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THE PROFILE OF PIU-ȘERBAN COCULESCU

Abstract. Pius Servien (pseudonym of Piu-Șerban Coculescu, who was born March 5, 1902, Bucharest and died at January 28, 1959, in Paris) was a poet and esthete, preoccupied with mathematical linguistics. Piu-Șerban Coculescu was part of Section VIII of the Academy of Sciences of Romania (ASR), titled at the time “History and Philosophy of Science; Organization, Education, Popularization” presided by Professor Constantin Narly (President) and dr. Vasile Voiculescu (Vice President). The secretary of the department was lecturer Ion Zamfirescu. Piu-Șerban Coculescu was elected a corresponding member ASR since December 21, 1935 and full member ASR at June 4, 1937. He has published numerous books among which we mention Essai sur les rythmes toniques du français, 1925, Lyrisme et structures sonores, 1930, Le langage des sciences, 1931. He published also in the country, for example, Sur les fondements des mathématiques, 1939. As the commentators have shown essential in his thought was the fact that the object language and the metalanguage tended to a maximum of heterogeneity, while in traditional literary criticism they often tend towards homogeneity. The theoretical perspective of Servien continued the aesthetic attitude of the Italian and German (Piero della Francesca and Albrecht Dürer) 15th century, and it is still relevant to the twentieth century, since that lead the way for G. D. Birkhoff’s aesthetic numerical order, for the arithmetic aesthetics of Ghyka, for the informational aesthetics by Max Bense and Abraham A. Moles and for the generative aesthetics of Chomskian inspiration.
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Zamfirescu. Piu-Şerban Coculescu elected correspondent member at the 21st of December 1935 became titular member at the 4th of June 1937.

Piu-Şerban Coculescu was the son of Nicolae Coculescu, Professor of astronomy known as Director of the Astronomic Observatory in Bucharest. He completed primary school and the gymnasium at “Gh. Lazăr” High School, Bucharest. In order to be saved from the hardship of the First World War Şerban Coculescu was sent to Paris. There he attended “Saint Louis” High School (1917,1920). He graduated from the Faculty of Letters, Paris continuing with a PhD from Sorbonne (1930). He defended two theses, entitled Lyrisme et structures sonores [Lyrism and sound structures] and Les Rythmes comme introduction physique a l’esthetique [The Rhythms as a Physical Introduction to Aesthetics]. During the period of his studies in Paris, Piu-Şerban Coculescu was interested in a variety of courses (astronomy, mathematics, physics, chemistry, etc.). He was friend with the Scandinavian Lyse Dagerholm, who was his lifetime companion.

To accomplish a substantial profile for this personality of Romanian culture, we start by mentioning that Piu-Şerban Coculescu was also a researcher at the National Centre for Scientific Research in Paris, when, at the same time, he was the coordinator of the collections of Herman Press known as “Actualités scientifiques” [“Scientific Present Interest”]. He taught a series of courses concerning poetic language at “Collège de France”. Servien was a pseudonym adopted after his arrival in France.

His debut as a poet took place in 1920 with a volume entitled Curgând clepsydra [Flowing Clepsydra], characterized as under the poetic influence of Mihai Eminescu and George Coşbuc. This sort of echoes appear also in his volumes Orient (1948) and Amor (1952), written in Romanian. In his first philosophical volume, Introducere la un mod de A FI [Introduction to a Manner of BEING], 1927, which was translated in French, the author accomplishes, as Victor Ernest Masek noted, “the first work that combines two spiritual tendencies and hypotheses; the original personality of Pius Servien was born out of this fortunate combination: the visionary – the dreamer poet and the philosopher – and, on the other hand, the scientist, whose curiosity was found on the rigour of mathematical investigation”. (Pius Servien, Esthetique. Musique, peinture, poesie, science, Paris, 1953; but more exactly we are referring here to the edition titled in Romanian Estetica. Muzică, pictură,poezie, știință, prefaced by Victor Ernest Maşek, Bucharest, 1975.)

