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Abstract. The paper presents an approach directed towards enforcing a polyphonic structure to a CSCL chat, with the aim of increasing inter-animation and collaboration. Another leading idea of the paper is that we should start from the analysis of the polyphonic experience of the learners, which occurs when they are situated in the presence of multiple collaborating voices in small groups. A careful designed assignment, in which learners are assigned roles (or 'instruments' in the musical perspective) can induce a polyphonic structure along both longitudinal and transversal directions, as in musical counterpoint. Another major consequence is that such a design facilitates the analysis of the collaboration and personal contributions of students.
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1. Introduction

Instant messenger (chat) has been used in recent years for Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) for various types of small group assignments [1,2,3,4]. Meanwhile, among the main theoretical foundations of CSCL were proposed the social-cultural theory and the view of language as a tool idea of Vygotsky [5] and Bakhtin’s dialogism [5,6,7,8,1,4].

Some developed CSCL systems offer interaction analysis tools of wikis and especially conversations, either spoken or through chat or forums: TATIANA [9], CORDTRA [10], VMT-Basilica [11], KSV [12], COALA [13], DIGALO [14], ColAT [15], the Scaffold-Argument visualization [16], and Dong’s system [17]. Some of these systems use several kinds of argumentation graphs, some of them in the idea of Toulmin [18], or more elaborated structures like the contingency graphs [19].

The approach presented in this paper is based on a totally different theoretical basis. It aims at identifying in chats a polyphonic structure and inter-animation patterns [4]. This theoretical framework was used as a starting point for the implementation of a series of systems for chat analysis: Polyphony [20], PolyCAFe [21], ReaderBench [22] and several others. The PolyCAFe system (Polyphonic Conversation Analysis and Feedback generation) was designed for
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supporting both learners and tutors with abstraction and feedback-giving services for evaluating the assignments based on chat or forum conversations [21]. *PolyCAFe* is implemented using natural language processing tools for discourse and content analysis. Three classes of information are investigated: if the content of the utterances is semantically close to the topics supposed to be discussed, if there is a real collaboration (for example, the acceptance of others’ opinions and inter-animation patterns) and if discourse and debate threads may be identified.

The paper presents an approach directed towards enforcing a polyphonic structure to a CSCL chat, with the aim of increasing inter-animation and collaboration. Another leading idea of the paper is that, in CSCL chat analysis we should start from identifying polyphonic experiences of learners, similar to players in polyphonic music. A careful designed assignment, in which students are assigned roles (or ‘instruments’ in the musical perspective) can induce a polyphonic structure along both longitudinal and transversal directions, as in musical counterpoint [4]. Another consequence is that such a design facilitates the analysis of the collaboration and personal contributions of students.

The polyphonic assignment presented in the paper was used in the last five years at the “Politehnica” University of Bucharest in a course on Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) for the Computer Science and Engineering students in their last year of undergraduate studies. The assignment was well accepted by the students, which participated with interest. It was also used for the validation of the PolyCAFe system in the LTfLL project (http://ltfll-project.org/). The paper continues with an introduction to the polyphonic model, with a discussion about the experiencing of CSCL chats and the conducting of CSCL in a polyphonic manner. The following section presents the ideas of designing polyphonic chats. The facilities for evaluation of the polyphonic structure are presented in the end.

2. The Polyphonic Model of CSCL

Musical polyphony is a remarkable case of collaboration in which, starting from a theme, several “voices” concomitantly play it or its variations achieving a coherent joint piece. Each “voice” may be either a distinct instrument or group of instruments (a participant) or a sequence of notes played, together with other voices on a same instrument. For example, there are polyphonic musical pieces for 3-4 voices played by a single interpret at piano. The same piece may be also played by an orchestra where each voice is played by a group of instruments. Therefore, there is not a one-to-one association between a voice and a participant. Musical polyphony may be achieved by composition in classical music on paper (e.g. the fugues of Johann Sebastian Bach) or by improvisation, with a lower degree of complexity in other types of music, as jazz and latin music.
The idea of considering a polyphonic model in natural language was introduced by Mikhail Bakhtin [7], which developed also the theory of dialogism, which sees everything as a dialog. Dialogism was proposed as a paradigm in CSCL [8] and together with the associated concept of inter-animation was used for analyzing CSCL chats [4,23].

The polyphonic model of CSCL group interaction considers, similarly with the musical case, that the students are experiencing the presence of a number of concurrent voices, each of them having independence but contributing to a joint discourse. The theme is the discussion subject or the problem to be solved assigned by the teacher or tutor. Each of the participants emits a coherent sequence of utterances and, interacting in a group, inherently has to solve the dissonances appearing between them. Therefore, participants face centrifugal and centripetal; convergent and divergent forces, along two directions: longitudinal and transversal, following the musical counterpoint rules [4].

