working directly on Stanley Reisner ideals, simplicial complexes are studied in [15].

References

- A. Conca, J. Herzog, G. Valla, Sagbi bases with applications to blow-up algebras, Journal fur die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik, vol. 474, 113–138, 1996
- [2] A. Fabiano, Toric Deformation of the Hankel Variety, Applied Mathematical Sciences, 10, n. 59, 2921–2925, 2016
- [3] G. Failla, Linear Triangulations of Polytopes, Aplimat 2017-16th Conference on Applied Mathematics, Proceedings, 499–509, 2017
- [4] G.Failla, Varietà di Hankel, sottovarietà di $\mathbb{G}(r,m)$, La Matematica nella Società e nella cultura, Fascicolo Tesi di Dottorato, vol. 2, 243–246, 2009
- [5] G. Failla, On certain loci of Hankel r-Planes of P^m, Mathematical Notes, vol.92,n.3, 544–553, 2012
- [6] G.Failla, On the defining equations of the Hankel varieties H(2, n), Bulletin mathématique de la Société des Sciences Mathématiques de Roumanie, 403–418, 2013
- [7] G. Failla, Combinatorics of Hankel relations, Ann. Acad. Rom. Sci. Ser. Math. Appl, vol.9, N.2, 2017
- [8] G. Failla, Ideals with linear quotients in Segre products, Opuscula Mathematica, vol.37, no. 6, 829–837, 2017
- G. Failla, Ideals with linear resolution in Segre products, Annals of the University of Craiova-Mathematics and Computer Science Series, vol.44, n.1, 149–155, 2017
- [10] G. Failla, S. Giuffrida, On the Hankel lines variety H(1, n) of $\mathbb{G}(1, n)$, African Diaspora Journal of Mathematics, vol. 8, n.2, 71–79, 2009
- [11] G. Failla, R. Utano, Connected graphs arising from products of Veronese varieties, Algebra Colloquium, 23, n. 02, 281–292, 2016
- [12] S. Giuffrida, R. Maggioni, Hankel planes, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, vol.209, n.1, 119–138, 2007
- [13] V. Iorfida, Compositions of polytopes triangulated by linear orders, Aplimat 2017-16th Conference on Applied Mathematics, Proceedings, 682–693, 2017

- [14] B. Sturmfels, Gröbner bases and convex polytopes, vol. 8, 1996, American Mathematical Soc.
- [15] R. Utano, Mixed product ideals and simplicial complexes, Aplimat 2017-16th Conference on Applied Mathematics, Proceedings, 1586– 1591, 2017
- [16] R. H. Villarreal, Monomial algebras, vol. 238, Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 2001, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York