Şerban Coculescu advocated an integral humanism, which recalls Mircea Eliade’s planetary humanism and which he described in numerous volumes published in Paris, among which aside those based on his PhD theses, Essai sur les rythmes toniques du français, 1925; Lyrisme et structures sonores, 1930, we mention Le langage des sciences [The Language of sciences], 1931. In Romania
he published *Sur les fondements des mathématiques* [On the Foundations of Mathematics], 1939. He wanted to return to Romania and he did, to sort out several aspects concerning military duty. He followed the courses of the Officers’ and Artillery School in Timișoara (1926,1927).

Solomon Marcus has shown that „In 1946, when Simion Stoilow, our country’s ambassador in Paris, asked Servien whether he wanted to return to Romania, he accepted, under the circumstance where he were nominated for a position as Professor of aesthetics at Bucharest University. I do not know the follow up, just that Servien have never returned to Romania.” (Solomon Marcus, 2002)

In order to emphasize the dimension of this personality, Solomon Marcus added: “Servien’s work was gloriously commented upon by an aesthetician such as B. Croce, a poet such as Paul Valéry, a physicist such as Dirac. Many of the works of poetics mention Servien as a precursor of up, to, date ideas (see, for illustration, Rhétorique de la poésie, the Rhetorics of Poetry, published in 1976 by the Group M from Liège), as well as for the author of these lines his ideas constituted as well a starting point. Other authors reproached that he dors not define the concept of beauty (Ion Maxim, în Orizont, 12. III. 1976, p. 3) or they contested the novelty of his work, by reference to Antiquity and Renaissance.” (Solomon Marcus, 2002)

Pius Servien realized a capitalization upon the thought that preceded his work, and which he situated at a superior level. His aesthetic work is found in dialogue with his work as a philosopher of science, as well as with those as essayist and poet. As for Servien’s aesthetic work, it defined a discipline preoccupied with the study of the lyrical language and of the scientific language, with a status of metalanguage. Solomon Marcus noted as well: “In order to have a fecund and operational definition, two initial problems should be resolved: a) the rigorous fundament of the distinction between the scientific and lyrical language and b) the demonstration of the fact that art has the structure of a language. According to Servien, an enunciation belongs to the scientific language if it admits infinity of equivalent versions; it belongs to the lyrical language, when it does not admit an equivalent version. It is theoretically unaccomplishable for an enunciation to admit only a finite number of equivalent versions but higher than 1 (although practically it can give the impression that it is at hand). But the infinite to which we are referring here is potential, and not actual. The structure of language of art constituted and still constitutes the object of controversial opinions and probably that the dispute will not ever end, for the very idea of language passes through multiple metamorphoses. Anyhow, the term of lyrical language, as employed by Servien, did not prove to be entirely accurate as it concerned a certain version of the poetical language, a version that, at the time when Servien wrote, it seemed dominant.” Solomon Marcus emphasizes in his thought the fact
that the language object and the metalanguage tended to a maximum of heterogeneity, while in the traditional literary criticism they tend to homogeneity most of the times. In fact, Servien’s perspective continues the aesthetic attitude of the Italian and German 15th century (Piero della Francesca and Albrecht Dürer), being relevant for the 20th century, as path opening for the numerical aesthetics of Birkhoff, for the arithmetical aesthetics of M. Ghyka, for the informational aesthetics of Max Bense and Abraham A. Moles and for the generative aesthetics of Chomskian inspiration.

The lyrical language is differently conceptualized from the scientific language, and they are irreducible one to the other, although the fundamental premise of Servien’s studies regarding philosophy of language is the unity of human creation. In science every enunciation has a unique and precise meaning that can be expressed in different manners with equivalent meanings (synonymy), while in poetical language the enunciations are original, the most important characteristic of poetics being precisely the uniqueness of meanings (infinite homonymy), compared by Şerban Coculescu (alias Pius Servien) to the uniqueness of each human face. His studies distinguish also the notion of probability which provides a specific of the scientific language starting from the semantic analyses of the idea of incident happening, and arriving at the linguistic foundations of the theory of probabilities, the philosopher proving that in mathematics probability is everything, unlike in the understanding of the notion of accident which is specific to the poetic language. Even the aesthetics developed by Servien is science, a system based on objective laws (see Esthetique.Musique, peinture, poesie, science, 1953), but an open system adapted for the understanding of humane manifestations and functions, which, in poetics, reveals through the numerical notations of syllables the rhythms of the enunciations and the tonal nature of language (especially of the French language). At the core of this scientific approach there is the prosodic structure (or schematics), which anticipates, for instance, the evaluative assertion analysis proposed by Charles Osgood. Ion Marcoş noticed: “formed on a good knowledge of the poetic and musical languages, his aesthetic approach tends toward a metaphysic of rhythm as a principle of universality.” (Marcoş, 2002) For this philosopher, between the artistic object and the metalanguage adopted there is a distance. His ideas contributed to the progress of the theory of scientific and lyrical language. Servien edified a general theory of rhythm, starting from the articulate language, and then developed with reference to music and the plastic arts, including as well the scientific and the lyrical language.