However, these forces have an important effect, they allow the participants to perceive dissonances which put under question their utterances, they generate an inter-animation phenomenon. The polyphonic analysis tries to identify inter-animation patterns along the two dimensions and corresponding to the two types of forces. The longitudinal dimension may be found in references (links), which may be explicit or implicit and in repetitions of words which form threads which may be seen as parallel voices to which implicit voices (e.g. of the teacher, of the authors of the readings) are added. The transversal dimension may be generated by dissonances or differential positions among voices.

2.1 Experiencing and Conducting the CSCL Polyphony

The Polyphonic music is a complex process of developing a theme in a community of voices, which is validated by the reception of the listeners. It may be seen also as a model of creative thinking. For example, those who enjoy the fugues of Johann Sebastian Bach understand that sometimes his music is like an explosion of ideas.

If we consider the polyphonic model of CSCL, attention should be paid to avoid that a cacophony result appears, where voices interfere in an disarmonic way. In fact, in classroom based education, or in group learning, professors usually conduct the discussions along a theme, on a longitudinal dimension. Meanwhile, many times they stimulate learners to consider transversal dimensions, for discovering differences that drives to the concepts that should be learned.

The dialog in the classroom or in learning groups is many times directed towards constructing a coherent discourse driving to the concepts to be learned or to experience the needed skills (for example the reasoning in mathematics problem
solving). The role of dialog was emphasized by Sfard, which defined learning not as a transfer of knowledge, but rather as “becoming a participant in a certain discourse” [24]. Therefore, it is extremely important to placing the learners in the position of experiencing and contributing to dialogue.

Starting from the idea of Mikhail Bakhtin that everything is a dialog, individual, internal thinking of learners is also a dialog and should also be considered for the analysis of the conversation. Moreover, means of inducing it are beneficial. In fact, when teachers encourage students to put themselves questions and find answers, this is, in fact, an implicit urge to internal dialog.

One important feature of listening or contributing to polyphonic music or, in our CSCL perspective, to contribute to group knowledge construction, is the perception, the following and the participation to the threads (voices) of discussion in dialogs.

In a face-to-face conversation, due to the impossibility that two persons speak in the same time, there is very difficult to handle more than a single thread of discussions. This is not the case when using chat environments like the one of the Virtual Math Teams (VMT) project [2], which offers explicit referencing facilities. By this facility, learners in groups may indicate to which previous utterance(s) they refer to, allowing the existence of several discussion threads (or voices), in parallel.

The co-occurrence of several threads generates inter-animation, a general feature of CSCL in chats [4]. Participants to CSCL chats face the need to handle many threads in parallel. The threads are formed by the explicit referencing facilities (when the VMT chat environment is used), by the temporal sequence of utterances, the implicit links of co-references, the adjacency pairs, or, at another abstraction level, transacts [25]. In addition, one important source of thread generation is the repetition of words, which has a very important role in inducing the sense of participation [26].

In Figure 1 several threads of the kinds discussed above are emphasized (curly arrows represent explicit references). To these threads/voices we should add other implicit ones, generated by the subject of discussion, teacher’s voice (even in absence) and by the implicit assumptions of each participant.

For example, Tim, at utterance 26 should take into account the threads generated by the repetition of the words “topic”, “reply”, “double-clicking”, “presentation”, those of explicit referencing, and the implicit thread of the subject of the CSCL assignment (to discuss ways of developing collaborative environments), which may be considered as the voice of the teacher. As a consequence, at utterances 34-36 he contributes to several threads of the dialog.
3. Designing and Detecting Polyphonic Dialogism in Chatting Virtual Groups

3.1 A Case Study

CSCL chats has been used for the last ten years at the “Politehnica” University of Bucharest in a course on Human-Computer Interaction (HCI) for the Computer Science and Engineering students in their last year of undergraduate studies. More than 90 students enroll each year for this course, the maximum of 324 students being reached in the 2008-2009 year (when was a special situation because two generations completed the studies).

During the course, students had lectures where they were taught the theory of interface design and evaluation. They had also laboratory hours and homework aiming to apply their theoretical knowledge by individually developing several applications.