A part of the Romanian commentators had reservations toward the exceptional contributions brought by Şerban Coculescu (alias Pius Servien). The notable exceptions are not numerous: Angela Botez, full member of the Academy of Romanian Scientists, in Filosofia românească în dispunere universală
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[Romanian Philosophy in Universal Situation], Nicolae Balotă in Arte poetice ale secolului XX [Political Arts in the 20th Century], 1976, appreciating the contribution to the theory of rhythm, Mihai Dinu continuing the mathematical conception of the prosodic structures of Servien in Ritm și rimă în poezia românescă [Rhythm and Rime in Romanian Poetry], 1986, academician Solomon Marcus in Arta și știința [Art and Science], 1986, Mariella Foletto, who dedicated to Servien a PhD thesis at Torino University, the translators, as well as Professor Mihaela Albu, PhD, in “The Interference of Contraries: Pius Servien’s Case”, in 2008 presented at the international conference „Language and Scientific Imagination,” Helsinki. As Solomon Marcus noted, many of Servien’s ideas triumphed. Nevertheless, Paul Valéry surprised an essential idea: “It does not suffice to consider Mr. Servien as the remarkable coexistence of a scholar and an artist alternatively. The great value of his fortunate effort is the emerged result of these two phases.”

THE WORK

Pius Servien Coculesco, Essai sur les rythmes toniques du français, Paris, 1925.
Pius Servien Coculesco, Sur les propositions d’histoire littéraire qui peuvent être établies au moyen de fiches, Paris, 1929.
Pius Servien, Base physique et base mathématique de la théorie des probabilités, vers une nouvelle forme de la théorie , Paris, 1942.
Pius Servien, Orient (suivi de Le cas Servien par Paul Valéry), Paris, Gallimard 1942.
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THE PROFILE OF VASILE VOICULESCU

Abstract. Vasile Voiculescu, surnamed “doctor-priest without pieces of silver”, was born at 27 of November 1884, at Pârscov, Buzău County, Romania, and he remained for posterity as a Romanian medical doctor, poet, prose man and playwright. He was titular member of the Academy of Sciences in Romania starting 21 of December 1935 and Vice-President of Section VIII, titled “The History and Philosophy of Science; organization, education, popularization.” He was elected member of the Romanian Academy, postmortem, in 1993. Vasile Voiculescu remains a great personality and a lively presence among us through his immortal work. Posterity attempts to honor him, bashfully. Thus, posthumously, *Ultimele sonete închipuite ale lui Shakespeare în traducere imaginăre de Voiculescu* (1964), two volumes of short stories - *Povestiri* (1966) and the novel *Zaheli orbul* (1970) are published. In 1991 the Society of the Romanian Medical Doctors Writers and Publicists launched a commemorative medal and unveiled several memorial plates: in the central lobby of U.M.F. “Carol Davila” (Bucharest), at V. Voiculescu dispensary (Bucharest), at the Memorial House at Pârscov (Buzău).
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Vasile Voiculescu, surnamed “doctor-priest without pieces of silver”, was born at 27 of November 1884, at Pârscov, Buzău County, Romania, and he remained for posterity as a Romanian medical doctor, poet, prose man and playwright. He was titular member of the Academy of Sciences in Romania starting 21 of December 1935 and Vice-President of Section VIII, titled “The History and Philosophy of Science; organization, education, popularization.” He was elected member of the Romanian Academy, postmortem, in 1993.

Vasile Voiculescu was son of Costache Voiculescu, wealthy manager and of Sultana (born Hagiu). He followed the classes at „Alexandru Hâjdeu” High School and then “Gheorghe Lazăr” High School in Bucharest. The intellectual interests of the young personality include materialism, positivism and evolutionism, as well as psychopathology and psychophysics. Among the authors that triggered his early interest we mention: Littré Claude Bernard, Auguste Comte, Darwin, Spencer, Wundt, Höfding, Pierre Janet și W. James. He graduated from the Faculty of Letters and Philosophy in Bucharest (1902 - 1903), and then the Faculty of Medicine in 1903, with a PhD in Medicine in 1910.

Maria Mittescu, student at Medicine, met in his natal village, Pârscov, becomes his wife and muse, many of his love poems being dedicated to her. In
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1912 has a début in *Convorbiri literare*. For a while he was country medical doctor in several villages: Ocolul (Gorj County), Bezdead and Tătărani (Dâmboviţa County), Buftea and Budeşti (Ilfov County).

We find out from his biographies that Voiculescu, feeling afar from family and from the cultural environment, sends at October 20, 1910, a poetical petition addressed to the general director of the Sanitary Service which required the transfer to a circumscription closer to Bucharest. "[…] Oh, to which bitter faith, to which curse and hate / Do I owe my exile, oh God, in Petreştii-Vârsături, a crate! […] / […] … I curse the deaf and cruel fate / I defy the whole world, I swear to abdicate… / I suffocate, under nostalgia’s weight, I fade, I vegetate! … / Life wants me, music, light, library windows / with books that light up as flowers in the, blue, red, golden glittering the windows…" The request brought his transfer indeed, after a few days, in a circumscription within his natal county, at Câmpu (Buzău).

In 1913 he took part in the military campaign in Bulgaria where he gained „Avântul țării” medal, and a veteran brevet awarded only to a few outstanding individuals who took effectively part in the campaign.

He continued to practice medicine since May 1914 at Tătărani, and since May 1915, at Buftea (Ilfov), where we met the royal family, through Princess Nadejda Ştirbei, close to Queen Mary of Romania. The former developed a sincere friendship with Vasile Voiculescu, sustained by their substantial correspondence. As the doctor of Ştirbei family, he was recommended also to the queen, Her Royal Majesty requiring a consult for Prince Mircea (but this consult unfortunately did not produce the desired result). Nevertheless, Queen Mary sent doctor Voiculescu pocket watch with the inscriptions of the Royal House and a few words of gratitude.

At Bârlad, where he activated as a military doctor, he met Alexandru Vlahuţă, during the cultural nights that the latter organized, in the midst of the First World War. V. Voiculescu said: „There was no though unsaid, no verse unread, no deed unknown to him.”

Vasile Voiculescu’s first published volume is titled *Poezii [Poems]* and it was issued in 1916. During the same year, following a recommendation from Macedonski, Voiculescu collaborated with *Flacăra* journal when editor in chief was C. Banu. The poetic dawn of Vasile Voiculescu stays under the influences of writers such as Vasile Alecsandri, Alexandru Vlahuţă and George Coşbuc.

In 1917 the Ministry of War grants him a brevet through which “His Majesty the King deigned the ‘Romania’s Crown’ with spades in degree of Officer to Voiculescu Vasile, MD, for his exceptional role and devotion in caring for the sick people in his hospital, in 1917.”
The following year he was rewarded for the poetry volume *Din țara zimbrului și alte poezii* with the Prize of the Romanian Academy, and he also became a medic of Bucharest circumscription.

Since 1919 edits at Bârlad (with G. Tutoveanu, T. Pamfile and M. Lungeanu), the journal *Florile dalbe*. Until 1928 he publishes articles of sanitary education in *Flamura*, then in several other journals such as *Vatra, Albina, Farul câminului*, etc. *Albina* journal establishes a practice in 1925, led by doctor Voiculescu, with a subscription to the journal as sole honorary fee.

Vasile Voiculescu was nominated as the medic of the Administration of the Crown Domains in 1920. The following year, publishes his third book of poems *Pârga* (at Cartea Românească Press) and is nominated sub-director of the Cultural Foundation, promoting to director the next year. This is the year when he activates as medic and Hygene Professor at “Pompilian” Institute in Bucharest, as well as promoting to “definitive medic of Urban Practice.”