An important emphasis is given in the course to collaborative applications. In order to enhance the quality of their learning, we designed an assignment using CSCL chats where they discussed about collaborative technologies (forums, chat, blog and wiki).
3.2 Polyphonic Design of CSCL chats

For the increase of the interest and participation of the students, we started in the design of the scenario of the CSCL chat assignment from a polyphonic perspective, in which inter-animation is induced. Students were divided in groups of four and each of them was assigned a role: They were told to consider that they were the directors of a company selling one of the collaborative technologies presented at the course (chat, forum, blog and wiki). Before the chat they were supposed to individually study collaborative technologies and after that to have a 1-2 hours chat. In the first part of the chat conversation, each student had to champion the technology he represented by presenting its features and advantages and criticize the others by invoking their flaws and drawbacks. In the second part of the chat, they had to discuss on how they could integrate all these technologies in a single online collaboration platform. Therefore, in a single conversation the students first engaged into a debate where a differential position should be taken. The results of the discussion in the first part were then used for collaboratively building a solution to the given problem. The VMT environment [2] was used due to its features of facility of inserting explicit references to previous utterances. The chat logs were analyzed by the tutors for evaluating and grading the activity of the students in the assignment.

3.3 The Data

For the purposes of validation of our approach we have selected two chat logs, one that we considered a good collaboration (Team36) and one (Team34) which was an example of a bad collaboration, with a lower degree of inter-animation. The chat logs of the two teams of students were evaluated and graded by four tutors (T1, T2, T3 and T4) and by an automatic grading system [4]. They gave marks from 1 to 10 (10 is the best) for each student (see Tables 1 and 2) and they also wrote some feedback. Tutors were also asked to report what were the problems they faced.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Team34</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marian – F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delia – C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madalin – W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristian – B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Team36</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raluca – F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogdan – C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florin – W</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elena – B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.4 The Polyphonic Analysis

The polyphonic analysis was performed manually with the visualization facilities of PolyCAFe. The longitudinal and transversal dimensions were analyzed, with a focus on threading. The polyphonic design has been facilitating the analysis because we knew what ‘instrument’ played each learner.

The Longitudinal Dimension - Referencing

One first image of the degree of inter-animation in a chat is the usage of explicit and implicit references along the longitudinal dimension. The explicit references are those indicated by the learner when using the VMT system [2]. The implicit references are detected by the instruments we have developed in PolyCAFe.

One idea of analyzing the degree of participation of a student is to start from the assumption that if she uses many explicit references and the system detects also many implicit ones, she displays a collaborative attitude. For example, it is obvious from seeing the Figures 2 and 3 that the participants in Team34 are linked by much lesser references than those in Team36, fact which is consistent with the evaluations of the tutors.

Fig. 2 References of Team34 (dots are utterances; blue lines are explicit references and yellow lines are implicit references; numbers are utterances’ numbers; time flows from left to right).

Fig. 3. References of Team36. Blue lines are explicit references.
An analysis of the distribution of implicit and explicit links (the difference between Figure 2 and 3) shows that they are in the majority of cases distinct. For example, in the Team36 example, only one link was common. This shows that the learners are aware of the implicit links and they also feel the need of assuring the threading. Therefore, they use explicit links only when they feel that the threading could not be obvious. However, a problem with this kinds of diagrams and analysis is that, even if they can give an image of the degree of collaboration, more precise analysis should be performed in order to detect zones of good collaboration or to identify real, important, contributions. For example, one may use a lot of explicit references, or may write utterances that generate implicit utterances, but these might be insignificant (e.g. only formal approvals or unjustified denials of the others’ utterances). A solution to this problem is the analysis of the other features of a good polyphony: threading and transversal utterances.

Fig. 4. References of Team36. Blue lines are implicit references.

Fig. 5. The threads of the assigned topics in Team36 (up) and Team34 (down).
Threading

The majority of approaches for chat analysis mentioned in the introduction are based on the analysis of pairs of utterances. One of the main ideas of the polyphonic model is the emphasis on threads and their interactions. For this aim we have developed a facility of visualizing threads of repeated words in PolyCAFe [21]. For example, in Figure 5 you can see comparatively the threads of the assigned concepts to be discussed (chat, blog, forum and wiki). The fact that in Team34 there is less variation signifies that the collaboration was not so good as compared to Team36.

The visualization of the threading of additional concepts proved also very useful to see the polyphonic structure and the contributions of the participants. These additional concepts were detected from the analysis of the most used concepts in the chats. For example, in Figure 6 is presented the threading of the most used concepts in Team36 (besides the assigned topics – chat, forum, blog and wiki).