Voiculescu collaborated with various literary journals such as “Viața literară”, “Însemnări literare”, “Dacia”, “Kalende”, “Gândirea”, “Luceafărul”, “Revista Fundațiilor Regale”, “Viața Românească”. Since 1930 he was redactor for the radio weekly show „Ora satului”. During 1927-1936, he published articles of sanitary education in „România administrativă”.

His play, titled “Fata Ursului,” was played for the first time in 1933 at the National Theatre. This was the year when he became literary referent at Radio, and then director of the literary program (until 1945). In 1934, he published in “Azi” journal the play in one act „La pragul minunii”.
Other volumes of poetry: *Destin*, 1933; *Urcuş*, 1937; *Întrezăiri*, 1939; *Poezii*, 1944). In 1943 publică teatru: *Demiurgul*, 1943 și *Duhul pământului*, 1943. V. Voiculescu’s poetry, traditional in a first phase, gains afterwards strong religious aspects, and next evolves towards complex embroidery of feelings. His prose, considered more interesting, maintains the lyrical disposition, an often represents a spiritual exercise that combines the anecdotic, the myth and the fiction.

Vasile Voiculescu was awarded the Prize for Literature of the Royal Foundation Press in 1939 and the National Prize for Poetry in 1941. February 1940 became Director of Health Centre no. 4, a position from which he resigned, December 4, 1943, and at December 11, 1943 he retired.

As following he started participate into the literary meetings of the group “Rugul aprins” [The Burning Pyre], from Antim monastery (which abbot was archimandrite Vasile Vasilachi). These meetings gathered either in the salon or in the library numerous writers, people of culture and votarists, among which: Ion Marin Sadoveanu, Anton Dimitriu, Alexandru Mironescu, Paul Sterian, Mircea Vulcănescu, Sandu Tudor, Dumitru Stâniloaie, archimandrite Haralambie Vasilachi and the priests Felix Dubneac, Andrei Scrima, Sofian Boghiu etc. The meetings had as a purpose establishing a journal of Romanian spirituality and publishing a column of Christian faith in this journal, as well as planning humanitarian actions and actions for the support of the arts, by awards. In 1948 this group is forbidden – it was considered “a subversive organization, holding clandestine meetings, which attracted a series of reactionary elements among students and instigated at counterrevolutionary actions against the state order in the Popular Republic of Romania (PRR)” – the monks are exiled to other monasteries, while the participants are judged and convicted.

The biographers show that the military prosecutor stated that “the facts of all the defendants meet in law the constitutive elements of the offence of crime, of plot against the social order, as the organization had a fascist character and has as purpose the changing of the existing social order in the state.”

Voiculescu’s profound democratic convictions brought him difficulties after 1948. In 1948 Vasile Voiculescu elaborated “Prefrontal Lobocoagulation” – an edifying works especially for the new system that was in course of setting itself up –, where he approaches the most brutal modality of brainwash, through an operation of the nervous centers of anxiety and of instigation to freedom (created by the “Perfect Permanent Presidium of Peace Peoples”), with the purpose of annihilating individual consciousness and obtaining an obedient citizen.

During 1954-1958 Voiculescu elaborated *Ultimale sonete încipuite ale lui Shakespeare ...*, a moment of apogee, an elaborated and refined jewellery of his artistic language. As the critic Ovid S. Crohmălniceanu showed the 90th sonnets represent a monograph dedicated “to the paradise and inferno of love.”
At 5th of August 1958, Vasile Voiculescu was charged with “conspiracy against social order and crime of intense activity against the working class and the revolutionary movement.” He was forced through the means specific for the inquiries of the period, to admit to “the pursuit of activities hostile to the regime of PRR,” to admit to the “guilt” of writing “numerous poems with mystical themes and hostile religious character,” and of taking part in discussions manifesting hostile discontent that in PRR there is no freedom.”

The biographer Gheorghe Postelnicu shows that, during the fall of 1957, in Voiculescu’s house from Dr. Staicovici street, C. Pillat presented in a French magazine a review of a book of Eliade, *The Forbidden Forest*, that later on was read by Voiculescu, too, without considering it valuable in its entirety, in another meeting as well in Voiculescu’s house, C. Noica read the letter received from Cioran, from which it was clear that he expected philosophical studies to publish in the West. The letter circulated in the intellectual environment in Bucharest, typewritten. Although it was not political, being more a sign of life from someone afar from his friends, it was considered dangerous. “These hostile discussions” addressed to PRR started mostly from the discontent of the writers with the lack of freedom to publish uncensored thoughts as they waited for a liberalization of the literary life. The defendant admitted that “realist-socialist literature in PRR was lacking value, as writers do not write from conviction and the regime limits the development of the true literature and the writers do not have the possibility to write about what they think.” No one agreed to appear in the communist press. People preferred to write for themselves and for better times.

During 1958 – 1962, aged 74, he served his sentence in a communist prison suffering the interdiction to publish. His biographers and historians show that between 5 and 16 August 1958, Voiculescu appeared in the file P / 202 (vol. 2) in relation to his medical certificate issued by the M.A.I. prison doctor with his diagnostic, “kyphoscoliosis, traumatic dorsal,” confirming the status of a broken spine, due to brutal striking. In 1959 the appeal was rejected and Voiculescu was sentenced 5 years (for the period: 05/08/1958 - 08/03/1963) to forced labour for “crime of conspiracy.” Aiud prison medical records, showed that the detainee Vasile Voiculescu had “third degree dystrophy in a very low muscle tissue” and developed, “chronic colitis,” sinus tachycardia,” as well as “infectious / contagious diseases: typhoid, typhus, recurrent fever, epidemic hepatitis, tuberculosis left lung, cardiovascular syndrome, chronic colitis of fermentation, chronic cystitis, chronic appendicitis. The present state: weight 57 kg, unfit for work.”

On May 2, 1962, Vasile Voiculescu is pardoned (Decree 291/1962). On the night of 25 to April 26, 1963 Vasile Voiculescu dies in Bucharest (at his domicile address, 34 Dr. Staicovici Street).
Gheorghe Postelnicu showed in *Vasile Voiculescu – Alte contribuții biografice* [Vasile Voiculescu – Other biographical contributions] that in 1966, three years after the writer's death, Romanian Literature Museum received result of an address of the Minister of Internal Affairs, “a series of materials” belonging to the poet: cuttings from newspapers, books postcards, letters with envelopes, several medical tests bulletins and tickets of hospital leave, and also a typed conference “The Stalinist Constitution” by D. Stăniloaie presented in the dissertations of the group “Rugul aprins.” The Attorney document from October 24, 1958 entitles Ion Voiculescu, the son of the poet, “to receive organs of the Minister of Internal Affairs and keep all things that were inventoried at home and those raised during the searches.” (Gheorghe Postelnicu, 2012)

Vasile Voiculescu remains a great personality and a living presence among us through his immortal work. Posternity timidly attempts to honour him. Thus, posthumously were issued *Ultimale sonete închipuite ale lui Shakespeare în traducere imaginăra de Voiculescu* (1964), two volumes of *Povestiri* (1966) and the yet unpublished novel *Zahei orbul* (1970). In 1991 the Medical Society of Romanian Writers and Publicists launched a commemorative medal and several memorial plaques were unveiled: at the central hall of the University of Medicine and Pharmacy “Carol Davila” (Bucharest), at the dispensary V. Voiculescu (Bucharest), and at the Memorial House of Pârscov (Buzău).

**THE WORK**

- **Poetry**
  
  *Poezii*, Bucharest (1916)  
  *Din țara zimbruului*, Bârlad (1918)  
  *Pârga*, Editura Cartea românească, Bucharest (1921)  
  *Poeme cu îngeri*, Editura Cartea Vremii, Bucharest (1927)  
  *Destin*, Editura Cartea românească, Bucharest (1933)  
  *Urcuș* (poeme), Fundația pentru literatură și artă, Bucharest (1937)  
  *Întrețăiri* (poeme), Fundația pentru literatură și artă, Bucharest (1939)  
  *Ultimale sonete închipuite ale lui Shakespeare în traducere imaginăra de Vasile Voiculescu* (1964)

- **Prose**
  
  *Capul de zimbru*, nuvele postume, 1966  
  *Ultimul berevoi*, nuvele postume, 1966  
- **Dramaturgy**
  
  *Duhul pământului*, volum ce include piesele „Umbra” și „Fata ursului”
  Demiurgul, 1943
  *Gimnastică sentimentală*, 1972
  *Pribeaga*
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