![Fig. 6. The threading of some additional concepts in Team36.](image)

The Transversal Dimension - The Differential Positioning

Even if explicit and implicit references and the threads of repeated words may give an image of the contribution of each learner, they are not covering all the aspects of the collaboration, of the inter-animation. Therefore, some other analysis methods are needed. Moreover, an analysis of the performance of each learner is needed.
The fact that the chats were designed from a polyphonic perspective, based on assigning roles is not only inducing polyphonic order, it also facilitates the implementation of analysis and feedback giving because we can more easily identify the utterances where a participant takes a differential position discussing about others’ roles.

From the polyphonic, counterpoint perspective, we should consider both the longitudinal dimension, when a student discuss about the personal assigned topic and the transversal topic, when she poses herself in a differential position, discussing about others’ topics. For example, in Figure 7, utterances 74, 75, 76 are differential, while 75, 76, 84, 85, 86, 88 and 89 are longitudinal. 75 and 76 are utterance combining the two features. Utterance 74 is an example of an utterance which refers to utterances which contain other topic.

![Fig. 7. Evidentiation of the transversal positionings.](image)

In Figure 7, each student has an associated topic (iv), in our case one of chat (C), forum (F), wiki (W) or blog (B). She says something that may contain one or more of the associated topics (cv) of her or colleagues. She may explicitly refer to another utterance that may also contain some topics (rv).

There are several situations that may appear, when there are topics found in the utterance (cv) or in the referred utterance (rv). The student:

1. **iv=cv** - speaks about her personal topic
2. **iv=rv** - explicitly refers an utterance containing a topic that is her topic
3. **cv=rv** - speaks about the same topic with the one discussed in the utterance she explicitly referred
4. $iv\neq cv$ - speaks about other topic than her own  
5. $iv\neq rv$ - refers about an utterance containing a topic that is not her own  
6. $cv\neq rv$ - speaks about a different topic that the one discussed in the utterance she explicitly referred

The analysis of the number of occurrences of some of the above situations and of some of their ratios may give an image of the contribution of the participants to collaboration and inter-animation. For example, a good contribution should have a balanced ratio between the utterances oriented towards longitudinal, selfish, on own topic and transversal, directed towards others’ topics. In our opinion, a value of $nr_{iv\neq cv}/nr_{iv=cv}$ close to 1 is characterizing such a situation.

The results of the analysis of Team34 and Team36 using the above terms is displayed in Tables 3 and 4. The relative values of $nr_{iv\neq cv}/nr_{iv=cv}$ are rather corresponding to the relative appreciations of the tutors in the classification of the learners.

**Table 3. Team 34**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$nr_{ut}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv=cv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq cv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq rv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv=cv}/nr_{iv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq cv}/nr_{iv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq rv}/nr_{iv}$</th>
<th>Average tutors’ mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marian – F</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,37</td>
<td>0,04</td>
<td>0,10</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Delia – C</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,11</td>
<td>0,04</td>
<td>0,38</td>
<td>7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madalin – W</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td>0,14</td>
<td>0,55</td>
<td>6.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cristian – B</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0,27</td>
<td>0,03</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>5.875</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Team 36**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$nr_{ut}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv=cv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq cv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq rv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv=cv}/nr_{iv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq cv}/nr_{iv}$</th>
<th>$nr_{iv\neq rv}/nr_{iv}$</th>
<th>Average tutors’ mark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Raluca – F</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0,12</td>
<td>0,07</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>9.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bogdan – C</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0,25</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>0,28</td>
<td>8.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florin – W</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0,32</td>
<td>0,06</td>
<td>0,33</td>
<td>8.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elena – B</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0,41</td>
<td>0,08</td>
<td>0,29</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In tables 3 and 4:

$nr_{ut}$ is the number of utterances emitted by the student

$nr_{iv=cv}$ is a lower bound of the number of utterances in which a student speaks about her personal topic

$nr_{iv\neq cv}$ is a lower bound of the number of utterances in which a student speaks about a topic other than her own

$nr_{iv\neq rv}$ is a lower bound of the number of utterances in which a learner referred a topic which is not her own
4. Conclusions and Further Developments

The polyphonic structuring of a CSCL chat can be conducted by a careful design, in which each learner is assigned a role that is conflicting with the other participants in the first part of the conversation, but in the end it should reach a consensus. The fact that the ‘interests’ of each learner are assigned by the teacher simplifies also the evaluation of the collaboration and of the participation of the learners. However, these tasks are challenging and the existence of a series of support tools and an evaluation methodology is beneficial.

The first validation showed that the results of the polyphonic analysis are close to those of tutors which used only reading for the evaluation. In the future, the methodology will be supported also by natural language processing tools that could analyze in more detail the differential positioning of the utterances and the dissonances that appear between voices. Another development is the further analysis of the polyphonic experience of the learners, including also the investigation of the internal dialogues induced by the utterances from the external one.
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